



**Data Evaluation Summary Report
July 2018 Sampling**

**Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight
U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site
Area of Investigation 1
Pasadena, Harris County, Texas
EPA Identification No. TXN000607093**

**Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service
Contract: EP-W-06-004
Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY**

Prepared for

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

Prepared by

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC
405 S. Highway 121 Bypass
Building C, Suite 100
Lewisville, Texas 75067
(972) 315-3922

October 2018
Revision: 00

This page intentionally left blank

CONTENTS**Page**

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	PURPOSE	1
3.	DATA SUMMARY	2
4.	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	4
4.1	CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND SAMPLE RECEIPT	5
4.2	HOLDING TIMES	5
4.3	CALIBRATION CRITERIA	5
4.4	BLANK DETECTIONS	6
4.5	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES	6
4.6	SURROGATE RECOVERIES	6
4.7	MATRIX SPIKE, MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE AND LABORATORY DUPLICATE SAMPLES	6
4.8	INDUCTIVELY-COUPLED PLASMA SERIAL DILUTION	7
4.9	INDUCTIVELY-COUPLED PLASMA INTERNAL STANDARDS	7
4.10	FIELD DUPLICATES	7
4.11	TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION	7
4.12	SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS	8
5.	DATA EVALUATION PARAMETERS	8
5.1	DATA CATEGORIES	9
5.2	MEASUREMENT QUALITY OBJECTIVES	11
5.2.1	Precision	11
5.2.2	Accuracy	12
5.2.3	Representativeness	12
5.2.4	Completeness	13
5.2.5	Comparability	13
5.2.6	Sensitivity	14
5.3	DETECTION AND QUANTITATION LIMITS	14
6.	DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS	14
6.1	MEDIA VARIABILITY	15
6.2	LABORATORY PERFORMANCE PROBLEMS	15
6.3	CONCLUSIONS	15

7.	REFERENCES	16
----	------------------	----

APPENDIX A: DATA SUMMARY TABLES AND RELATIVE PERCENT DIFFERENCE
CALCULATIONS

APPENDIX B: LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA REPORTS AND ELECTRONIC
DATA DELIVERABLES

APPENDIX C: SUMMARY OF QUALIFIED RESULTS AND DATA VALIDATION
REPORTS

LIST OF TABLESNumberTitle

- 1 Split Samples Collected during July 2018 Field Activities
- 2 Data Validation Qualifiers
- 3 Quality Assurance Indicator Criteria
- 4 Field Duplicate Frequency for Split Samples

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CRQL	Contract-required Quantitation Limit
DESR	Data Evaluation Summary Report
DQO	Data quality objectives
EA	EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FS	Feasibility Study
ICP	Inductively-coupled plasma
LCS	Laboratory control sample
MDL	Method detection limit
MS	Matrix spike
MSD	Matrix spike duplicate
PRP	Potentially responsible party
PARCC	Precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability
%R	Percent recovery
QA	Quality assurance
QC	Quality control
RI	Remedial Investigation
RPD	Relative percent difference
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SDG	Sample delivery group
site	U.S. Oil Recovery, Area of Investigation 1
SOP	Standard operating procedure
SOW	Statement of Work
SVOC	Semivolatile organic compound
TestAmerica	TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
TPH	Total petroleum hydrocarbons
VOC	Volatile organic compound

1. INTRODUCTION

This document presents the Data Evaluation Summary Report (DESR) prepared by EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC (EA) for the U.S. Oil Recovery, Area of Investigation 1 (site), located in Pasadena, Harris County, Texas. This DESR documents and summarizes the analytical data collected during the Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) oversight activities conducted in July 2018. EA produced this DESR for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 6 as part of Task Order No. 0144-RSBD-A6MY under Remedial Action Contract No. EP-W-06-004, in accordance with the Statement of Work (SOW) issued by EPA (EPA 2016).

The purpose of the field investigation was to collect sufficient data to support the RI/FS oversight. This DESR discusses the sediment and surface water sample results collected during the July 2018 oversight activities. The EPA SOW (EPA 2016) and the EPA-approved Work Plan (EA 2016a) set forth the framework and requirements for this effort.

The purpose of the DESR is presented in Section 2. A data summary that compiles, tabulates, and summarizes the data collected during the July 2018 RI/FS activities is provided in Section 3. The quality assurance (QA)/quality control (QC) findings are presented in Section 4. Data evaluation parameters are presented in Section 5. The data quality objective (DQO) assessment and conclusions are presented in Section 6. References are provided in Section 7. Supporting materials follow the text.

2. PURPOSE

The purpose of this DESR is to summarize the analytical data quality and usability of the July 2018 data, in accordance with the DQOs and data quality indicators presented in the EPA guidance (EPA 2002, 2006a). The DQO process is a series of planning steps designed to ensure that the type, quantity, and quality of environmental data used in decision-making are appropriate for the intended application.

The overall QA objectives are as follows:

- Collect split samples consistent with the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (EA 2016b)
- Obtain data of known quality to verify the potentially responsible party (PRP) assessment of the nature and extent of contamination and human health and ecological risks at the site
- Document the performance of the PRP's quality program, including performance of the work and required changes, if any, to planned work at the site.

In order to address the goals of the study, sediment and surface water samples were collected as outlined in the SAP (EA 2016b) and analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), pesticides, herbicides, and metals including mercury in accordance with the SAP Appendix A.

3. DATA SUMMARY

This section presents a summary of the sediment and surface water data collected during the field investigation conducted during July 2018. The PRP collected sediment samples at locations VBSD-1 through VBSD-6 and corresponding surface water samples at locations VBSW-1 through VBSW-6.

The PRP samples were analyzed in accordance with the Work Plan Refinement/Modification Notice No. AOI-1-7 dated 5 July 2018 (Golder 2018) and signed by EPA on 9 July 2018. Further information regarding the sampling activities is included in the Field Oversight Summary Report dated 25 July 2018 (EA 2018).

EA collected a split sediment sample from location VBSD-3 and a split surface water sample from VBSW-3. The split samples collected during the field events and the associated analytical parameters are listed below in Table 1. The split samples were delivered to the TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. (TestAmerica) laboratory in Houston, Texas, with all samples, except samples associated with TX1005 analysis, shipped overnight to the TestAmerica laboratory in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, for analysis.

**TABLE 1 SPLIT SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING
JULY 2018 SAMPLING**

Field Sample ID	Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Analyses Performed
VBSW3-080713	180-79800-1	Water	13 July 2018	VOC 8260C SVOC 8270D Pesticides 8081B Herbicides 8151A TPH TX1005 Total and Dissolved Metals 6020A Mercury 7471B
FDVBSW3-180713	180-79800-2	Water	13 July 2018	VOC 8260C SVOC 8270D Pesticides 8081B Herbicides 8151A TPH TX1005 Total and Dissolved Metals 6020A Mercury 7471B
VBSD-3-180713	180-79800-3	Sediment	13 July 2018	VOC 8260C SVOC 8270D Pesticides 8081B Herbicides 8151A TPH TX1005 Total Metals 6020A Mercury 7471B
FDVBSD-3-180713	180-79800-4	Sediment	13 July 2018	VOC 8260C SVOC 8270D Pesticides 8081B Herbicides 8151A TPH TX1005 Total Metals 6020A Mercury 7471B
TBSW01-180713	180-79800-5	Water	13 July 2018	VOC 8260C
TBSW02-180713	180-79800-6	Water	13 July 2018	TPH TX1005
TBSD03-180713	180-79800-7	Water	13 July 2018	VOC 8260C
TBSD04-180713	180-79800-8	Water	13 July 2018	TPH TX1005
NOTES: Total and dissolved metals are identified in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Appendix A – Table A-5 (EA 2016b). SVOC = Semivolatile organic compounds TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons VOC = Volatile organic compounds				

The split sample results for the July 2018 sampling event are included in the TestAmerica sample delivery group (SDG) 180-79800-1 and summarized on Table A-1 for surface water and Table A-2 for sediment (Appendix A). The summary of the comparison of results for both EA split samples and the corresponding PRP samples are presented in Tables A-3 for surface water and A-4 for sediment. The TestAmerica laboratory data report and electronic data deliverable for the split samples collected in July 2018 are included in Appendix B of this DESR.

4. QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

This section describes the QA/QC findings from the data validation performed on the analytical data for the samples collected in July 2018. The following sections present the QA/QC results of the validation performed in accordance with the following documents:

- *National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review* (EPA 2014a)
- *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review* (EPA 2014b)
- *Sampling and Analysis Plan for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight, Revision 01, U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site, Area of Investigation 1, Pasadena, Harris County, Texas* (EA 2016b).

The qualifier definitions presented on Table 2 provide a brief explanation for the data qualifiers that may be applied to the analytical data during the validation process. The definitions are consistent with EPA guidance (EPA 2014a, 2014b).

TABLE 2 DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIERS

Qualifier	Data Qualifier Definitions
No Qualifier	Indicates that the data are acceptable both qualitatively and quantitatively.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above, the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. The data are valid for project use to achieve project data quality objectives (DQOs).
J+	The result is an estimated concentration, but the result may be biased high. The data are valid for project use to achieve project DQOs.
J-	The result is an estimated concentration, but the result may be biased low. The data are valid for project use to achieve project DQOs.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate. The data are valid for project use to achieve project DQOs.
R	The sample results are not usable to achieve project DQOs based on certain quality control criteria outside of acceptance limits. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
NOTE: DQO = Data quality objective	

The data validation findings are summarized in the following sections and in the Data Validation Reports included in Appendix C. The following sections address data validation findings that resulted in the qualification of data. Data quality indicator exceedances that did not result in qualification of data are not included in the following sections but are presented in the individual sample delivery group data validation reports.

4.1 CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND SAMPLE RECEIPT

The samples were received by TestAmerica under appropriate chain of custody, in good condition, and the cooler temperatures were recorded at less than 6 degrees centigrade upon receipt at the laboratory.

4.2 HOLDING TIMES

Samples were extracted and analyzed within the method-specific holding times.

4.3 CALIBRATION CRITERIA

The initial and continuing calibration criteria were within acceptable limits with the exceptions summarized below. Qualified sample results are presented in Table C-1.

TPH (TX1005)

The initial calibration associated with the sediment sample analysis did not contain a calibration for TPH >C28-C35. The associated detected results were qualified (J) to reflect the additional uncertainty in results obtained without an analyte specific calibration.

4.4 BLANK DETECTIONS

Laboratory method and calibration blanks were prepared and analyzed along with project samples. Results are within method QC criteria for all analytical parameters unless otherwise noted.

Chromium (total and dissolved), bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, butyl benzyl phthalate, and phenanthrene were detected in the method blank associated with the surface water samples. Results that required qualification are presented in Table C-1.

Trip blank samples were analyzed with each SDG. No analytes were detected in the trip blank samples that resulted in qualification of the data.

4.5 LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES

Laboratory control samples (LCSs) were prepared and analyzed as required by the analytical method. The LCS percent recovery (%R) is within method control limits for target analytes, with the exceptions requiring qualification as noted below. Qualified sample results are presented in Table C-1.

SVOCs (SW8270D)

Naphthalene and 1,4-dioxane were recovered below acceptable limits in the LCS associated with the surface water samples. The naphthalene and 1,4-dioxane surface water results not previously qualified were qualified (UJ).

4.6 SURROGATE RECOVERIES

No sample data were qualified based on surrogate recoveries.

4.7 MATRIX SPIKE, MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE AND LABORATORY DUPLICATE SAMPLES

Matrix spike (MS), matrix spike duplicate (MSD), and laboratory duplicate samples were prepared and analyzed according to the analytical method and project requirements. The %R and relative percent difference (RPD) for the QC samples are within project-specific QC limits, with the exceptions resulting in data qualification for the following analytes:

- SVOCs — bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, naphthalene, and 1,4-dioxane recoveries were below the acceptable limits; the calculated RPDs between the MS and MSD were above the acceptable limit for all SVOCs except for 1,4-dioxane.
- TPH — TPH(C12-C28) recovery was below acceptable limits for sample VBSD3-180713.
- Metals — recovery was below acceptable limits for antimony; the RPD was above the acceptable limit for selenium.

Sample results were flagged (J), (J-), and (UJ) as appropriate. Qualified sample results are presented in Table C-1.

4.8 INDUCTIVELY-COUPLED PLASMA SERIAL DILUTION

The inductively-coupled plasma (ICP) serial dilution sample was prepared and analyzed according to method and project requirements to assess whether significant physical or chemical interferences exist due to sample matrix. ICP serial dilution results met QC limits.

4.9 INDUCTIVELY-COUPLED PLASMA INTERNAL STANDARDS

Internal standards were added to the metals samples and QA evaluation digestates prior to analysis to monitor analytical performance and sample matrix effects. The internal standard responses were within the acceptance criteria.

4.10 FIELD DUPLICATES

Field duplicate samples were collected at locations VBSW3-080713 and VBSD-3-180713 in association with the project samples. The RPDs between the EA split samples and the EA field duplicates are summarized in Tables A-5 and A-6. The calculated RPDs met the project objectives outlined in the SAP (50 percent RPD or ± 3 times the detection limit for soil samples and 30 percent RPD or ± 2 times the detection limit for aqueous samples), with the exceptions noted on the table. Results with RPD outside the precision criteria were qualified as estimated (J) (Table C-1).

4.11 TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Target compound identification was assessed for the methods analyzed by gas chromatography and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Mass spectra criteria and/or second column confirmation criteria were within acceptance limits for detected analytes with the exception of the following pesticides and herbicides: alpha-BHC, delta-BHC, dieldrin, endrin ketone, gamma-BHC, trans-chlordane, 4,4'-DDT, aldrin, toxaphene, 4,4'-DDE, 2,4-D, and dalapon. Affected detect results were qualified (J). Qualified sample results are presented in Table C-1.

4.12 SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED DETECTION LIMITS

Project samples were analyzed at various dilutions as required by the analytical method, due to elevated concentrations of target analytes in the sample and using a low-level method for analysis or due to sample matrix interference. The analytical results for soil samples were reported on a dry-weight basis (corrected for percent moisture). Detected data results below the reporting limit and above the method detection limit (MDL) were (J) qualified as estimated values. Non-detect sample results were reported at the MDL with a (U) qualifier.

Samples requiring dilutions are identified in the data validation reports in Appendix C.

The reported detection limits were evaluated for all split samples. Excluding the dilutions noted in Appendix C, the reporting limits specified in the SAP were met for the reported analytes.

SVOC (SW8270)

The surface water samples were not analyzed at a dilution; however, the SIM reporting limits specified in the Quality Assurance Project Plan were exceeded for the non-detected analytes listed below. These reporting limits were identified during the project planning, prior to the sampling and analysis. Any detections below the reporting limit but above the detection limit would be reported by the laboratory as estimated values (J):

2-methylnaphthalene	Benzo[a]pyrene	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene
Acenaphthene	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Fluoranthene
Acenaphthylene	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	Fluorene
Anthracene	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene
Benzo[a]anthracene	Chrysene	Naphthalene

5. DATA EVALUATION PARAMETERS

The data were evaluated for acceptable quality and quantity based on the quality indicator parameters including precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability, (PARCC). To the extent possible, EA followed EPA's data quality assessment process (EPA 2006b, 2006c). This evaluation helps determine whether limitations should be placed on the data and to verify that the type, quality, and quantity of data that are collected are appropriate for their intended use. The PARCC parameters were reviewed for the laboratory analytical data results and are discussed in the following sections.

A well-defined QA/QC process is integral to the generation of analytical data of known and documented quality. The QC process includes those activities required during data collection to produce data of sufficient quality to support the decisions that will be made based on the data (e.g., comparison to the PRP sample data). After environmental data are collected, QA activities

focus on evaluating the quality of the data in order to determine the data usability with respect to the support for remedial or enforcement decisions. Table 3 presents the QA indicator criteria for definitive laboratory data for chemical analyses of field samples only.

5.1 DATA CATEGORIES

In order to produce data suitable for decision-making, an appropriate analytical technique must be selected. The EPA Superfund program has developed two descriptive categories of analytical techniques: (1) field-based techniques and (2) fixed-laboratory techniques. The type of data generated depends on the qualitative and quantitative DQOs developed for a project. Regardless of how the data were analyzed, they must be of adequate quality for the decision-making process for which they were collected. For this project, analysis was performed using fixed-laboratory techniques.

Rigorous analytical methods are used to generate analyte-specific, definitive data. The definitive quality of the data is assured by: (1) using standard operating procedures (SOPs) and QC processes during data collection; (2) documented control and traceability of reference standards, calibrations, and instrument performance; and (3) acceptable performance of field and laboratory QC procedures within the defined limits established for these procedures.

TABLE 3 QUALITY ASSURANCE INDICATOR CRITERIA

Indicator Parameter	Analytical Parameter	QC Sample ^a	Acceptance Criteria for Laboratory Analysis
Accuracy (percent recovery)	VOCs, SVOCs, TPH, Pesticides, Herbicides	MS MSD Blanks ^b	50 to 150 percent recovery (MS/MSD) Less than CRQL (blanks)
	TAL Metals, Mercury	MS/MSD LCS Reference samples Blanks ^b	75 to 125 percent recovery (MS/MSD) 80 to 120 percent recovery (LCS) Limits per supplier (Ref sample) Less than CRQL (blanks)
Precision (RPD)	VOCs, SVOCs, TPH, Pesticides, Herbicides	MS MSD Field duplicates	30 percent RPD (MS/MSD) 30 percent RPD (Field duplicates, water samples) 50 percent RPD (Field duplicates, soil samples)
	TAL Metals, Mercury	MS MSD or MD Field duplicates Lab duplicates	20 percent RPD (MS, MSD, MD aqueous) 35 percent RPD (MS, MSD, MD solid) 30 percent RPD or ±2x detection limit (field duplicates, water samples) 50 percent RPD or ±3x detection limit (field duplicates, soil samples) 25 percent (lab duplicates)
Sensitivity (quantitation limits)	Analytical tests	MS MD or MSD Field duplicates Lab duplicates	Not applicable
Completeness	The objective for data completeness is 90 percent.		
Representativeness	The sampling network and analytical methods for this site are designed to provide data that are representative of site conditions.		
Comparability	The use of standard published sampling and analytical methods, and the use of QC samples, will ensure data of known quality. These data can be compared to other data of known quality.		
NOTE:			
^a Not all listed QC samples apply to all analytical parameters. QC samples are analytical method specific.			
^b May include method blanks, reagent blanks, instrument blanks, calibration blanks, trip blanks and field blanks.			
CRQL = Contract-required Quantitation Limit LCS = Laboratory control sample MD = Matrix duplicate MS = Matrix spike MSD = Matrix spike duplicate QC = Quality control			
RPD = Relative percent difference SVOC = Semi-volatile organic compounds TAL = Target analyte list TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons VOC = Volatile organic compounds			

5.2 MEASUREMENT QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Analytical results were evaluated in accordance with PARCC parameters to document the quality of the data and to ensure that the data are of sufficient quality to meet the project objectives. Of these PARCC parameters, precision and accuracy were evaluated quantitatively by collecting the QC check samples listed in Table 3 above.

The sections below describe each of the PARCC parameters and how they were assessed to meet the DQOs for this project.

5.2.1 Precision

Precision is the degree of mutual agreement between individual measurements of the same property under similar conditions. Usually, combined field and laboratory precision is evaluated by collecting and analyzing field duplicates and then calculating the variance between the samples, typically as a RPD.

RPD is calculated as follows:
$$RPD = \frac{|A - B|}{(A + B)/2} \times 100\%$$

where: A = first duplicate concentration
B = second duplicate concentration.

The acceptance criteria for each analytical methodology are presented in the SAP (EA 2016b). Duplicate results were evaluated for compliance with acceptance criteria for precision for each analytical method. RPD evaluations are documented in the individual data validation report for each SDG which was validated for MS/MSD and laboratory replicate pairs. A summary of the split samples collected is presented in Tables A-1 and A-2 of Appendix A. EA collected field duplicates of split samples. The field duplicate RPD evaluations for detected analytes are presented in Tables A-5 and A-6 of Appendix A. The SAP criterion for field duplicate precision is less than 30 percent RPD or ± 2 times the detection limit for water samples and 50 percent RPD or ± 3 times the detection limit for soil samples. The split sample field duplicates were within the criteria unless otherwise noted in Section 4 of this report. A comparison of the PRP sample results and the split sample results collected by EA is discussed in Section 5.2.5.

The SAP specifies that a minimum of one in ten (10 percent) of split samples be submitted as field duplicates to the laboratory (EA 2016b). Field duplicate pairs were collected, analyzed, and evaluated. The frequency of split sample field duplicates submitted to the laboratory for analysis is provided in Table 4 (as follows):

TABLE 4 FIELD DUPLICATE FREQUENCY FOR SPLIT SAMPLES

Matrix	Samples	Field Duplicates	Frequency (%)
Sediment	1	1	100
Water	1	1	100

5.2.2 Accuracy

Accuracy is the degree to which a measurement agrees with its true value and is expressed as percent recovery; acceptance criteria for each analytical methodology are stated in Table 3. Accuracy is assessed by comparing LCS and surrogate recoveries to associated QC limits. Through the process of data validation and review, LCS, and surrogate recoveries were evaluated for compliance with acceptance criteria for accuracy for each applicable analytical methodology.

LCSs or blank spikes are also analyzed at a frequency of 5 percent or per analytical batch. Surrogate standards, where available, are added to every sample analyzed for organic constituents. The results of the spiked samples are used to calculate the percent recovery for evaluating accuracy. The evaluations of percent recovery are documented in Appendix C.

$$\text{Percent Recovery} = \frac{S - C}{T} \times 100 \%$$

where: S = measured spike sample concentration
 C = sample concentration
 T = true or actual concentration of the spike.

5.2.3 Representativeness

Representativeness is a qualitative parameter and is defined by the degree to which data accurately and precisely represents a characteristic of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, or a process or environmental condition. Representativeness requirements are satisfied by: (1) ensuring the SAP (EA 2016b) and the PRP sampling plans are followed; (2) verifying that samples are collected in accordance with the appropriate PRP SOPs, or that appropriate sampling techniques are used when PRP SOPs are not available; (3) following proper analytical procedures; and (4) not exceeding required maximum holding times.

Samples were analyzed using EPA approved analytical methods. The PRP and EA split samples were analyzed within the holding time specified by EPA guidance and the analytical methods. Minor QC issues affecting the results that may or may not result in data qualification are identified in the laboratory data report case narrative (Appendix B).

5.2.4 Completeness

Completeness is defined as the percentage of measurements determined to be valid. The validity of sample results is determined through the data validation process. The rejected (R) sample results, if any, are considered to be invalid data. The data that are qualified as estimated (J, J-, or J+) or estimated non-detect data (UJ) are considered to be valid and usable to achieve project DQOs. The completeness is calculated and reported for each method and analyte combination. The number of valid results divided by the number of possible individual analyte results, expressed as a percentage, determines the completeness of the data set.

The percent of data completeness for the July 2018 split sampling event is acceptable. Based on the data review, the completeness of the data is 100 percent. None of the split sample results were (R) qualified, signifying rejected or unusable data. The analytical data achieve greater than the 90 percent data completeness objective and the project DQOs. The July 2018 split sample data are usable and meet the objectives of the site RI/FS oversight.

5.2.5 Comparability

Comparability of data is a qualitative parameter that expresses the confidence with which one data set may be compared to another. Comparability is attained by achieving the QA objectives for PARCC and may be measured by calculating the RPD between the PRP and EA split sample data results. For the purpose of making an evaluation, the field duplicate sample RPD criteria of 50 percent for soil samples and 30 percent for water samples has been used to make a comparison of the EA and PRP split sample data. Due to differences in analytical method reporting limits between TestAmerica and the PRP laboratory, RPD was calculated when a concentration of an analyte was reported by both laboratories above the method detection limit. There were 17 analytes detected by both EA and the PRP laboratories for the surface water sample. There were 29 analytes detected by both EA and the PRP laboratories for the sediment sample. Analytes outside the RPD criterion are listed below.

- Sample VBSW-03 — arsenic (total and dissolved), chromium (total and dissolved), cobalt, 4,4-DDT, gamma-BHC, gamma-chlordane, and pyrene
- Sample VBSD-03 — chromium, selenium, 4,4-DDD, 4,4-DDE, alpha-BHC, alpha-chlordane, beta-BHC, 2-methylnaphthalene, anthracene, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, chrysene, fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, phenanthrene, and pyrene.

The comparison of results is summarized on Tables A-3 and A-4.

5.2.6 Sensitivity

Sensitivity is the measure of the signal from an instrument that represents an actual deflection or response above instrument noise. The analytical sensitivity is measured by the achievable MDL and reported with the applicable dilution factors, preparation factors, and dry-weight correction for each individual sample to achieve the method reporting limit.

Ideally the method reporting limit provided by the laboratories is sufficient to achieve the project required screening values (i.e., human health screening levels) however, the laboratory is also able to report data to the MDL and (J) flag as estimated data in order to achieve screening criteria. The reporting limits listed on Tables A-3 and A-4 are the laboratory's MDL adjusted for sample dilution and percent moisture.

5.3 DETECTION AND QUANTITATION LIMITS

The analytical parameters and the quantitation limits reported by the laboratories for this project are determined by the analytical methods and implementation of the methods by the individual laboratories. The MDL is the minimum concentration of an analyte that can be reliably distinguished from background noise for a specific analytical method. The reporting limit represents the lowest concentration of an analyte that can be accurately and reproducibly quantified in a sample matrix. The method reporting limit for specific analytical methods and sample matrices, such as air, soil, or water, are typically an order of magnitude higher than the MDL to allow for matrix effects and 99 percent data confidence.

For this project, sample results were reported as estimated values below the method reporting limit. The MDL and reporting limits for each analyte are presented in the laboratory's electronic data deliverable.

6. DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the data validation findings summarized in Section 4, the EA split sample data were determined to be usable as qualified. No data were rejected as part of the data validation.

The objective of the field oversight and split sample collection was to obtain split sample results of known quality that may support the RI/FS oversight. Based upon an overall review of the results presented within this DESR, the issues of importance in this evaluation are discussed in the following sections.

6.1 MEDIA VARIABILITY

EA split sample results were compared to the PRP sample results in order to assess the following: (1) if the PRP sampling process was consistent with their sampling plan, and (2) if the PRP laboratory was properly reporting data. The PRP samples were analyzed in accordance with the Work Plan Refinement/Modification Notice No. AOI-1-7 dated 5 July 2018. Of the 141 analytes reported by both laboratories, the sample results were within the applied 50 percent RPD criterion for sediment samples and 30 percent for water samples with the exceptions discussed in Section 5.2.5. Variability of sample data could be due to matrix effects and non-homogeneity of soil samples, laboratory analysis procedures, and laboratory achievable MDLs and method reporting limits.

6.2 LABORATORY PERFORMANCE PROBLEMS

TestAmerica's performance met the required laboratory QC protocol and data quality indicator criteria with the data quality criteria exceptions noted in Section 4. Data quality criteria exceedances include: (1) initial and continuing calibration exceedances, (2) low-level method blank detections, (3) LCS recoveries, (4) MS/MSD recoveries, (5) field duplicate precision, and (6) target compound identification. Affected laboratory results were qualified by the data reviewer per the National Functional Guidelines and method-specific requirements. Refer to Section 4 for a more detailed discussion of laboratory data quality and Table C-1.

6.3 CONCLUSIONS

The split sample analytical results for the July 2018 sampling event met overall project objectives for the quantity and quality of data required to support the decision-making process for the RI/FS oversight. Data qualified as estimated (J, J-, J+, and UJ) and data with no qualifiers are usable to achieve project objectives. Qualitatively, the EA sample data are comparable to the PRP sample data with noted matrix and laboratory analytical method variability and reporting limits. Although sample detections reported by both laboratories may not compare within the RPD criteria, data values can still be used to assess the nature and extent of contamination and to determine if a potential for human health or ecological risk exists at the site.

7. REFERENCES

- EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC (EA). 2016a. *Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight Work Plan for U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site, Pasadena, Harris County, Texas*. Revision 01. August.
- . 2016b. *Sampling and Analysis Plan for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight, U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site, Area of Investigation 1, Pasadena, Harris County, Texas*. Revision 01. November.
- . 2018. *Field Oversight Summary Report, July 2018 Field Event, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight, U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site, Pasadena, Harris County, Texas*. July.
- Golder and Associates, Inc. (Golder). 2018. *U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site, Work Plan Refinement/Modification Notice No. AOI-I-7*. 5 July.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. *Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/G-5)*. EPA/240/R-02/009. OEI. Washington, D.C. December.
- . 2006a. *Guidance on Systematic Planning Using the Data Quality Objectives Process (QA/G-4)*. EPA/240/B-06/001. OEI. Washington, D.C. February.
- . 2006b. *Data Quality Assessment: A Reviewer's Guide (QA/G-9R)*. EPA/240/B-06/002. OEI. Washington, D.C. February.
- . 2006c. *Data Quality Assessment: Statistical Methods for Practitioners (QA/G-9S)*. EPA/240/B-06/003. OEI. Washington, D.C. February.
- . 2014a. *National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, EPA-540-R-014-002*. August.
- . 2014b. *National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review, EPA-540-R-013-001*. August.
- . 2016. *Statement of Work for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight, US Oil Recovery Superfund Site, 400 N Richey Property (AOI-1), Harris County, Texas*. June.

Appendix A

**Data Summary Tables and
Relative Percent Difference Calculations**

This page intentionally left blank

Table A-1 Summary of Split Surface Water Sample Results															
Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Antimony	7440-36-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.002	0.00112	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Antimony	7440-36-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.002	0.00112	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Arsenic	7440-38-2	Surface Water	0.00241		mg/l	0.001	0.000323	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Arsenic	7440-38-2	Surface Water	0.00253		mg/l	0.001	0.000323	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Barium	7440-39-3	Surface Water	0.043		mg/l	0.01	0.000373	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Barium	7440-39-3	Surface Water	0.0387		mg/l	0.01	0.000373	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Boron	7440-42-8	Surface Water	0.136		mg/l	0.08	0.0303	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Boron	7440-42-8	Surface Water	0.102		mg/l	0.08	0.0303	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Chromium	7440-47-3	Surface Water	0.00304		mg/l	0.002	0.000631	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Chromium	7440-47-3	Surface Water	0.00205		mg/l	0.002	0.000631	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Surface Water	0.000474	J	mg/l	0.0005	0.000075	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Surface Water	0.000184	J	mg/l	0.0005	0.000075	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Manganese	7439-96-5	Surface Water	0.0301		mg/l	0.005	0.00135	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Manganese	7439-96-5	Surface Water	0.00684		mg/l	0.005	0.00135	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Selenium	7782-49-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.005	0.000813	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Selenium	7782-49-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.005	0.000813	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Thallium	7440-28-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000063	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/24/2018	SW6020A	Thallium	7440-28-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000063	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/20/2018	SW7470A	Mercury	7439-97-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.0002	0.0000653	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/20/2018	SW7470A	Mercury	7439-97-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.0002	0.0000653	1	METALS, TOTAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	72-54-8	Surface Water	0.00000743		mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000197	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	72-55-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000103	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	50-29-3	Surface Water	0.00000174	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000283	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Aldrin	309-00-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000116	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	319-84-6	Surface Water	0.00000477	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000114	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	5103-71-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000134	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	319-85-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000145	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	delta-BHC	319-86-8	Surface Water	0.000000682	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000326	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	959-98-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000143	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000111	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000276	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endrin	72-20-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000217	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000023	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	Surface Water	0.000000623	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000159	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	Surface Water	0.000000782	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000113	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	5103-74-2	Surface Water	0.00000542	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000117	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor	76-44-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000043	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000132	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Toxaphene	8001-35-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.0000952	0.0000108	1	PESTICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid (Dalapon)	75-99-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000238	0.000174	1	HERBICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	2,4-D	94-75-7	Surface Water	0.0000877	J	mg/l	0.00019	0.0000353	1	HERBICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	2,4-DB	94-82-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00019	0.0000424	1	HERBICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	Dichlorprop	120-36-5	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00019	0.0000474	1	HERBICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	94-74-6	Surface Water	0.0231		mg/l	0.019	0.00657	1	HERBICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	MCPP	93-65-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.019	0.0138	1	HERBICIDES
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	NA	7/24/2018	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000544	1	VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	NA	7/24/2018	SW8260C	Benzene	71-43-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000596	1	VOLATILE

Table A-1 Summary of Split Surface Water Sample Results															
Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	NA	7/24/2018	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000501	1	VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	123-91-1	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.00208	0.000201	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	90-12-0	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000583	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000646	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	83-32-9	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000677	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000677	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Anthracene	120-12-7	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.000051	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000781	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000552	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.000101	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000719	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000917	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	85-68-7	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.00104	0.000481	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.0104	0.0048	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Carbazole	86-74-8	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000531	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Chrysene	218-01-9	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000844	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	53-70-3	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.000075	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Dinoseb	88-85-7	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.00208	0.000384	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	206-44-0	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000625	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Fluorene	86-73-7	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000719	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	193-39-5	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000885	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Naphthalene	91-20-3	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000615	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	85-01-8	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000573	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Pyrene	129-00-0	Surface Water	0.0000699	J	mg/l	0.000198	0.0000563	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.728	1	TPH
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPHC12C28	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.842	1	TPH
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPHC28C35	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.842	1	TPH
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH-GRO	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.728	1	TPH
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Antimony	7440-36-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.002	0.00112	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Antimony	7440-36-0	Surface Water	0.00118	J	mg/l	0.002	0.00112	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Arsenic	7440-38-2	Surface Water	0.00196		mg/l	0.001	0.000323	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Arsenic	7440-38-2	Surface Water	0.0019		mg/l	0.001	0.000323	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Barium	7440-39-3	Surface Water	0.0431		mg/l	0.01	0.000373	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Barium	7440-39-3	Surface Water	0.0405		mg/l	0.01	0.000373	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW6020A	Boron	7440-42-8	Surface Water	0.0953		mg/l	0.08	0.0303	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW6020A	Boron	7440-42-8	Surface Water	0.101		mg/l	0.08	0.0303	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Chromium	7440-47-3	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.002	0.000631	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Chromium	7440-47-3	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.002	0.000631	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Surface Water	0.000387	J	mg/l	0.0005	0.000075	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Surface Water	0.000147	J	mg/l	0.0005	0.000075	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Manganese	7439-96-5	Surface Water	0.0304		mg/l	0.005	0.00135	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Manganese	7439-96-5	Surface Water	0.00723		mg/l	0.005	0.00135	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Selenium	7782-49-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.005	0.000813	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Selenium	7782-49-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.005	0.000813	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Thallium	7440-28-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000063	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/26/2018	SW6020A	Thallium	7440-28-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000063	1	METALS, DISSOLVED
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/20/2018	SW7470A	Mercury	7439-97-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.0002	0.0000653	1	METALS, DISSOLVED

Table A-1 Summary of Split Surface Water Sample Results															
Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/20/2018	SW7470A	Mercury	7439-97-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.0002	0.0000653	1	METALS, TOTAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	72-54-8	Surface Water	0.00000649		mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000197	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	72-55-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000103	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	50-29-3	Surface Water	0.00000283	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000283	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Aldrin	309-00-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000116	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	319-84-6	Surface Water	0.00000297		mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000114	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	5103-71-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000134	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	319-85-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000145	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	delta-BHC	319-86-8	Surface Water	0.000000575	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000326	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	959-98-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000143	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000111	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000276	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endrin	72-20-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000217	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.00000023	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	Surface Water	0.000000556	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000159	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000113	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	5103-74-2	Surface Water	0.00000552	J	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000283	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor	76-44-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.00000043	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00000124	0.000000132	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/26/2018	SW8081B	Toxaphene	8001-35-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.0000952	0.0000108	1	PESTICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid (Dalapon)	75-99-0	Surface Water	0.000223	J	mg/l	0.000238	0.000174	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	2,4-D	94-75-7	Surface Water	0.0000846	J	mg/l	0.00019	0.0000353	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	2,4-DB	94-82-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00019	0.0000424	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	Dichloroprop	120-36-5	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00019	0.0000474	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	94-74-6	Surface Water	0.0228		mg/l	0.019	0.00657	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	SW8151A	MCPP	93-65-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.019	0.0138	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	NA	7/27/2018	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000544	1	VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	NA	7/27/2018	SW8260C	Benzene	71-43-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000596	1	VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	NA	7/27/2018	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.001	0.000501	1	VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	123-91-1	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.00192	0.000186	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	90-12-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000538	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000596	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	83-32-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000625	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000625	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Anthracene	120-12-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000471	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000721	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.000051	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000933	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000663	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000846	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	85-68-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000962	0.000444	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00962	0.00443	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Carbazole	86-74-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.000049	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Chrysene	218-01-9	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000779	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	53-70-3	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000692	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Dinoseb	88-85-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.00192	0.000355	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	206-44-0	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000577	1	SEMI-VOLATILE

Table A-1 Summary of Split Surface Water Sample Results

Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Fluorene	86-73-7	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000663	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	193-39-5	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000817	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Naphthalene	91-20-3	Surface Water	ND	UJ	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000567	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	85-01-8	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000529	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/26/2018	SW8270D	Pyrene	129-00-0	Surface Water	0.0000541	J	mg/l	0.000183	0.0000519	1	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.726	1	TPH
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPHC12C28	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.84	1	TPH
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPHC28C35	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.84	1	TPH
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH-GRO	Surface Water	ND	U	mg/l	1.75	0.726	1	TPH
<div>NOTES: CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service EAQual = EA Qualifier J = Estimated value MDL = Method detection limit mg/L = Miligram(s) per liter NA = Not applicable ND = Analyte not detected RL = Reporting limit TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons U = Value not detected above the MDL</div>															

Table A-2 Summary of Split Sediment Sample Results

Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Antimony	7440-36-0	Sediment	8.13	J-	mg/kg	0.185	0.0574	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/25/2018	SW6020A	Arsenic	7440-38-2	Sediment	1380	J	mg/kg	0.926	0.241	10	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Barium	7440-39-3	Sediment	152		mg/kg	0.926	0.0537	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Boron	7440-42-8	Sediment	9.75		mg/kg	7.41	0.707	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Chromium	7440-47-3	Sediment	18.3	J	mg/kg	0.185	0.0611	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Sediment	4.58		mg/kg	0.0463	0.00778	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Manganese	7439-96-5	Sediment	228		mg/kg	0.463	0.148	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Selenium	7782-49-2	Sediment	1.23	J	mg/kg	0.463	0.0556	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Thallium	7440-28-0	Sediment	0.249	J	mg/kg	0.0926	0.012	1	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/20/2018	SW7471B	Mercury	7439-97-6	Sediment	2.24	J	mg/kg	0.136	0.0306	5	METALS
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	72-54-8	Sediment	1.06	J	mg/kg	0.00742	0.002	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	72-55-9	Sediment	0.483		mg/kg	0.00742	0.00151	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/30/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	50-29-3	Sediment	1.89	J	mg/kg	0.0371	0.0141	500	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Aldrin	309-00-2	Sediment	0.0429	J	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00231	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	319-84-6	Sediment	0.049	J	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00183	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	5103-71-9	Sediment	0.0451	J	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00186	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	319-85-7	Sediment	0.0595	J	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00191	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	delta-BHC	319-86-8	Sediment	0.0171		mg/kg	0.00742	0.00235	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Dieldrin	60-57-1	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00186	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	959-98-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00201	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00164	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00193	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endrin	72-20-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.0029	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00265	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00265	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	Sediment	0.00272	J	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00254	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	5103-74-2	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00173	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor	76-44-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.00233	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00742	0.0019	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Toxaphene	8001-35-2	Sediment	4.84	J	mg/kg	0.297	0.201	100	PESTICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	75-99-0	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.159	0.0914	1	HERBICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	2,4-D	94-75-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.142	0.0462	1	HERBICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	2,4-DB	94-82-6	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.142	0.0821	1	HERBICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	Dichlorprop	120-36-5	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.142	0.048	1	HERBICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	94-74-6	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	14.2	4.48	1	HERBICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	MCPP	93-65-2	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	14.2	5.41	1	HERBICIDES
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/18/2018	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00903	0.00184	1	VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/18/2018	SW8260C	Benzene	71-43-2	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00903	0.00354	1	VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/18/2018	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00903	0.00284	1	VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	123-91-1	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	1.19	0.186	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	90-12-0	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.12	0.0272	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	Sediment	0.034	J	mg/kg	0.12	0.0286	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	83-32-9	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.12	0.0343	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	Sediment	0.0262	J	mg/kg	0.12	0.0261	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Anthracene	120-12-7	Sediment	0.0417	J	mg/kg	0.12	0.0309	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	Sediment	0.117	J	mg/kg	0.12	0.0225	20	SEMI-VOLATILE

Table A-2 Summary of Split Sediment Sample Results

Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	Sediment	0.149		mg/kg	0.12	0.0259	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	Sediment	0.221		mg/kg	0.12	0.0293	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	Sediment	0.171		mg/kg	0.12	0.0257	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	Sediment	0.125		mg/kg	0.12	0.0358	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	85-68-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.59	0.411	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	Sediment	1.35	J	mg/kg	5.9	0.636	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Carbazole	86-74-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.12	0.0279	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Chrysene	218-01-9	Sediment	0.218		mg/kg	0.12	0.0234	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	53-70-3	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.12	0.0266	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Dinoseb	88-85-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	1.2	0.252	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	206-44-0	Sediment	0.33		mg/kg	0.12	0.0315	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Fluorene	86-73-7	Sediment	0.024	J	mg/kg	0.12	0.0234	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	193-39-5	Sediment	0.115	J	mg/kg	0.12	0.0241	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Naphthalene	91-20-3	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.12	0.0232	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	85-01-8	Sediment	0.154		mg/kg	0.12	0.032	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Pyrene	129-00-0	Sediment	0.322		mg/kg	0.12	0.0282	20	SEMI-VOLATILE
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	Sediment	87.8		mg/kg	20	10	1	TPH
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPHC12C28	Sediment	59	J-	mg/kg	20	10	1	TPH
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPHC28C35	Sediment	28.8	J	mg/kg	20	10	1	TPH
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH-GRO	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	20	10	1	TPH
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Antimony	7440-36-0	Sediment	19.6	J	mg/kg	0.19	0.0588	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/25/2018	SW6020A	Arsenic	7440-38-2	Sediment	741	J	mg/kg	0.948	0.247	10	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Barium	7440-39-3	Sediment	231		mg/kg	0.948	0.055	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Boron	7440-42-8	Sediment	11.5		mg/kg	7.59	0.725	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Chromium	7440-47-3	Sediment	35.5	J	mg/kg	0.19	0.0626	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Sediment	6.67		mg/kg	0.0474	0.00797	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Manganese	7439-96-5	Sediment	234		mg/kg	0.474	0.152	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Selenium	7782-49-2	Sediment	57.9	J	mg/kg	0.474	0.0569	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/23/2018	SW6020A	Thallium	7440-28-0	Sediment	1.88	J	mg/kg	0.0948	0.0123	1	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/20/2018	SW7471B	Mercury	7439-97-6	Sediment	4.01	J	mg/kg	0.278	0.0622	10	METALS
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/30/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	72-54-8	Sediment	2.15	J	mg/kg	0.0394	0.0106	500	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	72-55-9	Sediment	0.678	J	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00161	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/30/2018	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	50-29-3	Sediment	5.39	J	mg/kg	0.0394	0.015	500	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Aldrin	309-00-2	Sediment	0.269	J	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00245	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	319-84-6	Sediment	0.352	J	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00194	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	5103-71-9	Sediment	0.109	J	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00198	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	319-85-7	Sediment	0.236	J	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00203	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	delta-BHC	319-86-8	Sediment	0.0367		mg/kg	0.00789	0.0025	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Dieldrin	60-57-1	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00198	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	959-98-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00214	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00174	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00205	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endrin	72-20-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00308	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00282	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00282	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.0027	100	PESTICIDES

Table A-2 Summary of Split Sediment Sample Results

Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	5103-74-2	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00184	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor	76-44-8	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00247	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00789	0.00202	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/16/2018	7/28/2018	SW8081B	Toxaphene	8001-35-2	Sediment	12	J	mg/kg	0.316	0.214	100	PESTICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	75-99-0	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.172	0.0984	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	2,4-D	94-75-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.153	0.0498	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	2,4-DB	94-82-6	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.153	0.0884	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	Dichlorprop	120-36-5	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.153	0.0517	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	94-74-6	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	15.3	4.83	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/20/2018	7/25/2018	SW8151A	MCPP	93-65-2	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	15.3	5.83	1	HERBICIDES
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/18/2018	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00953	0.00194	1	VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/18/2018	SW8260C	Benzene	71-43-2	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00953	0.00374	1	VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/18/2018	7/18/2018	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.00953	0.003	1	VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	123-91-1	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.632	0.0985	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	90-12-0	Sediment	0.0168	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0144	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	Sediment	0.0305	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0152	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	83-32-9	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0182	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	Sediment	0.0194	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0138	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Anthracene	120-12-7	Sediment	0.0272	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0164	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	Sediment	0.0903		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0119	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	Sediment	0.119		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0137	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	Sediment	0.189		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0155	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	Sediment	0.136		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0136	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	Sediment	0.0619	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0189	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	85-68-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.312	0.218	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	Sediment	0.82	J	mg/kg	3.12	0.337	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Carbazole	86-74-8	Sediment	0.0215	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0148	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Chrysene	218-01-9	Sediment	0.175		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0124	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	53-70-3	Sediment	0.0304	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0141	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Dinoseb	88-85-7	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	0.634	0.134	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	206-44-0	Sediment	0.251		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0167	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Fluorene	86-73-7	Sediment	0.017	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0124	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	193-39-5	Sediment	0.0994		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0128	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Naphthalene	91-20-3	Sediment	0.0155	J	mg/kg	0.0634	0.0123	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	85-01-8	Sediment	0.103		mg/kg	0.0634	0.0169	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/23/2018	SW8270D	Pyrene	129-00-0	Sediment	0.262		mg/kg	0.0634	0.015	10	SEMI-VOLATILE
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	Sediment	94.6		mg/kg	20	9.99	1	TPH
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPHC12C28	Sediment	60.8		mg/kg	20	9.99	1	TPH
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPHC28C35	Sediment	33.8	J	mg/kg	20	9.99	1	TPH
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	7/14/2018	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH-GRO	Sediment	ND	U	mg/kg	20	9.99	1	TPH
NOTES: CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service EAQual = EA Qualifier J = Estimated value J- = Estimated value, biased low															

Table A-2 Summary of Split Sediment Sample Results

Sample ID	Collected	Received	Prepped	Analyzed	Method	Component	CAS	Matrix	Result	EAQual	Units	RL	MDL	Dilution	Analytical Group
MDL = Method detection limit mg/kg = Milligrams per kilogram NA = Not applicable ND = Analyte not detected RL = Reporting limit TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons U = Value not detected above the MDL															

Table A-3 Surface Water Data Comparison Summary

Location ID	Method	Analyte	Parameter	EA Results			PRP Results			RPD ¹
				Result (mg/L)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/L)	Result (mg/L)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/L)	
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Antimony	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.00112	0.000525	J	0.0004	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Arsenic	Metals - Dissolved	0.00253		0.000323	0.00174	J	0.0004	37
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Barium	Metals - Dissolved	0.0387		0.000373	0.041		0.0019	6
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Boron	Metals - Dissolved	0.102		0.0303	0.115		0.011	12
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Chromium	Metals - Dissolved	0.00205		0.000631	0.000781	J	0.0004	90
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Cobalt	Metals - Dissolved	0.000184	J	0.000075	ND	U	0.0002	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Manganese	Metals - Dissolved	0.00684		0.00135	0.00748		0.0007	9
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Selenium	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.000813	ND	U	0.0011	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Thallium	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.000063	ND	U	0.0002	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Antimony	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.00112	0.000547	J	0.0004	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Arsenic	Metals - Total	0.00241		0.000323	0.00164	J	0.0004	38
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Barium	Metals - Total	0.043		0.000373	0.0419		0.0019	3
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Boron	Metals - Total	0.136		0.0303	0.113		0.011	18
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Chromium	Metals - Total	0.00304		0.000631	0.00104	J	0.0004	98
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Cobalt	Metals - Total	0.000474	J	0.000075	0.000328	J	0.0002	36
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Manganese	Metals - Total	0.0301		0.00135	0.0302		0.0007	0
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Selenium	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.000813	ND	U	0.0011	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Thallium	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.000063	ND	U	0.0002	NA
VBSW-03	SW7470A	Mercury	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.0000653	ND	U	0.00003	NA
VBSW-03	SW7470A	Mercury	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.0000653	ND	U	0.00003	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	Pesticides	0.00000743		0.000000197	0.0000072	J	0.0000025	3
VBSW-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000103	0.0000052	J	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	Pesticides	0.00000174	J	0.000000283	0.0000071	J	0.0000025	75
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Aldrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000116	ND	U	0.0000012	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	Pesticides	0.00000477	J	0.000000114	0.0000059		0.0000012	21
VBSW-03	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000134	ND	U	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000145	0.0000037	J	0.0000012	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	delta-BHC	Pesticides	0.000000682	J	0.000000326	ND	U	0.0000012	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000143	0.0000081	J	0.0000012	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000111	ND	U	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000276	ND	U	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000217	ND	U	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000023	ND	U	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	Pesticides	0.000000623	J	0.000000159	ND	U	0.0000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Pesticides	0.000000782	J	0.000000113	0.000005		0.0000012	146
VBSW-03	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	Pesticides	0.00000542	J	0.000000117	0.0000037		0.0000025	46
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000043	0.0000035	J	0.0000012	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000132	0.0000026	J	0.0000012	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Toxaphene	Pesticides	ND	U	0.0000108	ND	U	0.000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	Herbicides	ND	U	0.000174	ND	UJL	0.00007	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	2,4-D	Herbicides	0.0000877	J	0.0000353	ND	U	0.00006	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	2,4-DB	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0000424	ND	U	0.00008	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	Dichlorprop	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0000474	ND	U	0.00008	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	Herbicides	0.0231		0.00657	ND	U	0.0081	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	MCPP	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0138	ND	U	0.007	NA
VBSW-03	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.000544	ND	U	0.0004	NA
VBSW-03	SW8260C	Benzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.000596	ND	U	0.0002	NA
VBSW-03	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.000501	ND	U	0.0003	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000201	ND	UJL	0.000057	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000583	ND	UJL	0.00001	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000646	ND	UJL	0.000019	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000677	ND	UJL	0.000027	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000677	ND	UJL	0.000015	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000051	ND	UJL	0.000014	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000781	ND	UJL	0.00005	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000552	ND	UJL	0.00002	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000101	0.000056	JL	0.000023	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000719	0.000039	JL	0.000014	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000917	0.000027	JL	0.000019	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000481	0.000081	JL	0.000019	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0048	0.000072	JL	0.000037	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Carbazole	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000531	ND	UJL	0.000025	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Chrysene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000844	ND	UJL	0.000021	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000075	ND	UJL	0.000024	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Dinoseb ²	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000384	ND	U	0.00005	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000625	0.000054	JL	0.00001	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Fluorene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000719	ND	UJL	0.00003	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000885	ND	UJL	0.000022	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Naphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000615	ND	UJL	0.00002	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000573	ND	UJL	0.000021	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.0000699	J	0.0000563	0.000043	JL	0.000019	48
VBSW-03	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	ND	U	0.728	ND	U	0.2	NA
VBSW-03	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPH	ND	U	0.842	ND	U	0.2	NA
VBSW-03	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPH	ND	U	0.842	ND	U	0.2	NA
VBSW-03	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH	ND	U	0.728	ND	U	0.2	NA

NOTES:

RPDs exceeding the 30 percent criterion are in bold type.

¹ RPD only calculated for analytes detected above the MDL by both the EA and PRP laboratories

² Analyte was analyzed under SW8151 under the PRP dataset

J = Estimated value

L = Estimated low

MDL = Method Detection Limit

mg/L = Miligram(s) per liter

ND = Analyte not detected

NA = Not applicable

NR = Not reported

PRP = Potentially responsible party

RPD = Relative percent difference

TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons

U = Value not detected above the MDL

Table A-4 Sediment Data Comparison Summary

Location ID	Method	Analyte	Parameter	EA Results			PRP Results			RPD ¹
				Result (mg/kg)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/kg)	Result (mg/kg)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/kg)	
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Antimony	Metals	8.13	J-	0.0574	5.19		0.065	44
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Arsenic	Metals	1380	J	0.241	1370		0.07	1
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Barium	Metals	152		0.0537	193		0.03	24
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Boron	Metals	9.75		0.707	14.7		0.77	40
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Chromium	Metals	18.3	J	0.0611	32.2		0.023	55
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Cobalt	Metals	4.58		0.00778	6.77		0.015	39
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Manganese	Metals	228		0.148	260		0.043	13
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Selenium	Metals	1.23	J	0.0556	4.58		0.091	115
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Thallium	Metals	0.249	J	0.012	ND	U	0.223	NA
VBSD-03	SW7471B	Mercury	Metals	2.24	J	0.0306	3.36		0.00047	40
VBSD-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	Pesticides	1.06	J	0.002	0.3		0.0005	112
VBSD-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	Pesticides	0.483		0.00151	0.084		0.0005	141
VBSD-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	Pesticides	1.89	J	0.0141	1.2		0.0005	45
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Aldrin	Pesticides	0.0429	J	0.00231	0.027	J	0.0003	45
VBSD-03	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	Pesticides	0.049	J	0.00183	0.0099		0.0003	133
VBSD-03	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	Pesticides	0.0451	J	0.00186	0.017		0.0002	90
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	Pesticides	0.0595	J	0.00191	0.032		0.0003	60
VBSD-03	SW8081B	delta-BHC	Pesticides	0.0171		0.00235	ND	U	0.0002	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Dieldrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00186	0.016	J	0.0005	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00201	0.013		0.0003	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00164	0.015		0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00193	0.0025	J	0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.0029	0.018	J	0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00265	0.017		0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00265	0.0096	J	0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Pesticides	0.00272	J	0.00254	ND	U	0.0002	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00173	ND	UJ	0.0002	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00233	ND	U	0.0003	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	Pesticides	ND	U	0.0019	0.0093	J	0.0003	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Toxaphene	Pesticides	4.84	J	0.201	ND	U	0.0048	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0914	ND	UJL	0.0012	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	2,4-D	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0462	ND	UJL	0.0007	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	2,4-DB	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0821	0.03	JL	0.0009	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	Dichloroprop	Herbicides	ND	U	0.048	ND	UJL	0.0016	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	Herbicides	ND	U	4.48	ND	UJL	0.1	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	MCPP	Herbicides	ND	U	5.41	3.3	JL	0.16	NA
VBSD-03	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.00184	ND	U	0.001	NA
VBSD-03	SW8260C	Benzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.00354	ND	U	0.0005	NA
VBSD-03	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.00284	ND	U	0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.186	ND	U	0.0022	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0272	0.0037	J	0.0015	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	0.034	J	0.0286	0.0052	J	0.0005	147
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0343	ND	U	0.0005	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	Semivolatiles	0.0262	J	0.0261	ND	U	0.001	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Anthracene	Semivolatiles	0.0417	J	0.0309	0.0026	J	0.0005	177
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	Semivolatiles	0.117	J	0.0225	0.017		0.0016	149
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.149		0.0259	0.022		0.001	149
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	0.221		0.0293	0.036		0.0012	144
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	Semivolatiles	0.171		0.0257	0.019		0.0007	160
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	0.125		0.0358	0.014		0.0009	160
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.411	0.0042	J	0.0013	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Semivolatiles	1.35	J	0.636	0.07		0.0017	180
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Carbazole	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0279	ND	U	0.0012	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Chrysene	Semivolatiles	0.218		0.0234	0.03		0.0008	152
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0266	0.0049	J	0.0016	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Dinoseb ²	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.252	ND	UJL	0.0014	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	0.33		0.0315	0.038		0.0011	159
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Fluorene	Semivolatiles	0.024	J	0.0234	ND	U	0.0011	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.115	J	0.0241	0.022		0.0008	136
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Naphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0232	ND	U	0.0006	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	Semivolatiles	0.154		0.032	0.011		0.0015	173
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.322		0.0282	0.031		0.0006	165
VBSD-03	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	87.8		10	ND	U	7.4	NA
VBSD-03	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPH	59	J-	10	ND	U	9.8	NA
VBSD-03	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPH	28.8	J	10	ND	U	9.8	NA
VBSD-03	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH	ND	U	10	ND	U	7.4	NA

NOTES:

RPDs exceeding the 50 percent criterion are in bold type.

¹ RPD only calculated for analytes detected above the MDL by both the EA and PRP laboratories.

² Analyte was analyzed under SW8151 under the PRP dataset.

J = Estimated value

J- = Estimated value, biased low

L = Estimated value, biased low

MDL = Method Detection Limit

mg/kg = Miligram per kilogram

NA = Not applicable

ND = Analyte not detected

NR = Not reported

RPD = Relative percent difference

U = Value not detected above the MDL

Table A-5 Surface Water Duplicate Comparison Summary

Location ID	Method	Analyte	Parameter	EA Split Sample			EA Split Sample Duplicate			RPD
				Result (mg/L)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/L)	Result (mg/L)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/L)	
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Antimony	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.00112	0.00118	J	0.00112	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Arsenic	Metals - Dissolved	0.00253		0.000323	0.0019		0.000323	28
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Barium	Metals - Dissolved	0.0387		0.000373	0.0405		0.000373	5
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Boron	Metals - Dissolved	0.102		0.0303	0.101		0.0303	1
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Chromium	Metals - Dissolved	0.00205		0.000631	ND	U	0.000631	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Cobalt	Metals - Dissolved	0.000184	J	0.000075	0.000147	J	0.000075	22
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Manganese	Metals - Dissolved	0.00684		0.00135	0.00723		0.00135	6
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Selenium	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.000813	ND	U	0.000813	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Thallium	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.000063	ND	U	0.000063	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Antimony	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.00112	ND	U	0.00112	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Arsenic	Metals - Total	0.00241		0.000323	0.00196		0.000323	21
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Barium	Metals - Total	0.043		0.000373	0.0431		0.000373	0
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Boron	Metals - Total	0.136		0.0303	0.0953		0.0303	within 2x MDL
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Chromium	Metals - Total	0.00304		0.000631	ND	U	0.000631	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Cobalt	Metals - Total	0.000474	J	0.000075	0.000387	J	0.000075	20
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Manganese	Metals - Total	0.0301		0.00135	0.0304		0.00135	1
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Selenium	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.000813	ND	U	0.000813	NA
VBSW-03	SW6020A	Thallium	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.000063	ND	U	0.000063	NA
VBSW-03	SW7470A	Mercury	Metals - Dissolved	ND	U	0.0000653	ND	U	0.0000653	NA
VBSW-03	SW7470A	Mercury	Metals - Total	ND	U	0.0000653	ND	U	0.0000653	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	Pesticides	0.00000743		0.000000197	0.00000649		0.00000197	14
VBSW-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000103	ND	U	0.000000103	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	Pesticides	0.00000174	J	0.000000283	0.00000283	J	0.000000283	48
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Aldrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000116	ND	U	0.000000116	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	Pesticides	0.00000477	J	0.000000114	0.00000297		0.000000114	47
VBSW-03	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000134	ND	U	0.000000134	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000145	ND	U	0.000000145	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	delta-BHC	Pesticides	0.000000682	J	0.000000326	0.000000575	J	0.000000326	17
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000143	ND	U	0.000000143	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000111	ND	U	0.000000111	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000276	ND	U	0.000000276	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000217	ND	U	0.000000217	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000023	ND	U	0.000000023	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	Pesticides	0.000000623	J	0.000000159	0.000000556	J	0.000000159	11
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Pesticides	0.000000782	J	0.000000113	ND	U	0.000000113	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	Pesticides	0.00000542	J	0.000000117	0.00000552	J	0.000000117	2
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000043	ND	U	0.000000043	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	Pesticides	ND	U	0.000000132	ND	U	0.000000132	NA
VBSW-03	SW8081B	Toxaphene	Pesticides	ND	U	0.0000108	ND	U	0.0000108	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	Herbicides	ND	U	0.000174	0.000223	J	0.000174	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	2,4-D	Herbicides	0.0000877	J	0.0000353	0.0000846	J	0.0000353	4
VBSW-03	SW8151A	2,4-DB	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0000424	ND	U	0.0000424	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	Dichloroprop	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0000474	ND	U	0.0000474	NA
VBSW-03	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	Herbicides	0.0231		0.00657	0.0228		0.00657	1
VBSW-03	SW8151A	MCPP	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0138	ND	U	0.0138	NA
VBSW-03	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.000544	ND	U	0.000544	NA
VBSW-03	SW8260C	Benzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.000596	ND	U	0.000596	NA
VBSW-03	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.000501	ND	U	0.000501	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000201	ND	UJ	0.000186	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000583	ND	U	0.0000538	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000646	ND	U	0.0000596	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000677	ND	U	0.0000625	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000677	ND	U	0.0000625	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000051	ND	U	0.0000471	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000781	ND	U	0.0000721	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000552	ND	U	0.000051	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000101	ND	U	0.0000933	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000719	ND	U	0.0000663	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000917	ND	U	0.0000846	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000481	ND	U	0.000444	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0048	ND	U	0.00443	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Carbazole	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000531	ND	U	0.000049	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Chrysene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000844	ND	U	0.0000779	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000075	ND	U	0.0000692	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Dinoseb	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.000384	ND	U	0.000355	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000625	ND	U	0.0000577	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Fluorene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000719	ND	U	0.0000663	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000885	ND	U	0.0000817	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Naphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000615	ND	UJ	0.0000567	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	Semivolatiles	ND	UJ	0.0000573	ND	U	0.0000529	NA
VBSW-03	SW8270D	Pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.0000699	J	0.0000563	0.0000541	J	0.0000519	25
VBSW-03	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	ND	U	0.728	ND	U	0.726	NA
VBSW-03	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPH	ND	U	0.842	ND	U	0.84	NA
VBSW-03	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPH	ND	U	0.842	ND	U	0.84	NA
VBSW-03	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH	ND	U	0.728	ND	U	0.726	NA

NOTES:
RPDs exceeding the 30 percent criterion are in bold type.
J = Estimated value
MDL = Method Detection Limit
mg/L = Milligram(s) per liter
NA = Not applicable
ND = Analyte not detected
RPD = Relative percent difference
TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons
U = Value not detected above the MDL

Table A-6 Sediment Duplicate Comparison Summary

Location ID	Method	Analyte	Parameter	EA Split Sample			EA Split Sample Duplicate			RPD
				Result (mg/kg)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/kg)	Result (mg/kg)	Qualifier	MDL (mg/kg)	
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Antimony	Metals	8.13	J-	0.0574	19.6	J	0.0588	83
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Arsenic	Metals	1380	J	0.241	741	J	0.247	60
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Barium	Metals	152		0.0537	231		0.055	41
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Boron	Metals	9.75		0.707	11.5		0.725	16
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Chromium	Metals	18.3	J	0.0611	35.5	J	0.0626	64
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Cobalt	Metals	4.58		0.00778	6.67		0.00797	37
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Manganese	Metals	228		0.148	234		0.152	3
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Selenium	Metals	1.23	J	0.0556	57.9	J	0.0569	192
VBSD-03	SW6020A	Thallium	Metals	0.249	J	0.012	1.88	J	0.0123	153
VBSD-03	SW7471B	Mercury	Metals	2.24	J	0.0306	4.01	J	0.0622	57
VBSD-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDD	Pesticides	1.06	J	0.002	2.15	J	0.0106	68
VBSD-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDE	Pesticides	0.483		0.00151	0.678	J	0.00161	34
VBSD-03	SW8081B	4,4-DDT	Pesticides	1.89	J	0.0141	5.39	J	0.015	96
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Aldrin	Pesticides	0.0429	J	0.00231	0.269	J	0.00245	145
VBSD-03	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	Pesticides	0.049	J	0.00183	0.352	J	0.00194	151
VBSD-03	SW8081B	alpha-Chlordane	Pesticides	0.0451	J	0.00186	0.109	J	0.00198	83
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Beta-BHC	Pesticides	0.0595	J	0.00191	0.236	J	0.00203	119
VBSD-03	SW8081B	delta-BHC	Pesticides	0.0171		0.00235	0.0367		0.0025	73
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Dieldrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00186	ND	U	0.00198	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan I	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00201	ND	U	0.00214	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan II	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00164	ND	U	0.00174	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endosulfan sulfate	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00193	ND	U	0.00205	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endrin	Pesticides	ND	U	0.0029	ND	U	0.00308	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endrin aldehyde	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00265	ND	U	0.00282	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00265	ND	U	0.00282	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Pesticides	0.00272	J	0.00254	ND	U	0.0027	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	gamma-Chlordane	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00173	ND	U	0.00184	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor	Pesticides	ND	U	0.00233	ND	U	0.00247	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Heptachlor epoxide	Pesticides	ND	U	0.0019	ND	U	0.00202	NA
VBSD-03	SW8081B	Toxaphene	Pesticides	4.84	J	0.201	12	J	0.214	85
VBSD-03	SW8151A	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0914	ND	U	0.0984	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	2,4-D	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0462	ND	U	0.0498	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	2,4-DB	Herbicides	ND	U	0.0821	ND	U	0.0884	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	Dichlorprop	Herbicides	ND	U	0.048	ND	U	0.0517	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	MCPA (2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid)	Herbicides	ND	U	4.48	ND	U	4.83	NA
VBSD-03	SW8151A	MCPP	Herbicides	ND	U	5.41	ND	U	5.83	NA
VBSD-03	SW8260C	1,4-dichlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.00184	ND	U	0.00194	NA
VBSD-03	SW8260C	Benzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.00354	ND	U	0.00374	NA
VBSD-03	SW8260C	Chlorobenzene	Volatiles	ND	U	0.00284	ND	U	0.003	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	1,4-dioxane	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.186	ND	U	0.0985	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0272	0.0168	J	0.0144	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	2-methylnaphthalene	Semivolatiles	0.034	J	0.0286	0.0305	J	0.0152	11
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0343	ND	U	0.0182	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene	Semivolatiles	0.0262	J	0.0261	0.0194	J	0.0138	30
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Anthracene	Semivolatiles	0.0417	J	0.0309	0.0272	J	0.0164	42
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene	Semivolatiles	0.117	J	0.0225	0.0903		0.0119	26
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.149		0.0259	0.119		0.0137	22
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	0.221		0.0293	0.189		0.0155	16
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	Semivolatiles	0.171		0.0257	0.136		0.0136	23
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	0.125		0.0358	0.0619	J	0.0189	68
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Benzyl butyl phthalate	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.411	ND	U	0.218	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Semivolatiles	1.35	J	0.636	0.82	J	0.337	49
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Carbazole	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0279	0.0215	J	0.0148	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Chrysene	Semivolatiles	0.218		0.0234	0.175		0.0124	22
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0266	0.0304	J	0.0141	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Dinoseb	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.252	ND	U	0.134	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Fluoranthene	Semivolatiles	0.33		0.0315	0.251		0.0167	27
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Fluorene	Semivolatiles	0.024	J	0.0234	0.017	J	0.0124	34
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.115	J	0.0241	0.0994		0.0128	15
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Naphthalene	Semivolatiles	ND	U	0.0232	0.0155	J	0.0123	NA
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Phenanthrene	Semivolatiles	0.154		0.032	0.103		0.0169	40
VBSD-03	SW8270D	Pyrene	Semivolatiles	0.322		0.0282	0.262		0.015	21
VBSD-03	TX1005	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)	TPH	87.8		10	94.6		9.99	7
VBSD-03	TX1005	TPH (C12-C28)	TPH	59	J-	10	60.8		9.99	3
VBSD-03	TX1005	TPH (C28-C35)	TPH	28.8	J	10	33.8	J	9.99	16
VBSD-03	TX1005	TPH-GRO (C6-C10)	TPH	ND	U	10	ND	U	9.99	NA

NOTES:
RPDs exceeding the 50 percent criterion are in bold type.
J = Estimated value
MDL = Method Detection Limit
mg/kg = Miligram(s) per kilogram
NA = Not applicable
ND = Analyte not detected
RPD = Relative percent difference
TPH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons
U = Value not detected above the MDL
within 3xMDL = Parent and/or duplicate result is within three times the MDL

Appendix B

Laboratory Analytical Data Reports and Electronic Data Deliverables (Electronically on compact disc)

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C

Summary of Qualified Results and Data Validation Reports

This page intentionally left blank

Table C-1 Summary of Qualified Results

Sample Name	Date Sampled	Sample Delivery Group	Sample Type	Analyte	Final Qualifier	Reason Code
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Thallium	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Antimony	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Chromium	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Selenium	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Arsenic	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Mercury	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Aldrin	J	%D, FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	alpha-BHC	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Beta-BHC	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	alpha-Chlordane	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDE	J	%D
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Toxaphene	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDT	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDD	J	FD
FDVBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	TPH (C28-C35)	J	CAL
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Chromium, total	U	MB
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Chromium, dissolved	U	MB
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	alpha-BHC	J	FD
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	delta-BHC	J	%D
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDT	J	%D, FD
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	gamma-Chlordane	J	%D
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Endrin ketone	J	%D
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Dieldrin	J	%D
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	2,2-dichloropropionic acid	J	%D
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	2,4-D	J	%D
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	U	MB
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	1,4-dioxane	UJ	LCS low
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Phenanthrene	U	MB
FDVBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Naphthalene	UJ	LCS low
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Thallium	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Antimony	J-	MS low, FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Chromium	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Selenium	J	MS RPD, FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Arsenic	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Mercury	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Aldrin	J	%D, FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	alpha-BHC	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Beta-BHC	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	alpha-Chlordane	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	J	%D
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDD	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Toxaphene	J	%D, FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDT	J	FD
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	TPH (C12-C28)	J-	MS low
VBSD3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	TPH (C28-C35)	J	CAL
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	alpha-BHC	J	%D, FD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	delta-BHC	J	%D
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	4,4-DDT	J	%D, FD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	gamma-Chlordane	J	%D
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Endrin ketone	J	%D
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	J	%D
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Dieldrin	J	%D
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	UJ	MB, MS low, MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Anthracene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	1,4-dioxane	UJ	MS low, LCS low
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Pyrene	J	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Fluoranthene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Acenaphthylene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Chrysene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Benzo[a]pyrene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Benzo[a]anthracene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Acenaphthene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Phenanthrene	UJ	MB, MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Benzyl butyl phthalate	UJ	MB, MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Fluorene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Carbazole	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Dinoseb	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	1-Methylnaphthalene	UJ	MS RPD
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	Naphthalene	UJ	MS low, MS RPD, LCS low
VBSW3-180713	7/13/2018	180-79800-1	N	2-methylnaphthalene	UJ	MS RPD

Sample Name	Date Sampled	Sample Delivery Group	Sample Type	Analyte	Final Qualifier	Reason Code
NOTES: %D = The percent difference (%D) for recoveries between two GC columns was outside of criteria limits CAL - Calibration exceedance FD = Field duplicate J = Estimated value LCS low = Laboratory Control Sample(LCS) recovery below lower control limit MB = Method blank (MB) contamination MS = Matrix spike MS low = MS/MSD recovery below lower control limit MS RPD = Matrix spike RPD criteria exceeded MSD = Matrix spike duplicate RPD = Relative percent difference U = Analyte not detected						



DATA VALIDATION REPORT

U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site

**Volatile Organic Compounds
Semivolatile Organic Compounds
Pesticides
Herbicides
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
Metals**

SDG 180-79800-1

Chemical Analyses Performed by:

**TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
Pittsburgh, PA, Houston, TX, and Corpus Christi, TX**

Prepared by

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICES, LTD.

Prepared for

EA Engineering, Science and Technology, Inc.

September 14, 2018

**5 Brilliant Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15215
412.408.3288 | www.eds-pa.com**

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Group: 180-79800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh

Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site

Sampling dates: 07/13/18

Number of Samples: 6

Test Method: SW 846 8260C

Analysis: Volatile Organic Compounds (Benzene, Chlorobenzene, and 1,4-dichlorobenzene only)

Validation Level: Level 2B

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1 (QAPP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, (USEPA 2014).

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4
TBSW01-180713-07132018	180-79800-5
TBSD03-180713-07132018	180-79800-7

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues applied to this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with "R", rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

The case narrative was reviewed and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION:

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition. Proper custody was documented.

3. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detect results will be flagged as not detected at an estimated quantitation limit, "UJ", unless the holding time is grossly exceeded (by more than two times the holding time specified), in which case non-detect results are flagged "R", rejected. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

4. MASS SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure adequate mass resolution, proper identification of compounds and to some degree, sufficient instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for volatile organics is bromofluorobenzene. If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

5. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument can produce acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument can give acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) Response Factor:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. All analytes for initial and continuing calibration should meet the minimum relative response factor (RRF) criteria. If the RRF is less than minimum RRF specified, professional judgment is used and all detects in the sample will be qualified as "J+" or "R". All non-detects for that compound will be rejected "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

B) Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference:

Percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent difference (%D) compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to the mean RRF from the initial calibration.

Percent RSD must be less than maximum %RSD listed in the USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review for all target analytes. In cases where linear and non-linear regressions are used, correlation coefficients must be greater than 0.995. For the opening or closing continuing calibration verification (CCV), the %D must be within the inclusive opening or closing maximum %D limits for all target compounds. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. If the %RSD exceeds quality control criteria, detects may be qualified as "J", and professional judgment is used to qualify non-detects. If the %D exceeds quality control criteria, the positive results are flagged as estimated, "J", and non-detects are flagged "UJ". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

Note, closing CCVs were not performed for this project. Closing CCVs are not required by the method and no qualification was applied on this basis.

6. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks; i.e. method, trip, field, or rinse blanks; are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

A) Method blank contamination:

No problems were found for this criterion.

B) Field/Equipment blank contamination:

No equipment blanks were submitted.

C) Trip blank contamination:

Samples TBSW01-180713-07132018 and TBSD03-180713-07132018 were the trip blanks associated with the samples in this sample delivery group (SDG). No problems were found for this criterion.

D) Storage Blank associated with volatile samples only:

No storage blank was submitted in association with these samples.

7. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with system monitoring compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate recovery limits were outside quality control limits established by the laboratory, qualifications were applied to all the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion with one exception. One surrogate standard recovery was observed at a level slightly lower than the lowest acceptance limit during the primary analysis of sample FDVBSW3-180713-07132018. The observed recoveries and precision for all target analytes during the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate evaluations also performed on this sample, along with surrogate recoveries, were acceptable in all cases. Therefore, professional judgement was applied, and no qualification of data was necessary based upon surrogate recovery.

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION AND QUANTIFICATION:

Compound Identification

The compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and ion spectra. For the results to be a positive hit the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound and have an ion spectrum which has a ratio of the primary and secondary m/e intensities within 20% of that in the standard compound. In the cases where there is not an adequate ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

Target compound identifications were not reviewed at the Stage 2B level.

Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) were not reported and were not required to be reported for this program per the project QAPP.

Compound Quantification

Target compound result quantitation was not reviewed at the Stage 2B level.

Manual integrations were not reviewed at the Stage 2B level.

9. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY:

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD data may be used in conjunction with other quality control criteria for additional qualification of data.

Sample VBSW3-180713-07132018 was submitted for VOA MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. All precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 was submitted for VOA MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. All precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample VBSD3-180713-07132018 was submitted for VOA MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. All precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

10. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard performance criteria are meant to ensure that the gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run.

The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of two from the associated continuing calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary by more than ± 30 seconds from the associated continuing calibration standard. The area count must be within a (50-200%) range of the associated standard. If the area count is greater than 200%, non-detected results are not qualified and positive results are flagged as estimated with potential negative bias, "J-". If the area count is less than 50%, positive results are flagged as estimated with potential positive bias, "J+", and non-detected results are flagged "UJ". If the area count is less than 20%, positive results are flagged as estimated with potential positive bias, "J+", and non-detected results will be classified as unusable "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

11. FIELD DUPLICATES:

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. A control limit of 50% for the RPD or a difference of 3x the CRQL shall be used for soil samples, and 30% RPD or a difference of 2x the CRQL shall be used for aqueous samples per the project QAPP. For field duplicate analyses that do not meet the technical criteria, the action is applied to only the field sample and its duplicate.

Samples VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

Samples VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018 were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

12. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES:

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves as a monitor of the overall performance of each step during the analysis, including the sample preparation. Aqueous/water, soil/sediment, wipe, and filter LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within laboratory-specified limits. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

The LCS evaluations were performed at the appropriate frequency. No problems were found for this criterion.

13. DILUTIONS, RE-EXTRACTIONS & REANALYSIS:

Samples may be re-analyzed for dilution, re-extraction and for other QC reasons. In such cases, the best result values are used.

No dilutions, re-extractions, or other re-analyses were performed on any sample associated with this SDG.

Reported detection limits were evaluated for all samples in the delivery group. The reporting limits (RLs) specified in the QAPP for the analytes reported have been achieved in all cases.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
Volatiles		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Mass Spectrometer Tuning	x		
Response Factor	x		
Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference	x		
Internal Standards	x		
Method Blank	x		
Equipment Blank	n/a		
Trip Blank	x		
Storage Blank	n/a		
Surrogates	x		
Compound Identification	n/a		
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	x		
Field Duplicate	x		
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 3 Data Validation Qualifier Reason Codes

No Qualifiers Applied

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Group: 180-79800-1
Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh
Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site
Sampling dates: 07/13/18
Number of Samples: 4
Test Method: SW 846 8270D
Analysis: Semivolatile Organic Compounds

Validation Level: Level 2B

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1 (QAPP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, (USEPA 2014).

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues applied to this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with "R", rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

The case narrative was reviewed and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION:

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition. Proper custody was documented.

3. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detect results will be flagged as not detected at an estimated quantitation limit, "UJ", unless the holding time is grossly exceeded (by more than two times the holding time specified), in which case non-detect results are flagged "R", rejected. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All sample analyses were within the validation guidance.

4. MASS SPECTROMETER TUNING:

Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure adequate mass resolution, proper identification of compounds and to some degree, sufficient instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances. The tuning standard for semi-volatile organics is decafluorotriphenylphosphine. If the mass calibration is in error, all associated data will be classified as unusable "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

5. CALIBRATION:

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument can produce acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument can give acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) Response Factor:

The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific chemical compounds. All analytes for initial and continuing calibration should meet the minimum relative response factor (RRF) criteria as listed in the USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review. If the RRF is less than minimum RRF specified, use professional judgment and all detects in the sample will be qualified as "J" or "R". All non-detects for that compound will be rejected "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

Note, closing continuing calibration verifications (CCVs) were not performed for this project. Closing CCVs are not required by the method and no qualification was applied on this basis.

B) Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference:

Percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) is calculated for the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent difference (%D) compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to the mean RRF from the initial calibration.

Percent RSD must be less than maximum %RSD listed in the USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review for all target analytes. In cases where linear and non-linear regressions are used, correlation coefficients must be greater than 0.995. For the opening or closing continuing calibration verification (CCV) the %D must be within the inclusive opening or closing maximum %D limits for all target compounds. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. If the %RSD exceeds quality control criteria, detects may be qualified as "J" and professional judgment is used to qualify non-detects. If the %D exceeds quality control criteria, the positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

Note, closing CCVs were not performed for this project. Closing CCVs are not required by the method and no qualification was applied on this basis.

6. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e. method, trip, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Field and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

A) Method blank contamination:

No problems were found for this criterion with the following exceptions.

The method blank associated with the surface water samples exhibited positive results for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, Butyl benzyl phthalate, and Phenanthrene. Positive results reported for the affected analytes in surface water samples have been reviewed and qualified per validation guidance.

B) Field/Equipment blank contamination:

No field blanks were submitted in association with this sample site.

7. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with system monitoring compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate recovery limits were outside quality control limits established by the laboratory, qualifications were applied to all the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION AND QUANTIFICATION

Compound Identification

The compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) and ion spectra. For the results to be a positive hit the sample peak must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard compound, and have an ion spectrum which has a ratio of the primary and secondary m/e intensities within 20% of that in the standard compound. In the cases where there is not an adequate ion spectrum match, the laboratory may have provided false positive identifications.

Target compound identifications were not reviewed at the Stage 2B level

Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) were not reported and were not required to be reported for this program per the project QAPP.

Compound Quantification

Target compound result quantitation was not reviewed at the Stage 2B level.

Manual integrations were not reviewed at the Stage 2B level.

9. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY:

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data is generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD data may be used in conjunction with other quality control criteria for additional qualification of data.

Sample VBSD3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this sample delivery group (SDG). The MS and MSD analyses were performed at a dilution. Therefore, the resulting spike recoveries cannot be used to evaluate data quality.

Sample VBSW3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this sample delivery group (SDG). Upon evaluation the following data quality issues were identified.

Observed MS and MSD recoveries for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, Naphthalene, and 1,4-Dioxane were lower than the lowest acceptance limit. In addition, all relative percent differences observed between the MS and MSD measurements were unacceptable with the exception of 1,4-Dioxane. All results reported for sample VBSW3-180713 have been qualified "J" or "UJ" on this basis.

10. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE:

Internal standard performance criteria are meant to ensure that the gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run.

The internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of two from the associated continuing calibration standard. The retention time of the internal standard must not vary by more than ± 30 seconds from the associated continuing calibration standard. The area count must be within a (50-200%) range of the associated standard. If the area count is greater than 200%, non-detected results are not qualified and positive results are flagged as estimated "J-". If the area count is less than 50%, positive results are flagged as estimated "J+" and non-detected results are flagged "UJ". If the area count is less than 20%, positive results are flagged as estimated "J+" and non-detected results will be classified as unusable "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

11. FIELD DUPLICATES:

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. A control limit of 50% for the RPD or a difference of 3x the CRQL shall be used for soil samples, and 30% RPD or a difference of 2x the CRQL shall be used for aqueous samples. For field duplicate analyses that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample and its duplicate.

Samples VBSW3-180713 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

Samples VBSD3-180713 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018 were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

12. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES:

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves as a monitor of the overall performance of each step during the analysis, including the sample preparation. Aqueous/water, soil/sediment, wipe, and filter LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within the laboratory control limits. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion with the following exception.

The LCS evaluation associated with the surface water samples had observed recoveries for Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane that were lower than the lowest acceptance limit. Surface water results for these analytes not previously qualified were qualified "UJ" on this basis.

Note, the surface water LCS also exhibited a recover for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate that was higher than the highest acceptance limit. No qualification was necessary as any positive results for the analyte were previously qualified as "U" due to method blank contamination.

13. DILUTIONS, RE-EXTRACTIONS & REANALYSIS:

Samples may be re-analyzed for dilution, re-extraction and for other QC reasons. In such cases, the best result values are used.

The surface water samples were not analyzed at a dilution, however, many of the CRQLs specified in the QAPP for the analytes reported were exceeded.

The sediment samples were analyzed at dilutions and none of the CRQLs specified in the QAPP were met.

14. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE:

No other problems were found with system performance.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
Semi-volatiles		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Mass Spectrometer Tuning	x		
Calibration	x		
Response Factor	x		
Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference	x		
Internal Standards	x		
Method Blank			x
Equipment Blank	n/a		
Surrogates	x		
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate			x
Field Duplicate	x		
Laboratory Control Samples			x
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 3 Data Validation Qualifier Reason Codes

Data Qualifier	Definition
MB	Method blank contamination present
MS RPD	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD criteria exceeded
MS low	Matrix Spike recovery lower than lowest acceptance limit
LCS low	Laboratory Control Sample Spike recovery lower than lowest acceptance limit



EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Group: 180-79800-1
Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh
Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site
Sampling dates: 07/13/18
Number of Samples: 4
Test Method: SW846 8081B
Analysis: Pesticides

Validation Level: Level 2B

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1 (QAPP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, (USEPA 2014).

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues applied to this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with "R", rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data, but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

The case narrative was reviewed and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION:

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition. Proper custody was documented. No qualification was required.

3. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detect results will be flagged as not detected at an estimated quantitation limit, "UJ", unless the holding time is grossly exceeded (by more than two times the holding time specified), in which case non-detect results are flagged "R", rejected. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All sample analyses were within the validation guidance.

4. CALIBRATION

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

Percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent difference (%D) compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent difference is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. For the pesticide fraction, if %RSD exceeds limits outlined in validation guidance, qualify all associated positive results "J". If the %D exceeds 25% for any analyte, qualify all associated positive results "J" and non-detects "UJ". If %RSD and %D grossly exceed QC criteria, non-detect data may be qualified "R".

No problems were found for initial and continuing calibrations.

5. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e., method, trip, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks, field, equipment, and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. When an equipment blank, trip blank, or lab blank has an analyte detection greater than the analyte contract required quantitation limit (CRQL), then all associated field samples are flagged according to validation guidance.

A) Method blank contamination:

No problems were found for this criterion.

B) Field/Equipment blank contamination:

No field or equipment blank was submitted in association with this sample delivery group (SDG).

6. SURROGATES/SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUNDS

All samples are spiked with surrogate/system monitoring compounds (SMC) prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate/SMC concentrations were outside contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below. All surrogates should meet the laboratory control limits.

Surrogate recovery summaries were present for all samples. Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCMX) and decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) had observed surrogate recoveries within the established control limits in all cases. No qualification was applied on this basis.

Note both sediment samples were analyzed at dilutions preventing the ability to use of observed surrogate recoveries to assess data quality.

7. COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION

Target compound result quantitation was not reviewed at the stage 2B validation level.

Manual integrations were not reviewed at the stage 2B validation level.

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Pesticide Fraction

The retention times (RTs) of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns. The percent difference (%D) of the positive results obtained on the two GC columns should be less than or equal to 25%.

Retention Time

No problems were found for this criterion.

Percent Difference

No problems were found for this criterion with the following exceptions.

Sample Identification	Affected Analytes
VBSW3-180713	alpha-BHC, delta-BHC, dieldrin, endrin ketone, gamma-BHC, trans-chlordane, 4,4'-DDT,
FDVBSW3-18	delta-BHC, dieldrin, endrin ketone, trans-chlordane, 4,4'-DDT
VBSD3-180713	gamma-BHC, aldrin, toxaphene
FDVBSD3-180713	aldrin, 4,4'-DDE

Positive results for the analytes indicated in the affected sample were qualified "J", estimated, on this basis.

9. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY:

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data is generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD data may be used in conjunction with other quality control criteria for additional qualification of data. The spiking compound should meet the advisory limits established by the laboratory.

Sample VBSW3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample VBSD3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. Because the evaluations were performed at significant dilution the resulting MS and MSD recoveries and relative percent difference values could not be used to assess data quality.

10. FIELD DUPLICATES:

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. A control limit of 50% for the RPD or a difference of 3x the CRQL shall be used for soil samples, and 30% RPD or a difference of 2x the CRQL shall be used for aqueous samples. For field duplicates analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample and its duplicate.

Samples VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 comprise the field duplicate pair submitted in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

Samples VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018 comprise the field duplicate pair submitted in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated with the following exceptions.

4,4'-DDT	beta-BHC	toxaphene	4,4'-DDD
aldrin	cis-Chlordane	alpha-BHC	

Field duplicate sample results for the affected analytes have been qualified "J" on this basis.

11. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES:

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves as a monitor of the overall performance of each step during the analysis, including the sample preparation. Aqueous/water, soil/sediment, wipe, and filter LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within the laboratory control limits. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

The LCS evaluations were performed at the appropriate frequency. No problems were found for this criterion.

12. OTHER PROBLEMS:

None.

13. DILUTIONS, RE-EXTRACTIONS & REANALYSIS:

Samples may be re-analyzed for dilution, re-extraction and for other QC reasons. In such cases, the best result values are used.

No dilutions, re-extractions, or other re-analyses were performed in the case of the surface water samples. Reported detection limits were evaluated for all surface water samples. In all cases, with the exception of toxaphene, the CRQL specified in the QAPP for the analytes reported have been met.

Dilutions, re-extractions, and other re-analyses were performed in the case of the sediment samples. Reported detection limits were evaluated for all sediment samples. In all cases the CRQL specified in the QAPP for the analytes reported were not met.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
Pesticides		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference	x		
Method Blank	x		
Equipment/Field Blank	n/a		
Surrogates/System Monitoring Compounds	x		
Compound Quantification	n/a		
Compound Identification – Pesticides			x
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	x		
Field Duplicate			x
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 3 Data Validation Qualifier Reason Codes

Data Qualifier	Definition
%D	The percent difference between results obtained from two GC columns was outside of criteria limits
FD	The established precision criteria for the field duplicate pair results were not met.



EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Group: 180-79800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh

Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site

Sampling dates: 07/13/18

Number of Samples: 4

Test Method: SW 846 8151A

Analysis: Chlorinated Herbicides

Validation Level: Level 2B

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1 (QAPP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, (USEPA 2014) and USEPA SW-846 Test Method 8151A: Chlorinated Herbicides by Gas Chromatography (GC) Using Methylation or Pentafluorobenzoylation Derivatization, Revision 1, December 1996.

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues applied to this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with "R", rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data, but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

The case narrative was reviewed, and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION:

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition. Proper custody was documented. No qualification was required.

3. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detect results will be flagged as not detected at an estimated quantitation limit, "UJ", unless the holding time is grossly exceeded (by more than two times the holding time specified), in which case non-detect results are flagged "R", rejected. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All sample analyses were within the validation guidance.

4. CALIBRATION

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference

Percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent difference (%D) compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to the mean response factor (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent difference is a measure of the instrument's daily performance. For the herbicide fraction, if %RSD exceeds limits outlined in validation guidance, qualify all associated positive results "J". If the %D exceeds a limit of 15% for any analyte, qualify all associated positive results "J" and non-detects "UJ". If %RSD and %D grossly exceed QC criteria, non-detect data may be qualified "R".

The %RSD values for the target analytes on both analytical columns were within quality control limits in all cases.

Continuing calibrations were analyzed at the proper frequencies, and all observed %D values met quality control criteria for the compounds reported in all cases.

5. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e. method, trip, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks, field, equipment, and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. When an equipment blank, trip blank, or lab blank has an analyte detection greater than the analyte method detection limit (MDL), then all associated field samples are flagged according to validation guidance.

A) Method blank contamination:

No problems were found for this criterion.

B) Field/Equipment blank contamination:

No field or equipment blank were submitted in association with this project site.

6. SURROGATES/SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUNDS

All samples are spiked with surrogate/system monitoring compounds (SMC) prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate/SMC concentrations were outside contract specifications, qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below. All surrogates should meet the laboratory advisory limits

Surrogate recovery summaries were present for all samples. The observed recoveries for 2,4-dichlorophenylacetic acid (DCPAA) were within the established acceptance limits during surface water analyses on both analytical columns. The observed surrogate recovery was compliant on one analytical column and greater than 200 percent on the second column during the sediment sample analyses. Both sediment samples were found to be not detected for all target analyte herbicides. Therefore, no qualification of data was necessary on this basis.

7. COMPOUND QUANTIFICATION

Target compound result quantitation was not reviewed at the stage 2B validation level.

Manual integrations were not reviewed at the stage 2B validation level.

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Herbicide Fraction

The retention times (RTs) of reported compounds must fall within the calculated retention time windows for the two chromatographic columns. The %D of the positive results obtained on the two GC columns should be less than or equal to 25%.

Retention Time

No problems were found for this criterion.

Percent Difference

No problems were found for this criterion with the following exceptions.

Sample Identification	Affected Analytes
FDVBSW3-18	2,4-D, Dalapon

Positive results for the analytes indicated in the affected sample were qualified "J", estimated, on this basis.

9. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY:

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data is generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD data may be used in conjunction with other quality control criteria for additional qualification of data. The spiking compound should meet the advisory limits outlined by the laboratory.

Sample VBSW3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample VBSD3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. The matrix spike solutions used by the laboratory contained the target analytes at concentrations below the method detection limit. This situation resulted in the analytes in the MS and MSD being undetectable by the laboratory during analysis. Because the spiking level was at an inappropriate concentration, the resulting recovery information could not be used to assess data quality.

10. FIELD DUPLICATES:

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. A control limit of 50% for the RPD or 3x the CRQL shall be used for soil samples, and 30% RPD or 2x the CRQL shall be used for aqueous samples. For field duplicates analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample and its duplicate.

Samples VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 comprise the field duplicate pair submitted in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

Samples VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018 comprise the field duplicate pair submitted in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

11. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLES:

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves as a monitor of the overall performance of each step during the analysis, including the sample preparation. Aqueous/water, soil/sediment, wipe, and filter LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within the laboratory control limits. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

12. OTHER PROBLEMS:

None.

13. DILUTIONS, RE-EXTRACTIONS & REANALYSIS:

Samples may be re-analyzed for dilution, re-extraction and for other QC reasons. In such cases, the best result values are used.

No dilution, re-extraction, or other re-analysis was performed on the samples in association with this SDG.

Reported detection limits were evaluated for all samples in the delivery group. In all cases, the CRQL specified in the QAPP for the analytes reported have been achieved for surface water samples. However, none of the specified CRQLs were met in the case of sediment samples.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
Herbicides		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference	x		
Method Blank	x		
Equipment/Field Blank	n/a		
Surrogates/System Monitoring Compounds	x		
Compound Quantification	n/a		
Compound Identification – Herbicides			x
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	x		
Field Duplicate	x		
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		
Dilutions	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 3 Data Validation Qualifier Reason Codes

Data Qualifier	Definition
%D	The percent difference between results obtained from two GC columns was outside of criteria limits

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Group: 180-79800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. – Houston and Corpus Christi

Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site

Sampling dates: 07/13/18

Number of Samples: 6

Test Method: TX1005

Analysis: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)

Validation Level: Level 2B

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1 (QAPP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, (USEPA 2014).

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4
TBSW01-180713-07132018	180-79800-5
TBSD03-180713-07132018	180-79800-7

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues applied to this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with "R", rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data, but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW

The case narrative was reviewed and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition. Proper custody was documented.

3. HOLDING TIME

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J". The non-detect results will be flagged as not detected at an estimated quantitation limit, "UJ", unless the holding time is grossly exceeded (by more than two times the holding time specified), in which case non-detect results are flagged "R", rejected. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All sample analyses were within the validation guidance.

4. CALIBRATION

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument can produce acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument can give acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is giving satisfactory daily performance.

A) Initial Calibration

Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over increasing concentration. Percent RSD must be less than the maximum %RSD of 20% or, in cases where linear and non-linear regressions are used, linear correlation coefficients must be greater than or equal to 0.995. If the %RSD or correlation coefficient do not meet quality control criteria, detects may be qualified as "J" and professional judgement is used to qualify non-detects. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All associated initial calibrations met validation criteria with the following exceptions.

The initial calibration associated with sediment sample analyses only did not contain a calibration for the target analyte range >C28-C35. The laboratory has indicated that excluding the calibration is acceptable per method TX1005. However, the impacted results reported for the analyte range >C28-C35 have been qualified "J" estimated to reflect the additional uncertainty in results obtained without an analyte specific calibration.

B) Continuing Calibration

Percent difference (%D) compares the response factor of the continuing calibration check to mean response factor (RF) from the initial calibration. For the opening continuing calibration verification (CCV) the %D must be <20% for all target compounds. For the closing CCV the %D must be less than limits outlined in validation guidance. A value outside of these limits indicates potential detection and quantitation errors. If the %D exceeds quality control criteria, the positive results are flagged as estimated, "J" and non-detects are flagged "UJ". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

Associated continuing calibrations met all validation criteria.

5. BLANKS

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e. method, trip, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during shipment. Field and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

A) Method Blank

Method blanks were analyzed with appropriate frequency. No problems were found for this criterion.

B) Field Blank

No field blank was submitted in association with this project site.

C) Trip Blank

Samples TBSW01-180713-07132018 and TBSD03-180713-07132018 were the trip blanks associated with the samples in this sample delivery group (SDG). No problems were found for this criterion.

6. SURROGATES:

All samples are spiked with system monitoring compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. If the measured surrogate recovery limits were outside quality control limits established by the laboratory, qualifications were applied to all the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

7. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Compound Identification

The compounds are identified on the GC-FID by using the analytes relative retention time (RRT) on the chromatogram. For the results to be a positive hit, the sample peak must be within the anticipated RRT range for TPH compounds.

Target compound identifications were not reviewed for samples at the Stage 2b level.

Compound Quantification

Target compound result quantitation was not reviewed for samples validated at the Stage 2b level.

Manual integrations were not reviewed for samples at the Stage 2b level.

8. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data are generated to determine the long-term precision and accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices. The MS/MSD data may be used in conjunction with other quality control criteria for additional qualification of data.

Sample VBSW3-180713 was submitted for TPH MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. All precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample VBSD3-180713 was submitted for TPH MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. All precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable with the following exception. MS and MSD recoveries for C12-C28 were lower than the lowest acceptance limit. The C12-C28 result reported for sample VBSD3-180713 has been qualified "J" on this basis.

9. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves as a monitor of the overall performance of each step during the analysis, including the sample preparation. Aqueous/water, soil/sediment, wipe, and filter LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within the control limits established by the laboratory. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

LCS/LCS duplicate (LCSD) evaluations were performed for both liquid and solid matrices in association with the samples in this SDG. Observed recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were found to be acceptable in all cases.

10. FIELD DUPLICATE

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. A control limit of 50% for the RPD or a difference of three times (3x) the CRQL for soil samples, and 30% RPD or a difference of two times (2x) the CRQL for aqueous samples. For field duplicate analyses that do not meet the technical criteria, the action is applied to only the field sample and its duplicate.

Samples VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713 were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

Samples VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713 were submitted as a field duplicate pair in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

11. DILUTIONS, RE-EXTRACTIONS & REANALYSIS:

Samples may be re-analyzed for dilution, re-extraction and for other QC reasons. In such cases, the best result values are used.

No dilutions, re-extractions or other reanalysis was performed.

Reported detection limits were evaluated for all samples in the delivery group. In all cases, the CRQLs specified in the QAPP for the analytes reported have been achieved.

12. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE:

No other problems were found with system performance.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
TPH		Major	Minor
Sample Delivery Condition	x		
Holding Time	x		
Calibration	x		
Percent Relative Standard Deviation and Percent Difference	x		
Method Blank	x		
Equipment Blank	n/a		
Trip Blank	x		
Surrogates	x		
Compound Identification	x		
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate			x
Field Duplicate	x		
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 3 Data Validation Qualifier Reason Codes

Data Qualifier	Definition
MS low	Matrix Spike recovery lower than lowest acceptance limit
CAL	Target analyte was not included in the instrument calibration



EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Sample Delivery Group: 180-79800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh

Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site

Sampling dates: 07/13/18

Number of Samples: 4

Analysis: Total and Dissolved (Arsenic, Boron, Barium, Chromium, Cobalt, Manganese, Antimony, Selenium, Thallium, Mercury)

Validation Level: Level 2B

Quality Assurance Project Plan: Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1 (QAPP).

Validation Guidelines: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review, OLEM 9355.0-131, EPA-540-R-2016-001, (USEPA 2014).

Client Sample Identification	Laboratory Sample Identification
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4

Table 1 provides a summary of the major and minor data quality issues applied to this data set. All data are acceptable except those results which have been qualified with "R", rejected. Data validation qualifiers along with associated descriptions are provided in Table 2. All data qualification related to this group of samples is detailed on the attached sheets.

All data users should note two facts. First, an "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the data is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on any data tables even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration, even if it passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data, but any value potentially contains error.

DATA ASSESSMENT

1. NARRATIVE AND COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

The case narrative was reviewed and the data package was checked for completeness. No discrepancies were noted.

2. SAMPLE DELIVERY AND CONDITION:

The samples arrived at the laboratory in acceptable condition. Proper custody was documented.

3. HOLDING TIME:

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time is exceeded, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as estimated, "J" or "UJ" as appropriate. When holding times are exceeded by more than twice the time specified, the non-detects will be flagged as unusable, "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

All samples were within the validation guidance.

4. INSTRUMENT TUNING:

The Inductively Coupled Plasma/Mass Spectrometer (ICP/MS) must be tuned on a daily basis prior to calibration. The ICP/MS tune serves as an initial demonstration of instrument stability and precision.

No problems were found for this criterion.

5. CALIBRATION:

Method requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument can produce acceptable quantitative data. Initial calibration verification (ICV) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analytical run. Continuing calibration verification (CCV) demonstrates that the initial calibration is still valid by checking the performance of the instrument on a continuing basis.

Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification:

Immediately after each system has been calibrated, the accuracy of the initial calibration must be verified and documented for each target analyte by the analysis of an ICV solution(s). The CCV standard shall be analyzed at a frequency of every two hours during an analytical run, at the beginning of the run, and again after the last analytical sample. The percent recovery acceptable limits for ICV/CCV are 90-110% for metals. The percent recovery acceptable limits for ICV/CCV for mercury and cyanide and the method detection limit (MDL) for metals are 80-120%. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

6. BLANK CONTAMINATION:

Quality assurance blanks (i.e. instrument, preparation, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Both initial calibration and continuing calibration blanks (ICB and CCB) are used to ensure a stable instrument baseline before and during the analysis of analytical samples. Preparation blanks measure laboratory contamination. Field and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. Qualifications were applied to the analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion with the following exception. Chromium was positive in the method blank associated with the total and dissolved surface water sample analyses. The associated results were evaluated and qualified per validation guidance.

7. METAL QUANTIFICATION:

Target metal result quantitation was not reviewed at the Stage 2B level.

8. INTERFERENCE CHECK SAMPLE:

The Interference Check Sample (ICS) is used to verify the analytical instrument's ability to overcome interferences typical of those found in samples. The laboratory analyzed and reported ICS results for all elements being reported from the analytical run and for all interferences (target and non-target) for these reported elements. The ICS consists of two solutions: Solution A and Solution AB. Solution A consists of the interferences, and Solution AB consists of the analytes mixed with the interferences. Results for the analysis of the ICS solution must fall within the control limits of $\pm 20\%$ or $\pm \text{MDL}$ (whichever is greater) of the true value for the analytes and interferences included in the solution. If results that are greater than or equal to the method detection limit (MDL) are observed for analytes that are not present in the ICS solution, the possibility of false positives exists. If negative results are observed for analytes that are not present in the ICS solution, and their absolute value is greater than or equal to MDL, the possibility of false negatives in the samples exists. In general, sample data can be accepted if the concentrations of Al, Ca, Fe, and Mg in the sample are found to be less than or equal to their respective concentrations in the ICS. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

9. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE:

The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) serves to monitor the overall performance of each step during the analysis. Aqueous/water and soil/sediment LCSs shall be analyzed for each analyte utilizing the same sample preparations, analytical methods, and quality assurance/quality control procedures as employed for the samples. All LCS percent recoveries must fall within the control limits of 80-120%. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

10. MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY:

The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology. The spike percent recovery must fall within the established laboratory acceptance limits. However, spike recovery limits do not apply when the sample concentration is ≥ 4 x the spike added. For a spike analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to all samples in the preparation batch.

Sample VBSW3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG for both total and dissolved analyses. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample FDVBSW3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG for total USEPA 6020 analyses only. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable.

Sample VBSD3-180713 was submitted for MS/MSD evaluation in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation all precision and accuracy indicators were acceptable with the following exceptions. Observed recoveries for antimony during both the MS and MSD determinations were lower than the lowest acceptance limit. The antimony result reported for sample VBSD3-180713 has been qualified "J-" on this basis. In addition, the precision observed between the MS/MSD for Selenium did not meet acceptance criteria. The selenium result reported for sample VBSD3-180713 has been qualified "J" on this basis.

11. ICP SERIAL DILUTION:

The serial dilution determines whether significant physical or chemical interferences exist due to sample matrix. If the analyte concentration is sufficiently high (concentration in the original sample is greater than 50 times the MDL, the percent difference between the original determination and the serial dilution analysis (a five-fold dilution) after correction for dilution shall be less than 10. For a serial dilution analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to all samples of the same matrix.

Sample VBSW3-180713 was submitted for serial dilution evaluation in association with this SDG for both total and dissolved analyses. No problems were found for this criterion.

Sample FDVBSW3-180713 was submitted for serial dilution evaluation in association with this SDG for total analyses only. No problems were found for this criterion.

Sample VBSD3-180713 was submitted for serial dilution evaluation in association with this SDG. No problems were found for this criterion.

12. INTERNAL STANDARDS PERFORMANCE

Internal standards were added to all sample and quality assurance evaluation digestates prior to analysis to monitor analytical performance and sample matrix effects. All samples and associated quality assurance analyses are verified to ensure percent recoveries are within validation acceptance criteria of 60-125%.

No problems were found for this criterion.

13. FIELD DUPLICATES:

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. A control limit of 50% for the RPD or a difference of 3x the CRQL shall be used for soil samples, and 30% RPD or a difference of 2x the CRQL shall be used for aqueous samples. For field duplicate analyses that do not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample and its duplicate.

Samples VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 comprise the field duplicate pair submitted in association with this SDG for both total and dissolved analyses. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated.

Samples VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018 comprise the field duplicate pair submitted in association with this SDG. Upon evaluation adequate field precision was demonstrated with the following exceptions.

<i>Antimony</i>	<i>Arsenic</i>	<i>Chromium</i>
<i>Selenium</i>	<i>Thallium</i>	<i>Mercury</i>

Field duplicate results reported for the affected analytes have been qualified "J" on this basis.

14. OTHER PROBLEMS:

No other problems were found.

Table 1 Major and Minor Findings

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
Metals		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Tune	x		
Calibration	x		
Blank Contamination			x
Interference Check Samples	x		
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate			x
ICP Serial Dilution	x		
Internal Standards Performance	x		
Field Duplicate			x
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

	Were acceptance criteria met?		
	Yes	No	
Mercury		Major	Minor
Holding Time	x		
Calibration	x		
Blank Contamination	x		
Laboratory Control Samples	x		
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	x		
Field Duplicate			x
Other Quality Control Data out of Specification	x		

Major = Major data quality issue identified resulting in rejection of data.

Minor = Minor data quality issue identified resulting in the qualification of data. Data qualification should be used to inform the data users of data limitations.

NA = Not applicable

Table 2 Data Validation Qualifiers

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Table 3 Data Validation Qualifier Reason Codes

Data Qualifier	Definition
MB	Sample result is associated with a method blank that is positive.
FD	Field duplicate acceptance criteria exceeded
MS low	MS/MSD recovery below lower control limit
MS RPD	Matrix spike RPD criteria exceeded

Data Validation Worksheet

LOW/MEDIUM VOA DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Validator Name: Diane Waldschmidt

Validation Date: 08/20/18

Projection Description: EPA6 US Oil Recovery

SDG: 180-18079800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. – Pittsburgh

Soil: **x** Water: **x** Other: **NA**

Analytes reviewed: (QAPP reference) ***Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1.***

Based on this evaluation, the final validated results are flagged with the following qualifiers on completion of the validation effort as defined by the USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, August 2014.

Level 2b

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
NJ	The analyte has been "tentatively identified" or "presumptively" as present and the associated numerical value is the estimated concentration in the sample.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Data Package Overview

Upon receipt of the data package, the following steps should be performed before the validation process is to be started. Any/all problems or discrepancies found during the overview must be recorded in the validation notes and discussed as appropriate in the validation report.

Review case narrative to determine the following:

Number and matrix of samples reported: **2 soil sample (1 QC water)**
2 surface water sample (1 QC water)

Specific method reference: **SW846 8260C**

Verify that all samples were analyzed for the methods requested in the quality assurance plan: **yes**

If no, contact laboratory, project chemist and/or client to confirm.

Verify correct result units are reported: **yes (mg/L)/mg/kg**

Any analytical problems were encountered by the laboratory: **No discrepancies**

Verify requested target analyte results are reported along with the original laboratory data qualifiers. Analytes listed on Form Is should match quality assurance plan. **voc tal is abbreviated from that provided in the QAPP.** 1,4-Dichlorobenzene, Benzene, Chlorobenzene only

Verify reporting limits for all samples are present and results are at or below the required reporting limits. **All reporting limits met with the following exceptions:**

No dilutions, No exceptions

Review the field chain of custody (COC) records:

Confirm that all reported samples are documented on Form Is are on COC.

List samples/analytes on COC but missing from Form Is below:

Yes, all match

Check for documentation of appropriate preservation in the field and cooler temperature on laboratory receipt. If cooler temperature is $\geq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ or sample not properly preserved, flag all associated positive results as estimated, "J" and non-detected results "UJ". List cooler temperatures and samples impacted below.

Cooler temperatures acceptable, $<10^{\circ}\text{C}$. Trip blank $\text{pH} < 2$

Percent Solids

If percent solids are less than 30%, qualify all positive results "J" and nondetected results "UJ". List noncompliant samples and compounds:

N/A not used for NFG.

Holding Times

Technical holding times are determined from the time of sample collection to the dates of preparation and analysis.

Determine the length of time between collection and analysis (or between collection and digestion/distillation and analysis, as applicable) for each sample using field COCs, digestion/distillation logs, and raw data.

Confirm that dates on the summary forms agree with the raw data for selected samples: if discrepancies are found, all dates must be cross-checked.

Holding time actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analyses

Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Aqueous sample not preserved and analyzed outside the 7-day technical holding time	J-	R
Aqueous sample properly preserved but analyzed outside the 14-day technical holding time	J	R
Non-aqueous sample properly preserved but analyzed outside the 14-day technical holding time	J	R
Holding times grossly exceeded	J-	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Sampled 7/13/18

Analyzed 7/16/18, 7/18/18, 7/24/18, and 7/27/18

All acceptable

Instrument Performance Check/Calibration

Calibration is performed to ensure that each instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data for all target analytes throughout each analysis sequence. The initial calibration (ICAL) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analysis run. Continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards are analyzed

to insure that the instrument continues to meet the sensitivity and linearity criteria to produce acceptable qualitative and quantitative data throughout each analytical sequence.

For initial calibrations or ICAL standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples analyzed on the same day and instrument.

Instrument Performance Check

A sufficient amount of the bromofluorobenzene (BFB) instrument performance check solution (up to 50 ng BFB on-column) must be injected once at the beginning of each 12-hour period, during which samples, blanks, or standards are to be analyzed. The 12-hour period begins with either the injection of BFB, or in cases where a closing CCV can be used as an opening CCV, the 12-hour clock begins with the injection of the opening CCV. If instrument performance check is not analyzed at the specified frequency and sequence, contact the laboratory to arrange for reanalysis of any samples involved. In the event the samples cannot be reanalyzed, examine all calibrations associated with the sequence to evaluate whether proper qualitative criteria were achievable. If so, it may be possible to salvage usable data from the sequence. Otherwise, qualify the data as unusable "R".

Data usable.

The BFB instrument performance check must meet the ion abundance criteria listed below. If the ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples.

Mass	Ion Abundance Criteria
50	15.0 – 40.0% of mass 95
75	30.0 – 80.0% of mass 95
95	Base peak, 100% relative abundance
96	5.0 – 9.0% of mass 95*
173	Less than 2.0% of mass 174
174	50.0% - 120% of mass 95
175	5.0 – 9.0% of mass 174
176	95.0 – 101% of mass 174
177	5.0 – 9.0% of mass 176

All acceptable

Relative Response Factors, Percent Relative Standard Deviation, and Percent Difference Acceptance Criteria for Initial Calibration and CCV for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Initial Calibration

ICAL standards must be analyzed prior to any analysis of samples and required blanks and **within 12 hours of the associated instrument performance check** at the beginning of each analytical sequence, or as necessary if the CCV acceptance criteria are not met. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results affected below.

All within acceptable time.

ICAL standards must contain all required target analytes and DMCs at concentrations of 5.0, 10, 50, 100, and 200 µg/L for non-ketones, and 10, 50, 100, 200, and 400 µg/L for ketones. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified concentrations, qualify detects in the associated samples as estimated "J" and non-detects in the associated samples as estimated "UJ". List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All present, no anomalies.

Initial Calibration Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
RRF < Minimum RRF	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R
%RSD > Maximum %RSD	J	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All RRF acceptable

All RSD/corr coefficient acceptable

Continuing Calibration

The calibration for each GC/MS system used for analysis must be verified at the **beginning and end of every 12-hour period of operation**. The 12-hour period begins with the injection of BFB, followed by the injection of the opening CCV solution. After the injection of all samples and required blanks, and before the end of the 12-hour period, injection of the closing CCV is required. The closing CCV used to bracket the end of a 12-hour analytical sequence may be used as the opening CCV for a new 12-hour analytical sequence, provided that all technical acceptance criteria of an opening CCV are met. If the CCV is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples as unusable "R". List samples and results effected below.

All acceptable, no Q

The CCV standards must contain all required target analytes and DMCs at the mid-point concentration (CS3) of the ICAL. If the CCV is not performed at the specified concentration, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. List samples and results effected below.

All present, no anomalies

CCV Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action	
		Detect	Non-detect
RRF < Minimum RRF	RRF < Minimum RRF	Use professional judgment J or R	R
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D	J	UJ

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All %Ds and RRFs acceptable

Blanks

The purpose of blanks is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination resulting from activities related to the sampling and analytical process. When contamination is detected in any blank, all associated data must be evaluated to determine whether there is an inherent variability in the data or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

Laboratory blanks include method blanks, storage blanks and trip blanks. If field blanks are present, treat as a method blank.

When one or more blanks are associated with a sample, qualify sample results based on the blank having the highest concentration of the contaminant.

Evaluation of sample results relative to associated blank results must account for differences in weights, volumes, solids content, or dilution factors that affect comparability.

Method blanks analyses must be performed at the specified frequency and sequence. A method blank must be analyzed once every 12-hour period and prior to any sample analysis and after all ICAL standards or CCV. The method blank must be analyzed on each GC/MS system used for sample analysis within an entire analytical sequence. A storage blank analysis must be performed at the specified frequency and sequence. A storage blank must be prepared upon receipt of the first samples from a SDG, and stored with the samples until analysis. The storage blank must be analyzed once per SDG after all sample analyses within a SDG are complete. If the appropriate blanks are not analyzed at the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified.

Blank Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
Method, Storage, Field, Trip, Instrument	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non- detect (U)
		≥ CRQL or ≥ 2x Blank Result for Methylene Chloride, Acetone, and 2-Butanone	Use professional judgment
	≥ CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non- detect (U)
		≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report sample result and qualify as non- detect (U) or unusable ®
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result or ≥ 2x Blank Result for Methylene Chloride, Acetone, and 2-Butanone	Use professional judgment
	Gross contamination	Detect	Report at sample result and qualify as unusable ®
	TIC > 5.0 µg/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) and TIC > 5.0 µg/kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All MB ND no Q

TBSW01-180713 and TBSD03-180713 ***all ND no Q***

DMC/Surrogate Compounds

The objective is to evaluate the DMC Percent Recovery (%R) to ensure that the analytical method is efficient.

The percent recovery for each DMC in samples and blanks must be within the limits listed below.

DMC	%R for Water Sample	%R for Soil Sample
Vinyl chloride-d3	60-135	30-150
Chloroethane-d5	70-130	30-150
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	60-125	45-110
2-Butanone-d5	40-130	20-135
Chloroform-d	70-125	40-150
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	70-125	70-130
Benzene-d6	70-125	20-135
1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	70-120	70-120
Toluene-d8	80-120	30-130
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	60-125	30-135
2-Hexanone-d5	45-130	20-135
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	65-120	45-120
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-120	75-120

Low/Medium Volatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Vinyl chloride-d3 (DMC-1)	Chloroethane-d5 (DMC-2)	1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 (DMC-3)
Vinyl chloride	Dichlorodifluoromethane Chloromethane Bromomethane Chloroethane Carbon disulfide	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 1,1-Dichloroethene
2-Butanone-d5 (DMC-4)	Chloroform-d (DMC-5)	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (DMC-6)
Acetone 2-Butanone	1,1-Dichloroethane Bromochloromethane Chloroform Dibromochloromethane Bromoform	Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane Methyl acetate Methylene chloride Methyl-tert-butyl ether 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Carbon tetrachloride 1,2-Dibromoethane 1,2-Dichloroethane
Benzene-d6 (DMC-7)	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 (DMC-8)	Toluene-d8 (DMC-9)
Benzene	Cyclohexane Methylcyclohexane 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane	Trichloroethene Toluene Tetrachloroethene Ethylbenzene o-Xylene

		m,p-Xylene Styrene Isopropylbenzene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 (DMC-10)	2-Hexanone-d5 (DMC-11)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 (DMC-12)
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4-Methyl-2-pentanone 2-Hexanone	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 (DMC-13)		
Chlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene		

DMC Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10%	J-	R
10% ≤ %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Lab limits used per client instruction

All within limits, exceptions below.

FDVBSW3-180713 BFB 79% is lower than lowest limit (80-120). Sample also analyzed as MS/MSD with all target compounds exhibiting acceptable precision and accuracy. No Q per professional judgement.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

The matrix spike (MS) / matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology.

For a MS/MSD that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the detected or nondetected results of the original sample.

MS/MSD %R and RPD Limits for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Analyte	%R for Water Sample	RPD for Water Sample	%R for Soil/Sediment Sample	RPD for Soil/Sediment Sample
1,1-Dichloroethene	61 – 145	0 – 14	59 – 172	0 – 22
Trichloroethene	71 – 120	0 – 14	62 – 137	0 – 24
Benzene	76 – 127	0 – 11	66 – 142	0 – 21
Toluene	76 – 125	0 – 13	59 – 139	0 – 21
Chlorobenzene	75 – 130	0 – 13	60 – 133	0 – 21

MS/MSD Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 20%	J	R
20% < %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J	UJ
%R or RPD > Upper Acceptance Limit	J	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Lab limits used per client instruction.

sample VBSD3-180713 ***submitted for MS/MSD analysis by 8260C all %R and RPDs are acceptable.***

sample VBSW3-180713 ***submitted for MS/MSD analysis by 8260C all %R and RPDs are acceptable.***

sample FDVBSW3-180713 ***submitted for MS/MSD analysis by 8260C all %R and RPDs are acceptable.***

Internal Standard

The internal standard is designed to ensure that GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during each analysis.

For an internal standard that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the detected or nondetected results of the affected sample.

Internal Standard Actions for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration	J+	R
20% ≤ area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration	J+	UJ
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration	J-	No qualification
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration > 10.0 seconds	R	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All acceptable, no Q

Field Duplicate

The objective of the field duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable field sample collection and laboratory method precision.

For a field duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the samples comprising the field duplicate pair.

- Sample IDs representing the field duplicate pairs:

Parent Sample	Field Duplicate

VBSD3-180713/FDVBSD3-180713 ***field duplicate pair submitted for this SDG. Field precision is acceptable.***

VBSW3-180713/ FDVBW3-180713 ***field duplicate pair submitted for this SDG. Field precision is acceptable.***

- If both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and the RPD is $> 20\%$ (35% for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J", and qualify non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below.

Per SAP <50% RPD.

- If the original sample or duplicate sample result is $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and the absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL$ ($2X$ CRQL for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J" and non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below.

Per SAP <50% RPD.

Laboratory Control Sample (If applicable)

The objective is to determine the validity of the analytical results based on the recovery of the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS).

- If the LCS %R falls below 60%, qualify detects as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as estimated "UJ". If the LCS %R is > 140%, qualify detects as estimated high "J+". Non-detects should not be qualified. List samples and results effected below.

Laboratory limits used per client request

Sediment LCS all acceptable.

Surface water LCSs all acceptable.

Calculations

No calculations Stage 2B Level

- Check that instrument response data (peak areas) are reported for requested analytes, DMCs, internal standards for all requested field samples, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples and method blanks as well as calibration data. **N/A**
- Recalculate the initial calibration curve from the instrument response for one compound per initial calibration. **N/A**
- Recalculate opening and closing continuing calibration verification (CCV) response from peak data for one compound. **N/A** ****no closing CCV**
- Recalculate a percent relative abundance for each tune from the instrument response. **N/A**
- Recalculate a reported result for each tune from the instrument response. **N/A**
- The Relative Retention Time (RRT) for a positively identified target analyte must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the RRT for the same analyte in the associated opening CCV. Check all positive sample results. If the RRT for a positively identified target analyte is outside the specified RRT windows, qualify detects as unusable "R", or report the result at CRQL and qualify as non-detect "U". **N/A**
- Recalculate a reported result and verify that the correct internal standard was used for 10% of the samples. **N/A**
- Recalculate one DMC recovery from the instrument response. **N/A**
- Recalculate one LCS recovery from the instrument response (if applicable). **N/A**

APPENDIX A

RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Low/Medium Volatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D	Closing Maximum %D
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.010	25.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloromethane	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Vinyl chloride	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Bromomethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	0.050	25.0	±25.0	±50.0
Acetone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Carbon disulfide	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Methyl acetate	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Methylene chloride	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.100	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Butanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Bromochloromethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chloroform	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Cyclohexane	0.010	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
Carbon tetrachloride	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Trichloroethene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Methylcyclohexane	0.050	40.0	±25.0	±50.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromodichloromethane	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.030	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Toluene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D	Closing Maximum %D
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Tetrachloroethene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Dibromochloromethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Chlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Ethylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
m,p-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
o-Xylene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Styrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Bromoform	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Isopropylbenzene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.600	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	0.010	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	0.400	25.0	±30.0	±50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compound				
Vinyl chloride-d3	0.010	20.0	±30.0	±50.0
Chloroethane-d5	0.010	40.0	±30.0	±50.0
1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2-Butanone-d5	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Chloroform-d	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Benzene-d6	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Toluene-d8	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Hexanone-d5	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	0.200	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

SEMIVOLATILE DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Validator Name: DLW
Validation Date: 08/20/18
Projection Description: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site
SDG: 600-162435-1
Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh
Soil: **x** Water: **x** Other: **NA**
Analytes reviewed: (QAPP reference): ***Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1.***

Based on this evaluation, the final validated results are flagged with the following qualifiers on completion of the validation effort as defined by the USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, August 2014

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
NJ	The analyte has been "tentatively identified" or "presumptively" as present and the associated numerical value is the estimated concentration in the sample.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Stage 2B Data Package Overview

Upon receipt of the data package, the following steps should be performed before the validation process is to be started. Any/all problems or discrepancies found during the overview must be recorded in the validation notes and discussed as appropriate in the validation report.

Review case narrative to determine the following:

Number and matrix of samples reported: **2 sediment 2 surface water**

Specific method reference: **SW846 8270D**

Verify that all samples were analyzed for the methods requested in the quality assurance plan: **Yes**

If no, contact laboratory, project chemist and/or client to confirm.

Verify correct result units are reported: **yes**

Any analytical problems were encountered by the laboratory: **no discrepancies**

Verify requested target analyte results are reported along with the original laboratory data qualifiers. Analytes listed on Form Is should match quality assurance plan. List noncompliant samples and compounds:

Abbreviated list of 23 compounds reported.

Verify reporting limits for all samples are present and results are at or below the required reporting limits. List noncompliant samples and compounds:

The surface water samples were not analyzed at a dilution, however several of the target analytes have reporting limits higher than the QAPP MQL.

Sediments were analyzed at dilutions none of the reporting limits were met.

Review the field chain of custody (COC) records:

Confirm that all reported samples are documented on Form Is are on COC.

List samples/analytes on COC but missing from Form Is below:

All present, no anomalies

Check for documentation of appropriate preservation in the field and cooler temperature on laboratory receipt. If cooler temperature is $\geq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ or sample not properly preserved, flag all associated positive results as estimated, "J" and non-detected results "UJ". List cooler temperatures and samples impacted below.

Samples properly preserved

Cooler temperature acceptable, $<10^{\circ}\text{C}$

Percent Solids

If percent solids are less than 30%, qualify all positive results "J" and nondetected results "UJ".

List noncompliant samples and compounds:

NA not used in NFG evaluations

Holding Times

Technical holding times are determined from the time of sample collection to the dates of preparation and analysis.

Determine the length of time between collection and digestion/distillation and analysis as for each sample using field COCs, digestion/distillation logs, and raw data.

Confirm that dates on the summary forms agree with the raw data for selected samples: if discrepancies are found, all dates must be cross-checked.

7 days to extraction and 40 days after extraction per SAP

Preservation and Holding time actions for Semivolatile Analyses

Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Aqueous	No	> 7 days for extraction and/or > 40 days for analysis	J	R
	Yes	> 7 days for extraction and/or > 40 days for analysis	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Holding times grossly exceeded	J-	R
Non-Aqueous	No	> 14 days for extraction and/or > 40 days for analysis	J	UJ
	Yes	> 14 days for extraction and > 40 days for analysis	J-	R
	Yes/No	Holding times grossly exceeded	J-	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Sampled 07/13/18

Extracted 07/19/18 sed 7/20/18 SW

Analyzed 07/23/18 sed 7/26/18 SW

All HT met, no Q

Instrument Performance Check/Calibration

Calibration is performed to ensure that each instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data for all target analytes throughout each analysis sequence. The initial calibration (ICAL) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analysis run. Continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards are analyzed to insure that the instrument continues to meet the sensitivity and linearity criteria to produce acceptable qualitative and quantitative data throughout each analytical sequence.

For initial calibrations or ICAL standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples analyzed on the same day and instrument.

Instrument Performance Check

A sufficient amount of the decafluorotriphenylphosphine (DFTPP) instrument performance check solution (50 ng DFTPP on-column) must be injected once at the beginning of each 12-hour period, during which samples, blanks, or standards are to be analyzed. The 12-hour period begins with either the injection of DFTPP, or in cases where a closing CCV can be used as an opening CCV, the 12-hour clock begins with the injection of the opening CCV. If instrument performance check is not analyzed at the specified frequency and sequence, contact the laboratory to arrange for reanalysis of any samples involved. In the event the samples cannot be reanalyzed, examine all calibrations associated with the sequence to evaluate whether proper qualitative criteria were achievable. If so, it may be possible to salvage usable data from the sequence. Otherwise, qualify the data as unusable "R".

The DFTPP instrument performance check must meet the ion abundance criteria listed below. If the ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples.

Mass	Ion Abundance Criteria
51	10.0 - 80.0% of mass 198
68	Less than 2.0% of mass 69
69	Present
70	Less than 2.0% of mass 69
127	10.0 - 80.0% of mass 198
197	Less than 2.0% of mass 198
198	Base peak, 100% relative abundance
199	5.0 - 9.0% of mass 198
275	10.0 - 60.0% of mass 198
365	Greater than 1.0% of mass 198
441	Present, but less than mass 443
442	Greater than 50.0% of mass 198
443	15.0 - 24.0% of mass 442

Abundance criteria met.

Relative Response Factors, Percent Relative Standard Deviation, and Percent Difference Acceptance Criteria for Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Initial Calibration

ICAL standards must be analyzed prior to any analysis of samples and required blanks and within 12 hours of the associated instrument performance check at the beginning of each analytical sequence, or as necessary if the CCV acceptance criteria are not met. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples as unusable "R". List samples and results affected below.

Frequency met.

ICAL standards must contain all required target analytes and DMCs at concentrations of 5.0, 10, 20, 40, and 80 ng/ μ L for each target analyte and associated DMCs, except 1,4-Dioxane, 1,4-Dioxane-d8 and the twenty-one target analytes and six DMCs listed below. For 1,4-Dioxane and 1,4-Dioxane-d8, the calibration standard concentrations are at 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, 16, and 32 ng/ μ L. The ICAL standard concentrations are at 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160 ng/ μ L for twenty-one target analytes and six DMCs: Benzaldehyde, Phenol, Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether, 2-Methylphenol, 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane), Acetophenone, 4-Chloroaniline, Caprolactam, Hexachlorocyclopentadiene, Atrazine, Carbazole, Fluoranthene, 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine, Di-n-octylphthalate, 2,4-Dinitrophenol, PCP, 4-Methylphenol, 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol, 3-Nitroaniline, 4-Nitroaniline, 4-Nitrophenol, Phenol-d5, Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether-d8, 4-Methylphenol-d8, 4-Chloroaniline-d4, 4-Nitrophenol-d4, and 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2. For the optional analysis of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and PCP using the SIM technique, the calibration standard concentrations are at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.6 ng/ μ L for each target analyte of interest and the associated DMCs. PCP concentrations are at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, 1.6, and 3.2 ng/ μ L. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified concentrations, qualify detects in the associated samples as estimated "J" and non-detects in the associated samples as estimated "UJ". List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
RRF < Minimum RRF	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R
%RSD > Maximum %RSD	J	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

No problems

Continuing Calibration

The calibration for each GC/MS system used for analysis must be verified at the beginning and end of every 12-hour period of operation. The 12-hour period begins with the injection of DFTPP, followed by the injection of the opening CCV solution. After the injection of all samples and required blanks, and before the end of the 12-hour period, injection of the closing CCV is required. The closing CCV used to bracket the end of a 12-hour analytical sequence may be used as the opening CCV for a new 12-hour analytical sequence, provided that all technical acceptance criteria of an opening CCV are met. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples as unusable "R". List samples and results effected below.

CCV frequency met

The CCV standards must contain all required target analytes and DMCs at the mid-point concentration (CS3) of the ICAL. If the CCV is not performed at the specified concentration, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. List samples and results effected below.

Concentrations appropriate

CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action	
		Detect	Non-detect
RRF < Minimum RRF	RRF < Minimum RRF	Use professional judgment J or R	R
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D	J	UJ

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

***All %D within NFG max
All RRF within NFG limits***

Blanks

The purpose of blanks is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination resulting from activities related to the sampling and analytical process. When contamination is detected in any blank, all associated data must be evaluated to determine whether there is an inherent variability in the data or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

Laboratory blanks include method blanks and field blanks. If field blanks are present, treat as a method blank.

When one or more blanks are associated with a sample, qualify sample results based on the blank having the highest concentration of the contaminant.

Evaluation of sample results relative to associated blank results must account for differences in weights, volumes, solids content, or dilution factors that affect comparability.

A method blank must be extracted per matrix each time samples are extracted. The number of samples extracted with each method blank shall not exceed 20 field samples. The method blank must be extracted by the same procedure used to extract samples and analyzed on each GC/MS system under the same conditions used to analyze associated samples. Use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified and list affected samples and results below.

Blank Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
Method, Field	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
	≥ CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report sample result and qualify as non-detect (U) or unusable (R)
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment

	Gross contamination	Detect	Report at sample result and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 µg/L (water) and TIC > 170 µg/kg (soil/sediment)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

MB 180-251044/1-A (solid) all ND; no Q

MB 180-251120/1-A (liquid) all ND except in mg/l

Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate 0.008217 J

Butyl benzyl phthalate 0.001187

Phenanthrene 0.0001140 J

Samples with analytes in affected range qualified per guidance.

DMC/Surrogate Compounds

The objective is to evaluate the DMC Percent Recovery (%R) to ensure that the analytical method is efficient.

The percent recovery for each DMC in samples and blanks must be within the limits listed below.

DMC	%R for Water Sample	%R for Soil Sample
1,4-Dioxane-d8	40-110	40-110
Phenol-d5	10-130	10-130
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether-d8	25-120	10-150
2-Chlorophenol-d4	20-130	15-120
4-Methylphenol-d8	25*-125	10-140
4-Chloroaniline-d4	1-146 (advisory)	1-145 (advisory)
Nitrobenzene-d5	20-125	10-135
2-Nitrophenol-d4	20-130	10-120
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	20-120	10-140
Dimethylphthalate-d6	25-130	10-145
Acenaphthylene-d8	10-130	15-120
4-Nitrophenol-d4	10-150	10-150
Fluorene-d10	25-125	20-140
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	10-130	10-130
Anthracene-d10	25-130	10-150
Pyrene-d10	15-130	10-130
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	20-130	10-140
Fluoranthene-d10 (SIM)	30-130	30-130
2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (SIM)	30-130	20-140

Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-d8 (DMC-1)	Phenol-d5 (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d8 (DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde Phenol	Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether 2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane) Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane
2-Chlorophenol-d4 (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-d8 (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d4 (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol 3-Methylphenol 4-Methylphenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol	4-Chloroaniline
Nitrobenzene-d5 (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3 (DMC-9)
Acetophenone N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine Hexachloroethane Hexachlorocyclopentadiene Nitrobenzene 2,6-Dinitrotoluene 2,4-Dinitrotoluene N-Nitrosodiphenylamine 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	Isophorone 2-Nitrophenol	2,4-Dichlorophenol Hexachlorobutadiene 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene Pentachlorophenol 2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d6 (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-d8 (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-12)
Caprolactam 1,1'-Biphenyl Dimethylphthalate Diethylphthalate Di-n-butylphthalate Butylbenzylphthalate Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate Di-n-octylphthalate	Naphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene 2-Chloronaphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene	2-Nitroaniline 3-Nitroaniline 2,4-Dinitrophenol 4-Nitrophenol 4-Nitroaniline
Fluorene-d10 (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2 (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d10 (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran Fluorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine Phenanthrene Anthracene
Pyrene-d10 (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d12 (DMC-17)	

Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	
--	--	--

Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Naphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene Acenaphthylene Acenaphthene Fluorene Pentachlorophenol Phenanthrene Anthracene

DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Laboratory limits used per client request.

all acceptable, no Q

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

The matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology.

For a MS/MSD that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the detected or nondetected results of the original sample.

MS/MSD %R and RPD Limits for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	%R for Water Sample	RPD for Water Sample	%R for Soil/Sediment Sample	RPD for Soil/Sediment Sample
Phenol	12-110	0-42	26-90	0-35
2-Chlorophenol	27-123	0-40	25-102	0-50
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-116	0-38	41-126	0-38
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	23-97	0-42	26-103	0-33
Acenaphthene	46-118	0-31	31-137	0-19
4-Nitrophenol	10-80	0-50	11-114	0-50
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	24-96	0-38	28-89	0-47
Pentachlorophenol	9-103	0-50	17-109	0-47
Pyrene	26-127	0-31	35-142	0-36

Laboratory limits used per client instruction

MS/MSD Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (excluding spiked analyte with %R lower limit of 10% or less)	J	R
20% < %R(excluding spiked analyte with %R lower limit of 10% or less) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J	UJ
%R or RPD > Upper Acceptance Limit	J	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Sample VBSW3-180713 analyzed as MS/MSD Surface Water.

MS and MSD
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (-17) (-37)
Naphthalene low
1,4-Dioxane low

RPD out All except 14 dioxane
All results flagged J/UJ

Sample VBSD3-180713 analyzed as MS/MSD Sediment

MS/MSD and parent sample were analyzed at a 20 fold dilution. Therefore not used for evaluation

Internal Standard

The internal standard is designed to ensure that GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during each analysis.

For an internal standard that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the detected or nondetected results of the affected sample.

Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration	J+	R
20% ≤ area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration	J+	UJ
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration	J-	No qualification
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from initial calibration > 30.0 seconds	R	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All acceptable

Field Duplicate

The objective of the field duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable field sample collection and laboratory method precision.

For a field duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, **apply the action to the samples comprising the field duplicate pair.**

- Sample IDs representing the field duplicate pairs:

Original	FD	Status
VBSW3-180713-07132018	FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	All acceptable

VBSD3-180713-07132018	FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	All acceptable
-----------------------	-------------------------	----------------

- If both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and the RPD is $> 20\%$ (35% for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J", and qualify non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below.

By SAP RPD $< 50\%$.

- If the original sample or duplicate sample result is $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and the absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL$ (2X CRQL for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J" and non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below.

By SAP RPD $< 50\%$.

Laboratory Control Sample

The objective is to determine the validity of the analytical results based on the recovery of the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS).

- If the LCS %R falls below 60%, qualify detects as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as estimated "UJ". If the LCS %R is $> 140\%$ (**project specific**), qualify detects as estimated high "J+". Non-detects should not be qualified. List samples and results effected below.

Laboratory limits used per client request.

LCS 180-251044/2-A sediment all acceptable
LCS 180-251120/2-A water all acceptable exception
SW results not previously qualified qualified UJ/J on this basis
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate high no Q compound flagged U in both samples
Naphthalene low flag UJ both SW samples
1,4-Dioxane low flag UJ both SW samples

Calculations

Validation Stage 2B no calculations

- Check that instrument response data (peak areas) are reported for requested analytes, DMCs, internal standards for all requested field samples, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples and method blanks as well as calibration data.
- Recalculate the initial calibration curve from the instrument response for one compound per initial calibration.
- Recalculate opening and closing continuing calibration verification (CCV) response from peak data for one compound. ****no closing CCV**
- Recalculate a percent relative abundance for each tune from the instrument response.
- The Relative Retention Time (RRT) for a positively identified target analyte must be within ± 0.06 RRT units of the RRT for the same analyte in the associated opening CCV. Check all positive sample results. If the RRT for a positively identified target analyte is outside the specified RRT windows, qualify detects as unusable "R", or report the result at CRQL and qualify as non-detect "U".
- Recalculate a reported result and verify that the correct internal standard was used for 10% of the samples.
- Recalculate one DMC recovery from the instrument response.
- Recalculate one LCS recovery from the instrument response (if applicable).

APPENDIX A

RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D	Closing Maximum %D
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Selective Ion Monitoring				
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Chrysene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds				
1,4-Dioxane-d8	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d5	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl) ether-d8	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol-d8	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D	Closing Maximum %D
4-Chloroaniline-d4	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d5	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d4	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d6	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d8	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d4	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d10	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0.010	40.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d10	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0
Pyrene-d10	0.300	20.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d10 (SIM)	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	± 25.0

PESTICIDE DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Validator Name: DLW

Validation Date: 08/28/18

Projection Description: EPA6 US Oil Recovery

SDG: 180-79800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh

Soil: **2** Water: **2** Other: **NA**

Analytes reviewed: Pesticides; (QAPP Reference) ***Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1.***

Based on this evaluation, the final validated results are flagged with the following qualifiers on completion of the validation effort as defined by the USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, August 2014.

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
NJ	The analyte has been "tentatively identified" or "presumptively" as present and the associated numerical value is the estimated concentration in the sample.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Data Package Overview

Upon receipt of the data package, the following steps should be performed before the validation process is to be started. Any/all problems or discrepancies found during the overview must be recorded in the validation notes and discussed as appropriate in the validation report.

Review case narrative to determine the following:

Number and matrix of samples reported: **2 water**
2 sed

Specific method reference: **8081B (LL)**

Verify that all samples were analyzed for the methods requested in the quality assurance plan: **yes**

If no, contact laboratory, project chemist and/or client to confirm.

Verify correct result units are reported: **yes**

Any analytical problems were encountered by the laboratory: **No discrepancies**

Verify requested target compound results are reported along with the original laboratory data qualifiers. compounds listed on Form Is should match quality assurance plan. **All present, except methoxychlor**

Verify reporting limits for all samples are present and results are at or below the required reporting limits. List noncompliant samples and compounds:

No dilutions were performed on the SW samples. All acceptable except toxaphene.

Dilutions were performed on the sediment and none of the reporting limits were met.

Review the field chain of custody (COC) records:

Confirm that all reported samples are documented on Form Is are on COC. List samples/analytes on COC but missing from Form Is below: **All present no anomalies**

Check for documentation of appropriate preservation in the field and cooler temperature on laboratory receipt. If cooler temperature is $\geq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ or sample not properly preserved, flag all associated positive results as estimated, "J" and non-detected results "UJ". List cooler temperatures and samples impacted below. **All temperatures $< 10^{\circ}\text{C}$**

Percent Solids

If percent solids are less than 30%, qualify all positive results "J" and nondetected results "UJ". List noncompliant samples and compounds: **NA not evaluated per NFG**

Holding Times

Technical holding times are determined from the time of sample collection to the dates of preparation and analysis.

Determine the length of time between collection and analysis (or between collection and digestion/distillation and analysis, as applicable) for each sample using field COCs, digestion/distillation logs, and raw data.

Confirm that dates on the summary forms agree with the raw data for selected samples: if discrepancies are found, all dates must be cross-checked.

Holding time actions for Pesticide Analyses

Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Aqueous sample not preserved and > 7 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	J	R
Aqueous sample properly preserved > 7 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Non-aqueous sample not preserved > 14 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Non-aqueous sample properly preserved > 14 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	J-	R
Holding times grossly exceeded	J	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

***Sampled 7/13/18, prepped 7/16/18 and 7/18/18 analyzed 7/26/28/30/18
 All HT met no Q***

Instrument Performance Check / Calibration

Calibration is performed to ensure that each instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data for all target analytes throughout each analysis sequence. The initial calibration (ICAL) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analysis run. Continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards are analyzed to insure that the instrument continues to meet the sensitivity and linearity criteria to produce acceptable qualitative and quantitative data throughout each analytical sequence.

For initial calibrations or ICAL standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples analyzed on the same day and instrument.

Instrument Performance Check

Resolution Check Mixture

The RESC contains the following target analytes and surrogates:

trans-Chlordane	Endrin ketone
Endosulfan I	Methoxychlor
4,4'-DDE	Endosulfan II
Dieldrin	Heptachlor-epoxide
Endosulfan sulfate	cis-Chlordane
alpha-BHC	4,4'-DDD
beta-BHC	4,4'-DDT
delta-BHC	Endrin
gamma-BHC	Endrin aldehyde
Aldrin	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)
Heptachlor	Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)

The Resolution Check Mixture (RESC) is analyzed at the beginning of every initial calibration (ICAL) sequence on each GC column and instrument used for analysis. If the REC was not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, then use professional judgment to qualify data: ***Resolution data not available in summary form. Chromatography not reviewed at level 2B. No evidence of resolution check mixture analysis.***

The resolution between two adjacent peaks in the RESC must be $\geq 80.0\%$ for all analytes for the primary column and $\geq 50.0\%$ for the confirmation column in order to use Individual Standard Mixture C (INDC). If the resolution criteria is not met, qualify detects in the associated samples as presumptively present with estimated concentration "NJ" and non-detects as unusable "R". List samples, results affected and qualifications below. ***Resolution data not available in summary form. Chromatography not reviewed at level 2B. No evidence of resolution check mixture analysis.***

If Individual Standard Mixture A (INDA) and Individual Standard Mixture B (INDB) are used, the resolution between two adjacent peaks must be $\geq 60.0\%$. If the resolution criteria is not met, qualify detects in the associated samples as presumptively present with estimated concentration "NJ" and non-detects as unusable "R". List samples, results affected and qualifications below. ***INDA and INDB not used, n/a***

Performance Evaluation Mixture

The PEM contains the following analytes:

gamma-BHC	Endrin
alpha-BHC	Methoxychlor
4,4'-DDT	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)
beta-BHC	Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)

The Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) is analyzed at the beginning (following the Resolution Check Standard) and at the end of the ICAL sequence. The PEM analysis must bracket one end of each 12-hour analytical period. If the PEM was not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, qualify detects and nondetects as rejected "R": Data Acceptable. ***Frequency and sequence met, but summarized data only to assess breakdown.***

The resolution between any two adjacent peaks in the ICAL and Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) PEMs must be $\geq 90\%$ on each GC column. If the resolution criteria is not met, qualify detects in the associated samples as presumptively present with estimated concentration "NJ" and non-detects as unusable "R". List samples, results affected and qualifications below or Data Acceptable. ***Resolution data not available in summary form. Chromatography not reviewed at level 2B. No evidence of resolution check mixture analysis.***

The Percent Breakdown (%Breakdown) is the amount of decomposition that 4,4'-DDT and Endrin undergo when analyzed on the GC column. The %Breakdown of 4,4'-DDT and Endrin in the PEMs must each be $\leq 20.0\%$ on each GC column. ***All %Breakdowns acceptable, no Q***

PEM % Breakdown Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
4,4'-DDT %Breakdown > 20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected	J for 4,4'-DDT, 4,4'-DDD, and 4,4'-DDE	No qualification
4,4'-DDT %Breakdown > 20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected	R for 4,4'- DDT	NJ for 4,4'-DDD and 4,4'-DDE
Endrin %Breakdown > 20.0% and Endrin is detected	J for Endrin, Endrin aldehyde, and Endrin ketone	No qualification
Endrin %Breakdown > 20.0% and Endrin is not detected	R for Endrin	NJ for Endrin aldehyde and Endrin ketone
Combined %Breakdown > 30%	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown.	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown.

If the mid-point INDA/INDB are analyzed as part of the ICAL, the ICAL mid-point CS3 standards, INDA and INDB, must be analyzed to bracket one end of the subsequent 12-hour analytical sequence for the associated ICAL sequence containing INDA and INDB standards. If the mid-point Individual Standard Mixture CS3 is not performed at the specified frequency, qualify detects and non-detects as unusable "R": *n/a*

If the mid-point INDA/INDB are analyzed, the resolution between any two adjacent peaks in the mid-point concentration of INDA and INDB in the ICAL and the subsequent CCVs must be $\geq 90.0\%$ on each column. If the resolution criteria is not met, qualify detects in the associated samples as presumptively present with estimated concentration "NJ" and non-detects as unusable "R". *n/a*

If the mid-point INDC is analyzed as part of the ICAL, the ICAL mid-point CS3 standard, INDC, must be analyzed to bracket one end of the subsequent 12-hour analytical sequence for the associated ICAL sequence containing INDC standards. If the mid-point Individual Standard Mixture CS3 is not performed at the specified frequency, qualify detects and non-detects as unusable "R": *n/a*

If the mid-point INDC is analyzed verify that the %Resolution between adjacent peaks is $\geq 80.0\%$ for the primary column and 50.0% for the secondary column. If the resolution criteria is not met, qualify detects in the associated samples as presumptively present with estimated concentration "NJ" and non-detects as unusable "R". *n/a*

Initial Calibration

Verify that the ICAL is performed at the specified frequency and sequence. Verify that the proper ICAL sequence (1 or 2) is used depending on if INDC or INDA/INDB is used. Verify that a single-point Toxaphene calibration at low standard is included in the ICAL or a 5-point Toxaphene calibration is included in either one of the ICAL sequence 1 and 2. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results affected below. ***Frequency and sequence met***

Initial Calibration Sequence

Sequence 1 INDC	Sequence 2 INDA/INDB
Resolution Check	Resolution Check
PEM	PEM
Toxaphene CS1	Toxaphene CS1
Toxaphene CS2	Toxaphene CS2
Toxaphene CS3	Toxaphene CS3

Toxaphene CS4	Toxaphene CS4
Toxaphene CS5	Toxaphene CS5
CS1 Individual Standard Mixture C	CS1 Individual Standard Mixture A
CS2 Individual Standard Mixture C	CS1 Individual Standard Mixture B
CS3 Individual Standard Mixture C	CS2 Individual Standard Mixture A
CS4 Individual Standard Mixture C	CS2 Individual Standard Mixture B
CS5 Individual Standard Mixture C	CS3 Individual Standard Mixture A
Instrument Blank	CS3 Individual Standard Mixture B
PEM	CS4 Individual Standard Mixture A
	CS4 Individual Standard Mixture B
	CS5 Individual Standard Mixture A
	CS5 Individual Standard Mixture B
	Instrument Blank
	PEM

ICAL standards must contain all required target analytes at the following concentrations. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified concentrations, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. This is especially critical for the low-level standards and non-detects. List samples, results affected and qualifications below. **No Q**

Concentration Levels of Calibration Standards

Analyte	Concnetration (ng/ml)				
	CS1	CS2	CS3	CS4	CS5
alpha-BHC	5.0	10	20	40	80
gamma-BHC	5.0	10	20	40	80
Heptachlor	5.0	10	20	40	80
Endosulfan I	5.0	10	20	40	80
Dieldrin	10	20	40	80	160
Endrin	10	20	40	80	160
4,4'-DDD	10	20	40	80	160
4,4'-DDT	10	20	40	80	160
Methoxychlor	50	100	200	400	800
beta-BHC	5.0	10	20	40	80
delta-BHC	5.0	10	20	40	80
Aldrin	5.0	10	20	40	80
Heptachor epoxide	5.0	10	20	40	80
4,4'-DDE	10	20	40	80	160
Endosulfan II	10	20	40	80	160
Endosulfan sulfate	10	20	40	80	160
Endrin ketone	10	20	40	80	160
Endrin aldehyde	10	20	40	80	160
cis-Chlordane	5.0	10	20	40	80
trans-Chlordane	5.0	10	20	40	80
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	5.0	10	20	40	80
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	10	20	40	80	160
Toxaphene	500	1000	2000	4000	8000

Initial Calibration Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%RSD outside acceptance limits*	J	Use professional judgment

* %RSD < 20.0% for single component target analytes except alpha-BHC and delta-BHC. %RSD < 25.0% for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC.
%RSD < 30.0% for Toxaphene peaks.
%RSD < 20.0% for surrogates (TCX and DCB).

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All within limits, no Q

Continuing Calibration

The calibration for each GC/ECD system used for analysis must be verified at the beginning and end of every 12-hour period of operation. A CCV consisting of the analyses of instrument blanks, the PEM, and the mid-point ICAL standard CS3 for INDA and INDB or INDC is performed. The opening and closing CCVs consist of an injection of an instrument blank followed by either an injection of an PEM or mid-point concentration of INDA and INDB or INDC in an alternating fashion (i.e., if the PEM is part of the opening CCV, the mid-point ICAL standard CS3 for INDA and INDB or INDC must be part of the closing CCV). For Toxaphene analyses under a five-point calibration, the sequence must end with an instrument blank and a CS3 Toxaphene Standard. If the CCV is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results effected below. ***Frequency met, no Q***

The CCV PEM standard must contain the specified target analytes and surrogates at the specified concentration. The CCV CS3 standards must contain all required target analytes and surrogates at the mid-point standard concentration of the ICAL. If the CCV is not performed at the specified concentration, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. List samples and results effected below.

The absolute retention time (RT) for each single component target analyte and surrogate in the CCV PEM and CS3 of INDA and INDB or INDC must be within the RT windows determined from the ICAL. If the CCV CS3 of Toxaphene is required, the absolute RT for each Toxaphene peak must be within the RT windows determined from the ICAL. If the RT is outside the RT window, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. List samples and results effected below.

CCV Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
PEM %D outside the limits	J	UJ
PEM: 4,4'-DDT %Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected	J for 4,4'-DDT, 4,4'-DDD, and 4,4'-DDE	No qualification
PEM: 4,4'-DDT %Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected	R for 4,4'-DDT	NJ for 4,4'-DDD and 4,4'-DDE
PEM: Endrin %Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected	J for Endrin, Endrin aldehyde, and Endrin ketone	No qualification
PEM: Endrin %Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected	R for Endrin	NJ for Endrin aldehyde and Endrin ketone
PEM: Combined %Breakdown >30%	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown
CS3 %D outside the limits ($\pm 25.0\%$)	J	UJ
Time elapsed between opening CCV Pesticide Instrument Blank and closing CCV PEM or CS3 exceeds 14 hr	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Time elapsed between opening CCV Pesticide Instrument Blank and last sample or blank exceeds 12 hr	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.
All in no Q

Blanks

The purpose of blanks is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination resulting from activities related to the sampling and analytical process. When contamination is detected in any blank, all associated data must be evaluated to determine whether there is an inherent variability in the data or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

Laboratory blanks include method blanks, instrument blank and sulfur cleanup blanks. If field blanks are present, treat as a method blank.

When one or more blanks are associated with a sample, qualify sample results based on the blank having the highest concentration of the contaminant.

Evaluation of sample results relative to associated blank results must account for

differences in weights, volumes, solids content, or dilution factors that affect comparability.

An acceptable instrument blank must be analyzed at the beginning and end of an analytical sequence in which samples are analyzed, immediately prior to the analysis of the PEM or mid-point INDA/INDB or INDC used as CCV. A sulfur cleanup blank must be analyzed whenever part of a set of the extracted samples requires sulfur cleanup. If the entire set of samples associated with a method blank requires sulfur cleanup, the method blank also serves the purpose of a sulfur cleanup blank and a separate sulfur cleanup blank is not required. If the appropriate blanks are not analyzed at the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified. List samples and results effected below.

Blank Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
Method, Sulfur cleanup, Field, Instrument	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
	≥ CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report sample result and qualify as non-detect (U) or unusable (R)
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Gross contamination	Detect	Report at sample result and qualify as unusable (R)

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All MB ND no Q. No equipment blanks.

Surrogate Compounds

The objective is to evaluate the DMC Percent Recovery (%R) to ensure that the analytical method is efficient. Surrogate spiking solution containing two surrogates, tetrachloro-m-xylene (TMX) and decachlorobiphenyl (DCB), is added to all samples, including matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples and blanks to measure the surrogate recovery. The surrogates are also added to all the standards to monitor RTs.

Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
%R < 10% (undiluted sample)	J-	R
%R < 10% (diluted sample)	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
10% ≤ %R < 30%	J-	UJ
150% < %R ≤ 200%	J+	No qualification
%R > 200%	J+	Use professional judgment

Laboratory limits used per client request

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All acceptable, no Q

Note sediment samples surrogates are diluted out

Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate

The matrix spike (MS) / matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology.

For a MS/MSD that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the detected or nondetected results of the original sample.

MS/MSD %R and RPD Limits for Pesticide Analysis

Analyte	%R for Water Sample	RPD for Water Sample	%R for Soil/Sediment Sample	RPD for Soil/Sediment Sample
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	56-123	0-15	46-127	0-50
Heptachlor	40-131	0-20	35-130	0-31
Aldrin	40-120	0-22	34-132	0-43

Dieldrin	52-126	0-18	31-134	0-38
Endrin	56-121	0-21	42-139	0-45
4,4'-DDT	38-127	0-27	23-134	0-50

MS/MSD Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 20%	J	R
20% < %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J	UJ
%R or RPD > Upper Acceptance Limit	J	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Sediment MS/MSD VBSD3-180713 diluted out ie not assessed
Surface water MS/MSD VBSW3-180713 all acceptable exception endrin aldehyde in the ms only which was inconclusive no Q.

	MS	MSD	RPD	Q

Laboratory Control Sample

The objective is to evaluate the accuracy of the analytical method and laboratory performance using a laboratory control standard (LCS). The LCS should be extracted and analyzed per matrix or per SDG. The LCS should be extracted using the same procedures as the samples and method blank.

LCS %R Limits for Pesticide Analysis

Analyte	%R Limits
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	50-120
Heptachlor epoxide	50-150
Dieldrin	30-130
4,4'-DDE	50-150
Endrin	50-120
Endosulfan sulfate	50-120
trans-Chlordane	30-130

LCS Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Laboratory limits used per client request

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
LCS not performed at the specified frequency or concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
%R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	R
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

LCS liquid and solid evaluations, all recoveries acceptable no Q

Target Analyte Identification

The objective is to provide acceptable GC/ECD qualitative analysis to minimize the number of erroneous analyte identifications.

The RTs of both of the surrogates and reported target analytes in each sample must be within the calculated RT windows on both columns. TCX must be within ± 0.05 minutes of the RT, determined from the ICAL, and DCB must be within ± 0.10 minutes of the RT determined from the ICAL. If the detected target analyte peak is sufficiently outside the RT window determined from the associated ICAL, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample CRQL value. If the detected target analyte peak poses an interference with the potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R). List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

For detected single component target analytes and Toxaphene, the %D between the concentrations on two GC columns must be calculated according to the method. The %D for any detected target analyte should be $< 25.0\%$ to have high confidence in the identification. If %D $> 25\%$ qualify positive results as estimated (J).

All RPD acceptable except:

Qualify all affected detects "J", estimated

VBSW3-180713

alpha-BHC	delta-BHC	Dieldrin	Endrin ketone	
gamma-BHC	trans-Chlordane	4,4'-DDT		

FDVBSW3-18

	delta-BHC	Dieldrin	Endrin ketone	
	trans-Chlordane	4,4'-DDT		

VBSD3-180713-07132018

<u>gamma-BHC</u>	<u>Aldrin</u>	<u>Toxaphene</u>
------------------	---------------	------------------

FDVBSD3-180713-07132018

Aldrin and 4,4'-DDE

Florisil Cartridge Performance Check

The objective is to evaluate the performance of the Florisil cartridge used for Florisil cleanup procedure on sample extracts.

The performance of each lot of Florisil cartridges used for sample cleanup must be evaluated at least once or every six months (whichever is most frequent). The Florisil cartridge performance check standard solution must contain 2,4,5-trichlorophenol and the mid-point concentration of INDA or INDC as specified in the method. If the performance check is not performed at the specified frequency or concentration, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results effected below.

Florisil Cartridge Performance Check Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (target analytes in INDA or INDC)	Use professional judgment	R
10% ≤ %R < 80% (target analytes in INDA or INDC)	J	UJ
%R > 120% (target analytes in INDA or INDC)	Use professional judgment	No qualification
%R ≥ 5% of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Florisil cleanup not performed.

Gel Permeation Chromatography Performance Check

The objective is to evaluate gel permeation chromatography (GPC) cleanup efficiency for all non-aqueous sample extracts and for aqueous sample extracts that contain high molecular weight components that interfere with the analysis of the target analytes.

Each GPC system must be calibrated prior to processing samples for GPC cleanup, when the GPC CCV solution fails to meet criteria, when the column is changed, when channeling occurs, and once every 7 days when in use. The GPC calibration verification solution must contain the target analytes gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin in Methylene chloride at the concentrations specified in the method. No target analyte in the GPC blank can exceed the CRQL. If the performance check is not performed at the specified frequency or concentration, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results effected below.

GPC Performance Check Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin)	Use professional judgment	R
10% ≤ %R < 80% (gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin)	J	UJ
%R > 120% (gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin)	Use professional judgment	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

GPC not performed

Field Duplicate

The objective of the field duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable field sample collection and laboratory method precision.

For a field duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the samples comprising the field duplicate pair.

Sample IDs representing the field duplicate pairs:

See below

If both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and the RPD is $> 20\%$ (35% for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J", and qualify non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below. **50% RPD or 3x CRQL for soil samples, 30% RPD or 2x CRQL for water samples**

n/a

If the original sample or duplicate sample result is $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and the absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL$ (2X CRQL for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J" and non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below.

VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018

All acceptable

VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018

4,4'-DDD	4,4'-DDT	beta-BHC	Toxaphene
	Aldrin	cis-Chlordane	alpha-BHC

Calculations

Level 2B

- Check that instrument response data (peak areas) are reported for requested analytes, DMCs, internal standards for all requested field samples, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples and method blanks as well as calibration data. **N/A**
- Recalculate the initial calibration curve from the instrument response for one compound per initial calibration. **N/A**
- Recalculate opening and closing continuing calibration verification (CCV) response from peak data for one compound. **N/A**
- Recalculate a reported result and verify that the correct internal standard was used for 10% of the samples. **N/A**
- Recalculate one DMC recovery from the instrument response. **N/A**
- Recalculate one LCS recovery from the instrument response (if applicable). **N/A**

HERBICIDE DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Validator Name: DLW

Validation Date: 8/28/18

Projection Description: EPA6 US Oil Recovery

SDG: 180-79800-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. - Pittsburgh

Soil: **x** Water: **x** Other: **NA**

Analytes reviewed: Herbicides; (QAPP Reference) ***Sampling and Analysis Plan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight; U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site Area of Investigation 1; Pasadena, Harris County, Texas; EPA Identification No. TXN000607093 Remedial Action Contract 2 Full Service Contract: EP-W-06-004 Task Order: 0144-RSBD-A6MY, November 2016 Revision 1.***

Based on this evaluation, the final validated results are flagged with the following qualifiers on completion of the validation effort as defined by the USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Superfund Organic Methods Data Review, OSWER 9355.0-132 EPA-540-R-2014-002, August 2014.

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
NJ	The analyte has been "tentatively identified" or "presumptively" as present and the associated numerical value is the estimated concentration in the sample.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Data Package Overview

Upon receipt of the data package, the following steps should be performed before the validation process is to be started. Any/all problems or discrepancies found during the overview must be recorded in the validation notes and discussed as appropriate in the validation report.

Review case narrative to determine the following:

Number and matrix of samples reported: **2 water and 2 sediment**

Specific method reference: **SW846 8151A**

Verify that all samples were analyzed for the methods requested in the quality assurance plan: **Dinoseb evaluated by 8270D; all others 8151A**

If no, contact laboratory, project chemist and/or client to confirm.

Verify correct result units are reported: **yes**

Any analytical problems were encountered by the laboratory: **No discrepancies**

Verify requested target compound results are reported along with the original laboratory data qualifiers. compounds listed on Form Is should match quality assurance plan. **All present, no anomalies**

Verify reporting limits for all samples are present and results are at or below the required reporting limits. List noncompliant samples and compounds: **All surface water CRQL met QAPP standards. No sediment CRQLs were met. No dilutions listed for any sample.**

Review the field chain of custody (COC) records:

Confirm that all reported samples are documented on Form Is are on COC. List samples/analytes on COC but missing from Form Is below: **All present no anomalies**

Check for documentation of appropriate preservation in the field and cooler temperature on laboratory receipt. If cooler temperature is $\geq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ or sample not properly preserved, flag all associated positive results as estimated, "J" and non-detected results "UJ". List cooler temperatures and samples impacted below. **All temperatures $< 10^{\circ}\text{C}$**

Percent Solids

If percent solids are less than 30%, qualify all positive results "J" and nondetected results "UJ". List noncompliant samples and compounds: **NA not evaluated per NFG.**

Holding Times

Technical holding times are determined from the time of sample collection to the dates of preparation and analysis.

Determine the length of time between collection and analysis (or between collection and digestion/distillation and analysis, as applicable) for each sample using field COCs, digestion/distillation logs, and raw data.

Confirm that dates on the summary forms agree with the raw data for selected samples: if discrepancies are found, all dates must be cross-checked.

Holding time actions for Pesticide Analyses

Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Aqueous sample not preserved and > 7 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	J	R
Aqueous sample properly preserved > 7 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Non-aqueous sample not preserved > 14 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Non-aqueous sample properly preserved > 14 days (for extraction) and > 40 days (for analysis)	J-	R
Holding times grossly exceeded	J	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Sampled 07/13/18

Extracted 07/17/18 and 7/20/18

Analyzed 07/23/25/18

All HT met no Q

Instrument Performance Check / Calibration

Calibration is performed to ensure that each instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data for all target analytes throughout each analysis sequence. The initial calibration (ICAL) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analysis run. Continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards are analyzed to insure that the instrument continues to meet the sensitivity and linearity criteria to produce acceptable qualitative and quantitative data throughout each analytical sequence.

For initial calibrations or ICAL standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples analyzed on the same day and instrument.

Initial Calibration

Verify that the ICAL is performed at the specified frequency and sequence. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results affected below. ***Frequency and sequence met***

ICAL standards must contain all required target analytes at the appropriate concentrations. If the ICAL is not performed at the specified concentrations, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. This is especially critical for the low-level standards and non-detects. List samples, results affected and qualifications below. **No Q**

Initial Calibration Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%RSD outside acceptance limits*	J	Use professional judgment

None listed in QAPP, no NFG guidelines for Herbicides. Method limits used, %RSD</= 20%

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

CGC1 7/10/18 RTX 50 and RTX 1701

All within limits, no Q

Continuing Calibration

The calibration for each GC system used for analysis must be verified at the beginning and end of every 12-hour period of operation. A CCV consisting of the analyses of instrument blanks, and the mid-point ICAL standard CS3 is performed. The opening and closing CCVs consist of an injection of an instrument blank followed by an injection of mid-point concentration CS3. If the CCV is not performed at the specified frequency and sequence, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results effected below. ***Frequency met, no Q***

The CCV CS3 standards must contain all required target analytes and surrogates at the mid-point standard concentration of the ICAL. If the CCV is not performed at the specified concentration, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. List samples and results effected below. ***Concentrations appropriate***

The absolute retention time (RT) for each single component target analyte and surrogate in the CCV CS3 must be within the RT windows determined from the ICAL. If the RT is outside the RT window, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. List samples and results effected below.

CCV Actions for Pesticide Analysis (limits used for Herbicides)

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
PEM %D outside the limits	J	UJ
PEM: 4,4'-DDT %Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected	J for 4,4'-DDT, 4,4'-DDD, and 4,4'-DDE	No qualification
PEM: 4,4'-DDT %Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected	R for 4,4'-DDT	NJ for 4,4'-DDD and 4,4'-DDE
PEM: Endrin %Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected	J for Endrin, Endrin aldehyde, and Endrin ketone	No qualification
PEM: Endrin %Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected	R for Endrin	NJ for Endrin aldehyde and Endrin ketone
PEM: Combined %Breakdown >30%	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown	Apply qualifiers as described above considering degree of individual breakdown
CS3 %D outside the limits ($\pm 25.0\%$)	J	UJ
Time elapsed between opening CCV Pesticide Instrument Blank and closing CCV PEM or CS3 exceeds 14 hr	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Time elapsed between opening CCV Pesticide Instrument Blank and last sample or blank exceeds 12 hr	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Method limits used, no NFG guidance for herbicide (%D \leq 15%)

All acceptable no Q

7/23 CCV 19:14

Col#1	Col#2
All meet	All meet
7/23 CCV 19:14	
All meet	All meet
7/24 CCV 19:00	ok
All meet	All meet
7/24 CCV 22:55 All meet	All meet
7/25 CCV 02:47 All meet	All meet
7/25 CCV 04:54 All meet	All meet

Blanks

The purpose of blanks is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination resulting from activities related to the sampling and analytical process. When contamination is detected in any blank, all associated data must be evaluated to determine whether there is an inherent variability in the data or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

Laboratory blanks include method blanks, instrument blank and sulfur cleanup blanks. If field blanks are present, treat as a method blank.

When one or more blanks are associated with a sample, qualify sample results based on the blank having the highest concentration of the contaminant.

Evaluation of sample results relative to associated blank results must account for differences in weights, volumes, solids content, or dilution factors that affect comparability.

An acceptable instrument blank must be analyzed at the beginning and end of an analytical sequence in which samples are analyzed, immediately prior to the analysis of the mid-point CS3 used as CCV. A sulfur cleanup blank must be analyzed whenever part of a set of the extracted samples requires sulfur cleanup. If the entire set of samples associated with a method blank requires sulfur cleanup, the method blank also serves the purpose of a sulfur cleanup blank and a separate sulfur cleanup blank is not required. If the appropriate blanks are not analyzed at the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified. List samples and results effected below.

Blank Actions for Herbicide Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
Method, Sulfur cleanup, Field, Instrument	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
	≥ CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report sample result and qualify as non-detect (U) or unusable (R)
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment

	Gross contamination	Detect	Report at sample result and qualify as unusable (R)
--	---------------------	--------	---

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All MB ND no Q. No equipment blanks

Surrogate Compounds

The objective is to evaluate the DMC Percent Recovery (%R) to ensure that the analytical method is efficient. Surrogate spiking solution containing one surrogate, 2,2,4-Dichlorophenylacetic acid, is added to all samples, including matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples and blanks to measure the surrogate recovery. The surrogates are also added to all the standards to monitor RTs.

Surrogate Actions for Herbicide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
%R < 10% (undiluted sample)	J-	R
%R < 10% (diluted sample)	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
10% ≤ %R < 30%	J-	UJ
150% < %R ≤ 200%	J+	No qualification
%R > 200%	J+	Use professional judgment

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Herbicides

Surface water no problems

Sediment all acceptable col#1 all >200 col#2 samples all ND for targets no Q.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

The matrix spike (MS) / matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology.

For an MS/MSD that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the detected or nondetected results of the original sample.

MS/MSD %R and RPD Limits for Herbicide Analysis:

Lab limits used per client request

MS/MSD Actions for Herbicide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 20%	J	R
20% < %R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J	UJ
%R or RPD > Upper Acceptance Limit	J	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

VBSW3-180713-07132018 MS/MSD evaluation all acceptable

VBSD3-180713-07132018 lab used a spike concentration for analytes less than the MDL. Therefore the results of this MS/MSD evaluation cannot be used to assess data quality.

<i>Analyte</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>MSD</i>	<i>RPD</i>	<i>Qualify</i>

Laboratory Control Sample

The objective is to evaluate the accuracy of the analytical method and laboratory performance using a laboratory control standard (LCS). The LCS should be extracted and analyzed per matrix or per SDG. The LCS should be extracted using the same procedures as the samples and method blank.

LCS %R Limits for Herbicide Analysis:

Laboratory limits used per client request

LCS Actions for Herbicide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
LCS not performed at the specified frequency or concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
%R < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	R
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

Herbicides

No problems were found

Target Analyte Identification

The objective is to provide acceptable GC/ECD qualitative analysis to minimize the number of erroneous analyte identifications.

The RTs of the surrogate and reported target analytes in each sample must be within the calculated RT windows on both columns. If the detected target analyte peak is sufficiently outside the RT window determined from the associated ICAL, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample CRQL value. If the detected target analyte peak poses an interference with the potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R). List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

For detected single component target analytes, the %D between the concentrations on two GC columns must be calculated according to the method. The %D for any detected target analyte should be < 25.0% to have high confidence in the identification. If %D > 25% qualify positive results as estimated (J).

Herbicides:

All ND no Q exception below

FDVBSW3-180713 Dalapon 2,4-D flag J

Gel Permeation Chromatography Performance Check

The objective is to evaluate gel permeation chromatography (GPC) cleanup efficiency for all non-aqueous sample extracts and for aqueous sample extracts that contain high molecular weight components that interfere with the analysis of the target analytes.

Each GPC system must be calibrated prior to processing samples for GPC cleanup, when the GPC CCV solution fails to meet criteria, when the column is changed, when channeling occurs, and once every 7 days when in use. No target analyte in the GPC blank can exceed the CRQL. If the performance check is not performed at the specified frequency or concentration, use professional judgement to qualify detects and non-detects in the associated samples. List samples and results effected below.

GPC Performance Check Actions for Pesticide Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
%R < 10% (gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin)	Use professional judgment	R
10% ≤ %R < 80% (gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin)	J	UJ
%R > 120% (gamma-BHC (Lindane), Heptachlor, Aldrin, 4,4'-DDT, Endrin, and Dieldrin)	Use professional judgment	No qualification

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

GPC performance check not run for herbicides

Field Duplicate

The objective of the field duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable field sample collection and laboratory method precision.

For a field duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the samples comprising the field duplicate pair.

Sample IDs representing the field duplicate pairs:

No field duplicate sample pair

If both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and the RPD is $> 20\%$ (35% for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J", and qualify non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below. ***50% RPD or 3x CRQL for soil samples, 30% RPD or 2x CRQL for water samples***

If the original sample or duplicate sample result is $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and the absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL$ (2X CRQL for soil samples), qualify detects as estimated "J" and non-detects as estimated "UJ". List samples and results effected below.

VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 precision
acceptable in all cases

_VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018 all nd no Q.

Calculations

- Check that instrument response data (peak areas) are reported for requested analytes, DMCs, internal standards for all requested field samples, matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, laboratory control samples and method blanks as well as calibration data. **Not done at level 2B**
- Recalculate the initial calibration curve from the instrument response for one compound per initial calibration. **Not done at level 2B**
-
- Recalculate opening and closing continuing calibration verification (CCV) response from peak data for one compound. **Not done at level 2B**
- Recalculate a reported result for 10% of the samples. **Not done at level 2B**
- Recalculate one DMC recovery from the instrument response. **Not done at level 2B**
- Recalculate one LCS recovery from the instrument response (if applicable). **Not done at level 2B**

TPH

DATA VALIDATION SOP



TPH METHOD VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Validation performed at Stage 2b level

SITE: EPA6 US Oil Recovery Superfund Site

DATE: 8/30/18 DLW

SDG: J79800-1

LABORATORY WORK PERFORMED BY TESTAMERICA LABORATORIES, INC - HOUSTON
and Corpus Christ

Y N N/A

Data Completeness and Deliverables

Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package?

_____ x _____

ACTION: Call lab for explanation/resubmittal of any missing deliverables. If the lab cannot provide them, note the effect on review of the data in the non-compliance section of the data assessment narrative.

Custody Documents and Narratives

Are chains of custody present and complete for all samples?

_____ x _____

ACTION: Contact lab for replacement of missing documents.

Do chains of custody or lab narratives indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special notations affecting the quality of the data?

_____ x _____

ACTION: If any sample analyzed as a soil other than a TCLP, contains 50-90% water, flag all data as estimated. If the soil sample, other than TCLP, contains more than 90% water, all data would be flagged as unusable.

ACTION: If samples were not iced upon receipt, flag all positive results as estimated, an all non-detects "UJ".

Holding Times

Sampled 7/13/18

Have any **TPH** technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of extraction been exceeded?

_____ x _____

NOTE: Water and Soil sample must be **DOJO** HG within ☐ days of sample collection. : **DAUUDP SDV SUHUYHG+ &/ S+ 000Z DAUDCGVRLNP S0000CHUHM&** ✓

SAP requirements: <6°C, freeze extracts to <-12°C, extract within 14 days, analyze within 14 days of extraction

Table of Holding Time Violations

Sample	Sample Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Lab Received	Date Analyzed	Date Extracted
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

ACTION: If holding times are exceeded, flag all data as estimated ("J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects). If holding times were grossly exceeded (i.e., more than 2x the holding time), flag all positive data as estimated and reject all non-detects as unusable ("R").



SURROGATE SPIKE
2-fluorobiphenyl
trifluoromethyl benzene

70-130% per SAP
70-130% per SAP

o-terphenyl used as surrogate by laboratory, laboratory limits used.
All acceptable

Matrix Spike

Is a matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate summary present?

_____ x _____

Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency for each of the following matrixes:

a. low water?

b. low soil?

c. medium soil?

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, call the lab for explanation/re-submittal. If information is not available, document the effect in narrative notes.

Laboratory limits used per client request

% Recovery = 70 – 130
RPD = 50%

VBSW3-180713-07132018 all acceptable
VBSD3-180713-07132018 >C12-C28 %R
low in MS/MSD sample result flag J-

How many matrix spike recoveries are outside QC limits?

water _____ out of _____

soil _____ out of _____

ACTION: Do not qualify associated sample results on the basis of the MS/MSD data alone. Use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria to determine the need for qualification of associated data. If the MS and MSD both have less than 10 percent recovery for an analyte, reject non-detect results for that analyte and qualify positive results for that analyte as estimated for the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. If the MS and MSD both have greater than 200 percent recovery for an analyte, reject detected results for that analyte and qualify non-detect results for that analyte as estimated for the sample used for the MS/MSD analysis. Use professional judgment in applying this criterion to other samples.

1 LCS and 1 LCSD water
1 LCS/LCSD solid

Laboratory Control Sample

Y N N/A

Were LCS samples evaluated with each batch of 20 samples or less and were observed percent recoveries within the laboratory defined limits of

ACTION: Document in Data Assessment Narrative.

TPH 75-125% recovery lab limits

x

Blanks

Has a method blank analysis been reported per twenty samples of a similar matrix or concentration level, and for each analysis batch?

Upon examination of laboratory and field blank data, do any blanks contain positive results? QAPP no positive blank results

greater than LOQ frequency met, all ND

x

x

ACTION: If yes, qualify associated results as follows:

If the sample result is greater than the laboratory reporting limit but less than 5 times the blank concentration, flag sample result as non-detect ("U"). If the sample result is reported as detected at a concentration less than the reporting limit and less than 5 times the blank concentration, qualify the sample result as non-detectable at the laboratory reporting limit. For aqueous blanks applied to soil/sediment samples, compare the sample result to the equivalent concentration of the blank. The equivalent concentration is determined by assuming that all of the analyte present in the blank aliquot analyzed is present in the sample aliquot analyzed.

Prepare a list of sample effected.

Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?

No field blank but trip blanks submitted. Trip blanks ND

x

Calibration

Are raw data and summary sheets present for both initial and continuing calibrations? \$UHDO&\$ / DQG&&\$ / DCDOWUHQVQV H/VZVWQ
HMDQKHGZIQGRZV'

x

Are the % RSD values for the initial calibration less than or equal to 20% or correlation coefficient greater than 0.995?

x

ACTION: Associated sample data for those analytes with % RSD > 20 will be qualified as estimated.

Are the % D values between the true and measured concentration values for the continuing calibrations + 20?

Y

N

N/A

ACTION: If no, data following the last in-control standard to the next-in-control standard are potentially affected. Associated detected sample data will be qualified as estimated and associated non-detected sample data will be qualified as estimated if low bias is determined to be present.

All in

Check calibration factors and % RSD values back to raw data for 10% of data received.

Are miss-calculations or transcription errors found?

NOTE: If yes, contact the laboratory.

Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits *Level 4 Only

Check data for one or more detected target analytes per sample for ten percent of the data packages. Recalculate from the raw data to check for calculation and transcription errors.

Were miscalculation/transcription errors found?

☐

☐

☐

Field Duplicates

Were field duplicates submitted for TPH analysis?

ACTION: Where both the sample duplicate values are greater than 5 times the SQL, acceptable sampling and analytical precision is indicated by an RPD for the two field duplicate results of less than or equal to 100 percent. Where one or both analytes of the field duplicate pair are less than 5 times the SQL, satisfactory precision is indicated if the field duplicate results agree within 2 times the SQL. If the above criteria are not met for an analyte, qualify all associated sample data for that analyte as estimated ("J").

*<50% solids, <30% aqueous I in 10 normal samples per SAP

VBSW3-180713-07132018 and EDVBSW3-180713-07132018 precision acceptable
VBSD3-180713-07132018 and EDVBSD3-180713-07132018 precision acceptable

ICPMS METALS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Validator Name: DLW
Validation Date: 08/2918
Projection Description: US Oil Recovery Superfund Site
SDG: 180-79800-1
Laboratory: TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. – Pittsburgh
Soil: 2 Water: **2 dissolved; 2 total** Other: **NA**

Analytes reviewed:

Total Aluminum, Arsenic, Boron, Barium, Beryllium, Cobalt, Manganese, Antimony, Selenium, Vanadium, Thallium

Dissolved (Cadmium, Copper, Chromium, Silver, Nickel, Lead, Zinc

Based on this evaluation, the final validated results are flagged with the following qualifiers on completion of the validation effort as defined by the USEPA Contract Laboratory National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review, OLEM 9355.0-131, EPA-540-R-2016-001, August 2014:

Data Qualifier	Definition
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting QC criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.

Level 2B

Data Package Overview

Upon receipt of the data package, the following steps should be performed before the validation process is to be started. Any/all problems or discrepancies found during the overview must be recorded in the validation notes and discussed as appropriate in the validation report.

Review case narrative to determine the following:

Number and matrix of samples reported: **water 2 dissolved ; 2 total; 2 sed**

Specific method reference: **SW846 6020A**

Verify that all samples were analyzed for the methods requested in the quality assurance plan: **Yes**

If no, contact laboratory, project chemist and/or client to confirm.

Verify correct result units are reported: **yes**

Any analytical problems were encountered by the laboratory: **No discrepancies**

Verify requested target analyte results are reported along with the original laboratory data qualifiers. Analytes listed on Form Is should match quality assurance plan.

All match

Review the field chain of custody (COC) records

Confirm that all reported samples are documented on Form Is are on COC.
List samples/analytes on COC but missing from Form Is below:

All match, no anomalies

Percent Solids (Not in national Functional Guidelines)

If percent solids are less than 30%, qualify all positive results J and non-detected results JJ.
List noncompliant samples and analytes:

N/A not evaluated per NFG

Holding Times

Technical holding times are determined from the time of sample collection to the dates of preparation and analysis.

Determine the length of time between collection and analysis (or between collection and digestion/distillation and analysis, as applicable) for each sample using field COCs, digestion/distillation logs, and raw data.

Confirm that dates on the summary forms agree with the raw data for selected samples: if discrepancies are found, all dates must be cross-checked

Holding time actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Aqueous samples received with pH > 2 and pH not adjusted	Use professional judgment J-	Use professional judgment R
Aqueous sample properly preserved but analyzed outside the 180-day technical holding time	J-	R
Non-aqueous sample properly preserved but analyzed outside the 180-day technical holding time	J-	R

List samples, results affected and qualifications below.

All HT met, no Q

Cooler temps all < 10°C

Tune Analysis

The ICP-MS tune serves as an initial demonstration of instrument stability and precision.

Verify, using the raw data, that the appropriate number of analyses or scans of the ICP-MS tuning solution were performed, and that the appropriate analytes were present in the solution.

ICPMS Tune Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Tune not performed	R	R
Tune not performed properly. The tuning solution was not analyzed or scanned at least 5x consecutively, or the tuning solution does not contain the required analytes spanning the analytical range.	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement
Resolution of mass calibration not within 0.1 u	J	UJ
Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) > 5%	J	UJ

List samples and results affected below.

Tunes acceptable, no Q

Calibration

Calibration is performed to ensure that each instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data for all target analytes throughout each analysis sequence. The initial calibration verification (ICV) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analysis run. Continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards are analyzed at specified frequencies throughout and at the end of the analysis series to document that the initial calibration is still valid.

For initial calibrations or ICV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples analyzed between a previous technically acceptable analysis of the QC sample and a subsequent technically acceptable analysis of the QC sample in the analytical sequence.

Calibration Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Non-detect Action
Calibration not performed	R	R
Instrument not calibrated with at least 5 standards or if the calibration curve does not include	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement

standards at required concentrations (e.g., a blank and at least one at or below the CRQL but above the MDL)	J or R	UJ or R
Correlation coefficient < 0.995, %D outside $\pm 30\%$, or y-intercept \geq CRQL	J	UJ
ICV/CCV Percent recovery < 75%	Use professional judgement J- or R	R
ICV/CCV Percent recovery 75-89%	J	UJ
ICV/CCV Percent recovery 111-125%	J+	No qualification
ICV/CCV Percent recovery > 125%	Use professional judgement J+ or R	No qualification

List samples and results affected below.

All acceptable, no Q

Blanks

The purpose of blanks is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination resulting from activities related to the sampling and analytical process. When contamination is detected in any blank, all associated data must be evaluated to determine whether there is an inherent variability in the data or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

Laboratory blanks include initial calibration, continuing calibration and method blanks. If field blanks are present, treat as a method blank.

When one or more blanks are associated with a sample, qualify sample results based on the blank having the highest concentration of the contaminant.

Evaluation of sample results relative to associated blank results must account for differences in weights, volumes, solids content, or dilution factors that affect comparability.

Blank Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
ICB/CCB	Detect \leq CRQL	Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as nondetect U
		> CRQL	Use professional judgment
ICB/CCB	\leq (-MDL) but \geq (-CRQL)	Detect or non-detect	Use professional judgment
ICB/CCB	> CRQL	Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as nondetect U
		> CRQL but < ICB/CCB Result	Report at ICB/CCB Result and qualify

			as non-detect U or unusable R
		\geq ICB/CCB Result	Use professional judgment
ICB/CCB	< (-CRQL)	Non-detect	Use professional judgment to qualify as estimated UJ or unusable R
		Detect \leq CRQL	Use professional judgment or qualify as estimated low J-
		> CRQL	Use professional judgment to qualify as estimated low J-
Preparation Blank	Detect \leq CRQL	Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as nondetect U
		> CRQL	Use professional judgment
Preparation Blank	\leq (-MDL) but \geq (-CRQL)	Detect or non-detect	Use professional judgment
Preparation Blank	> CRQL	Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as nondetect U
		> CRQL but < 10x the Preparation Blank Result	Report at Preparation Blank Result and use professional judgment to qualify results as estimated high J+ or unusable R
		\geq 10x the Preparation Blank Result	No qualification
Preparation Blank	< (-CRQL)	Non-detect	Qualify as estimated UJ
		Detect \leq CRQL	Use professional judgment or qualify as estimated low J-
		< 10x CRQL	Qualify results that are \geq CRQL as estimated low J-
		\geq 10x CRQL	No qualification

List samples and results affected below.

Frequency met. No problems with exception. Cr positive in MBLK assoc. with FDVBSW3-180713-07132018 total and dissolved. Total flagged U and dissolved raised to the CRQL and flagged "U" on this basis.

ICP Interference Check Sample

ICP interference check sample (ICS) analyses are performed to verify the laboratory's interelement and background correction factors.

Interference Check Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
ICS not analyzed	R	R
ICS not analyzed in the proper sequence. (An ICS must be analyzed undiluted at the beginning of each sample analysis sequence. The ICS is not to be analyzed prior to the ICV, and shall be immediately followed by a CCV, followed by a CCB.)	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement
ICSAB %R < 50%	J-	R
ICS %R 50-79% [or ICS found value is < (true value – 2x CRQL), whichever is lower]	J-	UJ
ICS %R > 120% [or ICS true value is > (true value + 2x CRQL), whichever is greater]	J+	No qualification
ICS %R > 150%	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement

List samples and results affected below.

All acceptable, no Q

If sample results that are \geq MDLs are observed for analytes which are not present in the ICS solution, the possibility of false positives exists. An evaluation of the associated sample data for the affected analytes should be made. For samples with comparable or higher levels of interferents and with analyte concentrations that approximate those levels found in the ICS, qualify detects as estimated high J+. Non-detects should not be qualified.

If negative sample results are observed for analytes that are not present in the ICS solution, and their absolute values are \geq MDLs, the possibility of false negatives in the samples exists. An evaluation of the associated sample data for the affected analytes should be made. For samples with levels of interferents that are comparable to or higher than the levels found in the ICS, qualify detects < 10x the absolute value of the negative result as estimated low J-, and qualify non-detects as estimated UJ.

Laboratory Control Sample

The objective is to determine the validity of the analytical results based on the recovery of the digested Laboratory Control Sample (LCS).

Verify that the appropriate number of required LCS samples (one per batch per matrix) were prepared and analyzed for the SDG. If the appropriate number of LCS samples were not analyzed for each matrix using the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified. Detects should be qualified as estimated J and non-detects as estimated UJ.

LCS Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
Aqueous/Water and Soil/Sediment %R < 40%	J-	R
Aqueous/Water and Soil/Sediment %R 40-69%	J-	UJ
Aqueous/Water and Soil/Sediment %R > 130%	J+	No qualification
Aqueous/Water and Soil/Sediment %R > 150%	R	No qualification

List samples and results affected below.

Range 80-120% laboratory limits per client instruction

All acceptable, no Q

Laboratory Duplicate

The objective of duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable method precision by the laboratory at the time of analysis.

For a duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples of the same matrix if the samples are considered sufficiently similar.

Laboratory Duplicate Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
Both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and RPD $> 20\%$ *	J	UJ
RPD $> 100\%$	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement
Original sample or duplicate sample result $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL$ *	J	UJ

* The above control limits are method requirements for duplicate samples, regardless of the sample matrix type. However, it should be noted that laboratory variability arising from the sub-sampling of non-homogenous soil samples is a common occurrence. Therefore, for technical review purposes only, EPA Regional policy or project DQOs may allow the use of less restrictive criteria (e.g., 35% RPD, 2x the CRQL) to be assessed against duplicate soil samples.

List samples and results affected below.

No laboratory duplicate analyzed for this sample site

Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate

The matrix spike (MS) / matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology.

For a MS/MSD that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples of the same matrix, if the samples are considered sufficiently similar.

Spike Sample Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
Matrix Spike %R < 30% Post-digestion spike %R < 75%	J-	R
Matrix Spike %R < 30% Post-digestion spike %R ≥ 75%	J	UJ
Matrix Spike %R 30-74% Post-digestion spike %R < 75%	J-	UJ
Matrix Spike %R 30-74% Post-digestion spike %R ≥ 75%	J	UJ
Matrix Spike %R > 125% Post-digestion spike %R > 125%	J+	No qualification
Matrix Spike %R > 125% Post-digestion spike %R ≤ 125%	J	No qualification
Matrix Spike %R < 30% No post-digestion spike performed	J-	R
Matrix Spike %R 30-74% No post-digestion spike performed	J-	UJ
Matrix Spike %R > 125% No post-digestion spike performed	J+	No qualification

List samples and results affected below.

75-125%R with 20% RPD limit laboratory control limit

Sample VBSW3-180713 ***was evaluated as MS/MSD total and dissolved all acceptable***

Sample FDVBSW3-180713 ***was evaluated as MS/MSD total all acceptable***

Sample VBSD3-180713 ***was evaluated as MS/MSD see outliers below***

	MS	MSD	PDS	RPD	Q?
<i>antimony</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>61</i>		<i>ok</i>	<i>J-/UJ</i>
<i>boron</i>	<i>ok</i>	<i>73</i>		<i>ok</i>	<i>inconclusive</i>
<i>selenium</i>	<i>ok</i>	<i>40</i>		<i>out</i>	<i>J/UJ</i>

ICP Serial Dilution

The objective of the serial dilution analysis is to determine whether or not significant physical or chemical interferences exist due to sample matrix.

For a serial dilution that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples of the same matrix, if the samples are considered sufficiently similar.

Verify that the appropriate number of required serial dilution samples (one per batch) were prepared and analyzed for the SDG. If the appropriate number of serial samples were not analyzed for each matrix using the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified. Detects should be qualified as estimated J and non-detects as estimated UJ if any of the frequency criteria is not met. List samples and results affected below.

No sample was evaluated via serial dilution.

Serial Dilution Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
Sample concentration > 50x MDL, serial dilution sample concentration \geq CRQL, and %D > 10%	J	UJ
Sample concentration > 50x MDL, serial dilution sample concentration \geq CRQL, and %D \geq 100%	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement

List samples and results affected below.

Sample VBSW3-180713 ***total and dissolved both all in***

Sample FDVBSW3-180713 ***total all in***

Sample VBSD3-180713 ***all in***

Internal Standards

The objective of internal standard analysis is to determine the existence and magnitude of instrument drift and physical interferences.

Internal Standard Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
No internal standards	R	R
< 5 of the required internal standards (Lithium, Scandium, Yttrium, Rhodium, Indium, Terbium, Holmium, Lutetium, Bismuth)	R	R
Target analyte not associated with internal standard	R	R
%RI < 60% or > 125% and original sample reanalyzed at 2-fold dilution	J	UJ
Original sample not reanalyzed at 2-fold dilution	Use professional judgment J or R	Use professional judgment UJ or R

List samples and results affected below.

70-120% by project SAP

All acceptable.

The appropriate number of internal standards were added and evaluated along with each sample in this project. Both total and dissolved samples had all internal standard responses lower than the lowest validation acceptance level (60%) but higher than the lower criteria limit described in method US EPA 6020 (30%). For this reason, professional judgement was used to qualify all positive results "J", estimated, and non-detected "UJ", estimated.

Field Duplicate

The objective of duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable method precision by the field at the time of sampling.

For a duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples of the same matrix if the samples are considered sufficiently similar.

Laboratory Duplicate Actions for ICPMS Analysis

Criteria	Detect Action	Nondetect Action
Both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and RPD $> 20\%^*$	J	UJ
RPD $> 100\%$	Use professional judgement	Use professional judgement
Original sample or duplicate sample result $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL^*$	J	UJ

* The above control limits are method requirements for duplicate samples, regardless of the sample matrix type. However, it should be noted that field variability arising from the sub-sampling of non-homogenous soil samples is a common occurrence. Therefore, for technical review purposes only, EPA Regional policy or project DQOs may allow the use of less restrictive criteria (e.g., 35% RPD, 2x the CRQL) to be assessed against duplicate soil samples.

List samples and results affected below.

$\leq 50\%$ RPD or 3x CRQL soil
 $\leq 30\%$ RPD or 2x CRQL liquid

VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018

All acceptable total and dissolved

VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018

All acceptable exceptions: Sb, As, Cr, Se, Tl affected results for the pair flagged "J"

MERCURY DATA REVIEW

The inorganic data requirements for mercury to be reviewed during validation are listed below:

Example Analytical Sequence	79
I. Preservation and Holding Times	81
II. Calibration	83
III. Blanks	86
IV. Duplicate Sample Analysis	90
V. Spike Sample Analysis	93
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	95
VII. Overall Assessment of Data	96
VIII. Calculations	98

Site: U.S. Oil Recovery Superfund Site

Test method: 7470B

600-162435-1

1 water sample analyzed as total and dissolved Hg

This page is intentionally left blank.

Example Analytical Sequence

This is an example of an analytical sequence:

S##
S##
S##
S##
S##
S##
ICV
ICB
CCV###
CCB###
samples
CCV###
CCB###
samples
CCV###
CCB###, etc.

*Suffix ## and ### are as specified in Exhibit B of the Statement of Work (SOW).

This page is intentionally left blank.

Sampled 07/13/18

Cooler temps <10°C

I. Preservation and Holding Times**A. Review Items**

Form 1-IN, Form 12-IN, Traffic Report/Chain of Custody (TR/COC) documentation, Form DC-1, raw data, and the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative checking for: pH; shipping container temperature; holding time; and other sample conditions.

All
appropriate

B. Objective

The objective is to determine the validity of the analytical results based on the sample conditions and the holding time of the sample.

C. Criteria

1. The technical holding time is determined from the date of collection, or the date Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) or Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP) extraction is complete, to the date of analysis.
2. The technical holding time criteria for aqueous/water samples and leachate samples from TCLP or SPLP is 28 days, preserved (with nitric acid) to pH ≤ 2 .
3. The technical holding time criteria for soil/sediment samples is 28 days, based on the technical holding time criteria for aqueous/water samples.
4. Soil/sediment samples shall be maintained at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ (but not frozen) from the time of collection until receipt at the laboratory. All aqueous/water and soil/sediment samples must be stored at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ (but not frozen) from the time of sample receipt until digestion. The TCLP and SPLP leachates must be stored at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ (but not frozen) from the time of the leaching procedure completion until digestion. note sample pH not measured. All logs indicate proper preservation.
5. Samples and standards shall be analyzed with 48 hours of preparation.

D. Evaluation

Establish technical holding times by comparing the sampling date(s) on the TR/COC documentation with the dates of analysis on Form 12-IN and the raw data; also consider using information in the Complete SDG File (CSF), as it may be helpful in the assessment. Verify that the analysis dates on the Form 12-IN and the raw data are identical. Review the SDG Narrative and raw data preparation logs to determine if samples were properly preserved. If there is an indication of problems with the samples, the sample integrity may be compromised. Use professional judgment to evaluate the effect of the problem on the sample results.

E. Action

NOTE: Apply the action to each field sample for which the preservation or holding time criteria was not met.

1. If the pH of aqueous/water samples is > 2 at the time of sample receipt, determine if the laboratory adjusted the pH to ≤ 2 at the time of sample receipt. Also determine if the laboratory adjusted the pH to ≤ 2 for the TCLP and SPLP leachates after completion of the leaching procedure. If not, use professional judgment to qualify the samples based on the pH of the sample and the chemistry of Mercury (possible Methylation). Detects should be qualified as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as unusable (R). note sample pH not measured. All logs indicate proper preservation.
2. If soil/sediment samples are not maintained at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ (but not frozen) from the time of collection until receipt at the laboratory, detects should be qualified as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as unusable (R).
3. If technical holding times are exceeded, use professional judgment to determine the reliability of the data based on the magnitude of the additional time compared to the technical requirement and whether the samples were properly preserved. The expected bias would be low. Detects should be qualified as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as unusable (R).

4. Due to limited information concerning holding times for soil/sediment samples, use professional judgment when deciding whether to apply the aqueous/water holding time criteria to soil/sediment samples. If they are applied, document this action in the Data Review Narrative.
5. If samples are received with shipping container temperatures $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$, use professional judgment to determine the reliability of the data, or qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). temps. $<10^{\circ}\text{C}$ no Q
6. When shipping or storage temperatures grossly exceed the requirements, the loss of volatile mercury compounds or metallic mercury is possible. The expected bias would be low. Use professional judgment to qualify the samples and note it for Regional Laboratory Contracting Officer Representative (COR) action.
7. When the holding times are exceeded, annotate any possible consequences for the analytical results in the Data Review Narrative, and note it for Regional Laboratory COR action.

Table 22. Preservation and Holding Time Actions for Mercury Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Aqueous/water samples received with pH > 2 and pH not adjusted	Use professional judgment J-	Use professional judgment R
TCLP/SPLP leachate samples with pH > 2 and pH not adjusted	Use professional judgment J-	Use professional judgment R
Soil/sediment samples not maintained at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ (but not frozen) from time of collection until receipt at the laboratory	J-	R
Technical Holding Time: Aqueous/water and TCLP/SPLP leachate samples > 28 days	J-	R
Technical Holding Time: Soil/sediment samples > 28 days	J-	R
Samples received $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}^*$	Use professional judgment J	Use professional judgment UJ

- * For samples received with shipping container temperatures $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$, Regional policy or project Data Quality Objectives (DQO) may allow the use of higher temperature criteria before assessing any actions for the affected samples.

II. Calibration ✓

A. Review Items

Form 2-IN, Form 12-IN, Form 15-IN, Form 16-IN, preparation logs, calibration standard logs, instrument logs, instrument printouts, and raw data.

B. Objective

The objective is to determine the validity of the analytical results based on initial calibration and calibration verification.

C. Criteria

1. Initial Calibration

The instruments shall be successfully calibrated daily (or once every 24 hours), and each time the instrument is set up. The calibration date and time shall be included in the raw data. The calibration curve shall be prepared by the same method used to prepare the samples for analysis. The curve shall be prepared with the samples that will be analyzed using this calibration curve. ✓

- a. A blank and at least five calibration standards shall be used to establish the calibration curve. At least one of the calibration standards shall be at or below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) but above the Method Detection Limit (MDL). The calibration curve shall be fitted using linear regression or weighted linear regression. The curve may be forced through zero. The calibration curve must have a correlation coefficient ≥ 0.995 . The calculated percent differences (%Ds) for all of the non-zero standards must fall within $\pm 30\%$ of the true value of the standard. The y-intercept of the curve must be less than the CRQL.

2. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification

The acceptance criteria for the Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) and Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) standards are presented in Table 23. These standards shall be prepared by the same method used to prepare the samples for analysis.

Table 23. Acceptance Criteria for ICV and CCV Standards for Mercury Analysis

Analytical Method	Inorganic Analyte	ICV/CCV Low Limit (% of True Value)	ICV/CCV High Limit (% of True Value)
Cold Vapor AA	Mercury	85	115

a. Initial Calibration Verification

- 1) Immediately after the system has been calibrated, the accuracy of the initial calibration must be verified and documented by the analysis of an ICV solution(s). If the ICV Percent Recovery (%R) falls outside of the control limits, the analysis should be terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, and all affected samples reanalyzed.
- 2) Only if the ICV is not available from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), analyses shall be conducted using a certified solution of the analyte from an independent commercial standard source, at a concentration level other than that used for instrument calibration, but within the calibrated range.

b. Continuing Calibration Verification

- 1) To ensure accuracy during the course of each analytical sequence, the CCV shall be analyzed and reported.
- 2) The CCV standard shall be analyzed at a frequency of every hour during an analytical sequence. The CCV standard shall also be analyzed at the beginning of the analytical sequence, and again after the last analytical sample.

- 3) The analyte concentration in the CCV standard shall be different than the concentration used for the ICV, and a concentration equivalent to the mid level of the calibration curve.
- 4) The same CCV standard solution shall be used throughout the analysis for an SDG.
- 5) The CCV shall be analyzed in the same fashion as an actual sample. If the %R of the CCV was outside of the control limits, the analysis should be terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, and all analytical samples analyzed since the last compliant CCV reanalyzed.

D. Evaluation

1. Verify that the instrument was calibrated daily (once every 24 hours) and each time the instrument was set up, utilizing a blank and at least five calibration standards. Confirm that at least one of the calibration standards was analyzed at or below the CRQL, but above the MDL. Confirm that calibration standards and samples were prepared at the same time.
2. Verify that the ICV and CCV standards were analyzed at the specified frequency and at the appropriate concentration. Verify that acceptable %R results were obtained.
3. Recalculate one or more of the ICV or CCV %R using the following equation and verify that the recalculated value agrees with the laboratory-reported values on Form 2-IN.

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found (value)}}{\text{True (value)}} \times 100$$

Where,

Found (value) = Concentration (in µg/L) of mercury measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True (value) = Concentration (in µg/L) of mercury in the ICV or CCV source

E. Action

NOTES: For initial calibrations or ICV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to the associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCV standards that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples analyzed between a previous technically acceptable analysis of the Quality Control (QC) sample and a subsequent technically acceptable analysis of the QC sample in the analytical sequence.

1. If the instrument was not calibrated daily and each time the instrument was set up, qualify detects and non-detects as unusable (R). If the instrument was not calibrated with at least the minimum number of standards, or if the calibration curve does not include standards at required concentrations (e.g., a blank, and at least one standard at or below the CRQL but above the MDL), or if the instrument was not calibrated with standards prepared at the same time as the samples, use professional judgment to qualify detects as estimated (J) or unusable (R), and non-detects as estimated (UJ) or unusable (R).
2. If the correlation coefficient is < 0.995, the %D is outside the ±30% limit, or the y-intercept is ≥ CRQL, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). ✓
3. If the ICV or CCV %R falls outside the acceptance windows, use professional judgment to qualify all associated data. If possible, indicate the bias in the review. The following guidelines are recommended:
 - a. If the ICV or CCV %R is < 70%, use professional judgment to qualify detects as estimated low (J-) or unusable (R), and non-detects as unusable (R).
 - b. If the ICV or CCV %R falls within the range of 70-84%, qualify detects as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

- c. If the ICV or CCV %R falls within the range of 85-115%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
 - d. If the ICV or CCV %R falls within the range of 116-130%, qualify detects as estimated high (J+). Non-detects should not be qualified.
 - e. If the ICV or CCV %R is > 130%, use professional judgment to qualify detects as estimated high (J+) or unusable (R). Non-detects should not be qualified.
 - f. If the ICV or CCV %R is > 165%, qualify detects as unusable (R). Non-detects should not be qualified.
4. If the laboratory failed to provide adequate calibration information, notify the Regional Laboratory COR. The Regional Laboratory COR may contact the laboratory and request the necessary information. If the information is unavailable, use professional judgment to assess the data.
 5. Annotate the potential effects on the reported data due to exceeding the calibration criteria in the Data Review Narrative.
 6. If calibration criteria are grossly exceeded, note this for Regional Laboratory COR action.

NOTE: For truly critical samples, a further in-depth evaluation of the calibration curve may be warranted to determine if additional qualification is necessary.

Table 24. Calibration Actions for Mercury Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Calibration not performed	R	R
Calibration incomplete	Use professional judgment J or R	Use professional judgment UJ or R
Correlation coefficient < 0.995; %D outside $\pm 30\%$; y-intercept \geq CRQL	J	UJ
ICV/CCV %R < 70%	Use professional judgment J- or R	R
ICV/CCV %R 70-84%	J-	UJ
ICV/CCV %R 85-115%	No qualification	No qualification
ICV/CCV %R 116-130%	J+	No qualification
ICV/CCV %R > 130%	Use professional judgment J+ or R	No qualification
ICV/CCV %R > 165%	R	No qualification

III. Blanks

A. Review Items

Form 1-IN, Form 3-IN, Form 12-IN, preparation logs, calibration standard logs, instrument logs, and raw data.

B. Objective

The objective is to determine the validity of the analytical results based on the blank responses by determining the existence and magnitude of contamination resulting from laboratory (or field) activities or baseline drift during analysis.

C. Criteria

1. No contaminants should be found in the blank(s).
2. The Initial Calibration Blank (ICB) shall be analyzed at each mass used for analysis after the analytical standards, but not before analysis of the ICV during the initial calibration of the instrument (see Section II.C.1). The ICB shall be prepared by the same method used to prepare the samples for analysis.
3. A Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB) shall be analyzed immediately after every CCV. The CCB shall be prepared by the same method used to prepare the samples for analysis. The CCB shall be analyzed at a frequency of every hour during the analytical sequence. The CCB shall be analyzed at the beginning of the analytical sequence, and again after the last CCV that was analyzed after the last analytical sample of the analytical sequence. The CCB result (absolute value) shall not exceed the CRQL.
4. At least one Preparation Blank shall be prepared and analyzed for each matrix, with every SDG, or with each batch of samples digested, whichever is more frequent. The Preparation Blank consists of reagent water processed through the appropriate sample preparation and analysis procedure.
5. If the analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank is $> \text{CRQL}$, the lowest concentration of the analyte in the associated samples must be $\geq 10\times$ the Preparation Blank concentration. Otherwise, all associated samples with the analyte's concentration $< 10\times$ the Preparation Blank concentration, and $> \text{CRQL}$, should be redigested and reanalyzed. The laboratory is not to correct the sample concentration for the blank value.
6. If the analyte concentration in the Preparation Blank is $< (-\text{CRQL})$, all associated samples with the analyte's concentration $< 10\times$ the CRQL, should be redigested and reanalyzed.
7. At least one Leachate Extraction Blank (LEB) shall be prepared and analyzed for each batch of samples extracted by TCLP or SPLP. The LEB consists of reagent water processed through the extraction procedure. Post-extraction, the LEB shall be processed through the appropriate sample preparation and analysis procedure.

D. Evaluation

1. Verify that an ICB was analyzed after the calibration, the CCB was analyzed at the specified frequency and sequence during the analytical sequence, and Preparation Blanks are prepared and analyzed as appropriate for the SDG (e.g., total number of samples, various types of matrices present, number of digestion batches, etc.).
2. Review the results reported on Form 3-IN, as well as the raw data for all blanks, and verify that the results are accurately reported.
3. Evaluate all of the associated blanks for the presence of the target analyte. Verify that if the concentration of the target analyte was $> \text{CRQL}$ in a Preparation Blank, all associated samples with analyte's concentration $> \text{CRQL}$ but $< 10\times$ the Preparation Blank concentration were redigested and reanalyzed for that analyte. Verify that if the concentration was $< (-\text{CRQL})$ in a

Preparation Blank, all associated samples with the analyte's concentration $< 10\times$ CRQL were redigested and reanalyzed. Verify that if the absolute value of the target analyte was $> \text{CRQL}$ in an ICB or a CCB, the analysis was terminated, the problem corrected, the instrument recalibrated, and the preceding 10 analytical samples or all analytical samples analyzed since the last compliant calibration blank reanalyzed.

E. Action

NOTES: For ICBs that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples reported from the analytical sequence.

For CCBs that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples analyzed between a previous technically acceptable analysis of the CCB and a subsequent technically acceptable analysis of the CCB in the analytical sequence.

For Preparation Blanks that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples prepared in the same preparation batch. For LEBs that do not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all associated samples extracted in the same extraction batch.

1. If the appropriate blanks were not analyzed with the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified; obtain additional information from the laboratory, if necessary. Record the situation in the Data Review Narrative, and note it for Regional Laboratory COR action.
2. Action regarding unsuitable blank results depends on the circumstances and origin of the blank. In instances where more than one blank is associated with a given sample, qualification should be based upon a comparison with the associated blank having the highest concentration of contaminant.
3. Some general "technical" review actions include:
 - a. For any blank (including Preparation Blanks and LEBs) reported with detects $\leq \text{CRQL}$, report detects $\leq \text{CRQL}$ at the CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U). For any blank (including Preparation Blanks and LEBs) reported with a detect $\leq \text{CRQL}$, use professional judgment to qualify the sample results $> \text{CRQL}$. Non-detects should not be qualified.
 - b. For any blank (including Preparation Blanks and LEBs) reported with a negative result $\leq (-\text{MDL})$ but $\geq (-\text{CRQL})$, carefully evaluate it to determine its effect on the sample data. Use professional judgment to assess the data.
 - c. The blank analyses may not involve the same weights, volumes, or dilution factors as the associated samples. In particular, soil/sediment sample results reported on Form 1-IN will not be on the same basis (units, dilution) as the calibration blank data reported on Form 3-IN. It may be easier to work with the raw data and/or convert the ICB or CCB results to the same units as the soil/sediment samples for comparison purposes.
4. Specific "method" actions include:
 - a. If an ICB or a CCB result is $> \text{CRQL}$, the analysis should be terminated. If the analysis was not terminated and the associated samples were not reanalyzed, non-detects should not be qualified. Report detects $\leq \text{CRQL}$ at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U). Report sample results that are $> \text{CRQL}$ but $< \text{ICB/CCB Results}$ at ICB/CCB Results and use professional judgment to qualify as non-detect (U) or unusable (R). Use professional judgment to qualify sample results $\geq \text{ICB/CCB Results}$. Record the situation in the Data Review Narrative, and note it for Regional Laboratory COR action.

- b. If an ICB or a CCB result is $< (-\text{CRQL})$, the analysis should be terminated. If the analysis was not terminated and the associated samples were not reanalyzed, use professional judgment to qualify non-detects as estimated (UJ) or unusable (R). Use professional judgment to qualify detects $\leq \text{CRQL}$ or qualify as estimated low (J-). Use professional judgment to qualify sample results that are $> \text{CRQLs}$ as estimated low (J-).
- c. If the concentration of the analyte in the Preparation Blank/LEB is $> \text{CRQL}$, the lowest concentration of that analyte in the associated samples must be $\geq 10\times$ the Preparation Blank/LEB concentration. All samples associated with the Preparation Blank with concentrations $< 10\times$ the Preparation Blank concentration and $> \text{CRQL}$ should have been redigested and reanalyzed. If the associated samples were not redigested and reanalyzed, report the sample results at Preparation Blank Results; use professional judgment to qualify as estimated high (J+) or unusable (R). Report results $< 10\times$ the LEB concentration and $> \text{CRQL}$ in the samples associated with the LEB at LEB Results; use professional judgment to qualify the results as estimated high (J+) or unusable (R). Report detects $\leq \text{CRQLs}$ in the samples associated with the Preparation Blank/LEB at CRQLs and qualify as non-detect (U). Non-detects and sample results that are $\geq 10\times$ Preparation Blank/LEB Results should not be qualified. If the laboratory failed to redigest and reanalyze the samples associated with the Preparation Blank, record it in the Data Review Narrative, and note it for Regional Laboratory COR action.
- d. For any Preparation Blank or LEB reported with a negative result, $< (-\text{CRQL})$, use professional judgment to qualify detects $\leq \text{CRQL}$ or qualify as estimated low (J-). Qualify sample results that are $\geq \text{CRQLs}$ as estimated low (J-), and qualify non-detects as estimated (UJ). Sample results that are $\geq 10\times \text{CRQLs}$ should not be qualified.

Table 25. Blank Actions for Mercury Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
ICB/CCB	Detect \leq CRQL	Non-detect	No qualification
		Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		$>$ CRQL	Use professional judgment
ICB/CCB	\leq (-MDL) but \geq (-CRQL)	Detect or non-detect	Use professional judgment
ICB/CCB	$>$ CRQL	Non-detect	No qualification
		Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		$>$ CRQL but $<$ ICB/CCB Result	Report at ICB/CCB Result as non-detect (U) or unusable (R)
		\geq ICB/CCB Result	Use professional judgment
ICB/CCB	$<$ (-CRQL)	Non-detect	Use professional judgment to qualify as estimated (UJ) or unusable (R)
		Detect \leq CRQL	Use professional judgment or (J-)
		$>$ CRQL	Use professional judgment to qualify as estimated low (J-)
Preparation Blank/LEB	Detect \leq CRQL	Non-detect	No qualification
		Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		$>$ CRQL	Use professional judgment
Preparation Blank/LEB	\leq (-MDL) but \geq (-CRQL)	Detect or non-detect	Use professional judgment
Preparation Blank/LEB	$>$ CRQL	Non-detect	No qualification
		Detect \leq CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		$>$ CRQL but $<$ 10x the Preparation Blank/LEB Result	Report at Preparation Blank/LEB Result and use professional judgment to qualify results as estimated high (J+) or unusable (R)
		\geq 10x the Preparation Blank/LEB Result	No qualification
Preparation Blank/LEB	$<$ (-CRQL)	Non-detect	Qualify as estimated (UJ)
		Detect \leq CRQL	Use professional judgment or (J-)
		$<$ 10x CRQL	Report results \geq CRQL as estimated low (J-)
		\geq 10x CRQL	No qualification

IV. Duplicate Sample Analysis

A. Review Items

Cover Page, Form 6-IN, instrument printouts, and raw data.

B. Objective

The objective of duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable method precision by the laboratory at the time of analysis.

C. Criteria

1. Samples identified as field blanks or Performance Evaluation (PE) samples cannot be used for duplicate sample analysis.
2. At least one duplicate sample shall be prepared and analyzed from each group of samples of a similar matrix type (e.g., water or soil) or for each SDG. Duplicates cannot be averaged for reporting on Form 1-IN. Additional duplicate sample analyses may be required by EPA Regional request. Alternately, the Region may require that a specific sample be used for the duplicate sample analysis.
3. A control limit of 20% for the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) shall be used for original and duplicate sample values $\geq 5x$ the CRQL.
4. A control limit of the CRQL shall be used if either the sample or duplicate value is $< 5x$ the CRQL. The absolute value of the control limit (CRQL) shall be entered in the "Control Limit" column on Form 6-IN. If both samples are non-detects, the RPD is not calculated for Form 6-IN.

NOTE: The above control limits are **method requirements** for duplicate samples, regardless of the sample matrix type. However, it should be noted that laboratory variability arising from the sub-sampling of non-homogenous soil samples is a common occurrence. Therefore, for **technical review purposes only**, Regional policy or project DQOs may allow the use of less restrictive criteria (e.g., 35% RPD, 2x the CRQL) to be assessed against duplicate soil samples.

D. Evaluation

1. Verify, from the Cover Page and the raw data, that the appropriate number of required duplicate samples were prepared and analyzed for the SDG.
2. Verify, using Form 6-IN and the raw data, that the duplicate results fall within the established control limits.
3. Verify that a field blank or PE sample was not used for duplicate analysis.
4. Check the raw data and recalculate one or more of the RPD values using the following equation to verify that the results were correctly reported on Form 6-IN:

$$RPD = \frac{|S - D|}{(S + D) / 2} \times 100$$

Where,

RPD = Relative Percent Difference
S = Sample Result (original)
D = Duplicate Result

E. Action

NOTE: For a duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples of the same matrix if the samples are considered sufficiently similar. Exercise professional judgment in determining sample similarity when making use of all available data, including: site and sampling documentation (e.g., location and type of sample, descriptive data, soil classification); field test data (e.g., pH, E_h , conductivity, chlorine); and laboratory data for other parameters [e.g., Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Organic Carbon (TOC), alkalinity or buffering capacity, reactive sulfide, anions]. Additionally, use the sample data (e.g., similar concentrations of analytes) in determining similarity between samples in the SDG. Two determinations are: 1) only some samples in the SDG are similar to the duplicate sample, and that only these samples should be qualified; or 2) no samples are sufficiently similar to the sample used for the duplicate, and thus only the field sample used to prepare the duplicate sample should be qualified.

1. If the appropriate number of duplicate samples was not analyzed for each matrix using the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified; obtain additional information from the laboratory, if necessary. Record the situation in the Data Review Narrative, and note it for Regional Laboratory COR action. Associated samples that are detects should be qualified as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ) if any of the frequency criteria is not met.
2. If both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5\times$ the CRQL and the RPD is $> 20\%$, qualify detects as estimated (J), and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
3. If both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5\times$ the CRQL and the RPD is $\leq 20\%$, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
4. If RPD $> 100\%$, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified.
5. If the original sample or duplicate sample result is $< 5\times$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and the absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> \text{CRQL}$, qualify detects as estimated (J), and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
6. If the original sample or duplicate sample result is $< 5\times$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and the absolute difference between sample and duplicate $\leq \text{CRQL}$, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
7. If a field blank or PE sample was used for the duplicate sample analysis, note this for Regional Laboratory COR action. All of the other QC data must then be carefully checked. Exercise professional judgment when evaluating the data.
8. Annotate the potential effects on the data due to out-of-control duplicate sample results in the Data Review Narrative.

Table 26. Duplicate Sample Actions for Mercury Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and RPD $> 20\%^*$	J	UJ
Both original sample and duplicate sample results are $\geq 5x$ the CRQL and RPD is $\leq 20\%$	No qualification	No qualification
RPD $> 100\%$	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment
Original sample or duplicate sample results $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and absolute difference between sample and duplicate $> CRQL^*$	J	UJ
Original sample or duplicate sample result $< 5x$ the CRQL (including non-detects) and absolute difference between sample and duplicate $\leq CRQL$	No qualification	No qualification

* The above control limits are **method requirements** for duplicate samples, regardless of the sample matrix type. However, it should be noted that laboratory variability arising from the sub-sampling of non-homogenous soil samples is a common occurrence. Therefore, **for technical review purposes only**, Regional policy or project DQOs may allow the use of less restrictive criteria (e.g., 35% RPD, 2x the CRQL) to be assessed against duplicate soil samples.

V. Spike Sample Analysis

%R acceptable MS/MSD RPD acceptable as well.

A. Review Items

Cover Page, Form 5A-IN, instrument printouts, and raw data.

B. Objective

The objective of the spiked sample analysis is to evaluate the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology.

C. Criteria

1. Samples identified as field blanks or PE samples cannot be used for spiked sample analysis.
2. At least one spiked sample shall be prepared and analyzed from each group of samples with a similar matrix type (e.g., water or soil), or for each SDG.
3. The spike %R shall be within the established acceptance limits. However, spike recovery limits do not apply when the sample concentration is $\geq 4x$ the spike added. In such an event, the data shall be reported unflagged, even if the %R does not meet the acceptance criteria.
4. If the spiked sample analysis was performed on the same sample that was chosen for the duplicate sample analysis, spike calculations shall be performed using the results of the sample designated as the "original sample." The average of the duplicate results cannot be used for the purpose of determining %R.

NOTE: The final spike concentration required is presented in the method described in the SOW.

D. Evaluation

1. Verify, using the Cover Page, Form 5A-IN and raw data, that the appropriate number of required spiked samples was prepared and analyzed for the SDG.
2. Verify that a field blank or PE sample was not used for the spiked sample analysis.
3. Verify, using Form 5A-IN and the raw data, that all Matrix Spike sample results fall within the established control limits.
4. Recalculate, using the raw data, one or more of the %Rs using the following equation, and verify that the recalculated value agrees with the laboratory-reported values on Form 5A-IN:

$$\% \text{Recovery} = \frac{\text{SSR} - \text{SR}}{\text{SA}} \times 100$$

Where,

SSR = Spiked Sample Result
SR = Sample Result
SA = Spike Added

NOTE: When the sample result is $< \text{MDL}$ or reported as a non-detect, use $\text{SR} = 0$ only for the purpose of calculating the %R. The actual spiked sample result, sample result, and %R (positive or negative) shall still be reported on Forms 5A-IN.

E. Action

NOTE: For a Matrix Spike that does not meet the technical criteria, apply the action to all samples of the same matrix if the samples are considered sufficiently similar. Exercise professional judgment in determining sample similarity when making use of all available data, including: site and sampling documentation (e.g., location and type of sample, descriptive data, soil classification); field test data (e.g., pH, E_h , conductivity, chlorine); and laboratory data for other parameters (e.g., TSS, TDS, TOC, alkalinity or buffering capacity, reactive sulfide, anions). Additionally, use the sample data (e.g., similar

concentrations of analytes) in determining similarity between samples in the SDG. Possible determinations are: 1) only some of the samples in the SDG are similar to the Matrix Spike sample, and that only these samples should be qualified; or, 2) no samples are sufficiently similar to the sample used for the Matrix Spike, and thus only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample should be qualified.

1. If the appropriate number of Matrix Spike samples was not analyzed for each matrix using the correct frequency, use professional judgment to determine if the associated sample data should be qualified; obtain additional information from the laboratory, if necessary. Record the situation in the Data Review Narrative, and note it for Regional Laboratory COR action. Detects should be qualified as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ) if any of the frequency criteria is not met.
2. If a field blank or PE sample was used for the spiked sample analysis, note this for Regional Laboratory COR action. All of the other QC data must then be carefully checked. Use professional judgment when evaluating the data. Detects should be qualified as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
3. If the Matrix Spike %R is < 30%, qualify detects as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as unusable (R).
4. If the Matrix Spike %R falls within the range of 30-74%, qualify detects as estimated low (J-) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
5. If the Matrix Spike %R falls with the range of 75-125%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified.
6. If the Matrix Spike %R is > 125%, qualify detects as estimated high (J+). Non-detects should not be qualified.
7. Annotate the potential effects on the data due to out-of-control spiked sample results in the Data Review Narrative.

Table 27. Spike Sample Actions for Mercury Analysis

Criteria	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect
Matrix Spike %R < 30%	J-	R
Matrix Spike %R 30-74%	J-	UJ
Matrix Spike %R 75-125%	No qualification	No qualification
Matrix Spike %R > 125%	J+	No qualification

NOTE: The above control limits are **method requirements** for spike samples, regardless of the sample matrix type. However, it should be noted that laboratory variability arising from the sub-sampling of non-homogenous soil samples is a common occurrence. Therefore, for **technical review purposes only**, Regional policy or project DQOs may allow the use of less restrictive criteria (e.g., 10 %R and 150 %R for the lower and upper limits) to be assessed against spike soil samples.

VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control**A. Review Items**

Form 1-IN, instrument printouts, and raw data.

B. Objective

The objective is to use results from the analysis of Regional Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) samples such as field blanks, PE samples, blind spikes, and blind blanks to determine the validity of the analytical results.

C. Criteria

Criteria are determined by the Region.

D. Evaluation

Evaluation procedures must follow the Region's Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for data review. Each Region will handle the evaluation of PE samples on an individual basis. Compare results for PE samples with the acceptance criteria for the specific PE samples if possible.

Calculate the RPD between field duplicates and provide this information in the Data Review Narrative.

E. Action

Any action must be in accordance with Regional specifications and criteria for acceptable PE sample results. Note any unacceptable PE sample results for Regional Laboratory COR action.

LCS: lab limits per client
All acceptable, no Q

VBSW3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSW3-180713-07132018
Both total and dissolved acceptable
VBSD3-180713-07132018 and FDVBSD3-180713-07132018
unacceptable precision sample and FD flag J

VII. Overall Assessment of Data**A. Review Items**

Entire sample data package, data review results, preparation logs, calibration standard logs, instrument logs, instrument printouts, and raw data (including any confirmation data).

B. Objective

The objective is to provide the overall assessment on data quality and usability.

C. Criteria

1. Review all available materials to assess the overall quality of the data, keeping in mind the additive nature of analytical problems.
2. Reported analyte concentrations must be quantitated according to the appropriate analytical method, as listed in the method. All sample results must be within the linear calibration ranges per methods. Percent Solids (%Solids) must be properly used for all applicable matrix result calculations.

D. Evaluation

Examine the raw data to verify that the correct calculation of the sample results was reported by the laboratory. Digestion logs, instrument printouts, etc., should be compared to the reported sample results recorded on the appropriate Inorganic Summary Forms (Form 1-IN through Form 16-IN).

1. Evaluate any technical problems not previously addressed.
2. Examine the raw data for any anomalies (e.g., baseline shifts, negative absorbance, omissions, illegibility, etc.).
3. Verify that the appropriate methods and amounts were used to prepare samples and standards for analysis. If reduced volumes are used, verify that the laboratory received Regional Laboratory COR approval for the use of the reduced volume.
4. Verify that there are no transcription or reduction errors (e.g., dilutions, %Solids, sample weights, etc.) on one or more samples. Recalculate %Solids for at least 10% of the samples and verify that the calculated %Solids agree with that reported by the laboratory.
5. Verify that the MDL is properly reported and that it is not greater than the CRQL.
6. Verify that results fall within the calibrated range (Form 15-IN).
7. If appropriate information is available, assess the usability of the data to assist the data user in avoiding inappropriate use of the data. Review all available information, including the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), focusing specifically on the acceptance or performance criteria, the SOPs, and communication with the user concerning the intended use and desired quality of these data.

E. Action

1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which are not qualified based on the QC criteria previously discussed.
2. Use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects if the MDL exceeds CRQL.
3. If a sample is not diluted properly when sample results exceed the upper limit of the calibration range, qualify detects as estimated (J).
4. Write a brief Data Review Narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Annotate any discrepancies between the data and the SDG Narrative for Regional Laboratory COR action. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, include an assessment of the data usability within the given context.

5. If any discrepancies are found, notify the Regional Laboratory COR. The Regional Laboratory COR may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information for resolution. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, use professional judgment to determine if qualification of the data is warranted.

VIII. Calculations

Validation Stage 2B level NA

Aqueous/Water Samples:

$$\text{Hg Concentration } (\mu\text{g/L}) = C \times \text{DF}$$

Where,

- C = Instrument value in $\mu\text{g/L}$ from the calibration curve
DF = Dilution Factor of the original sample

Soil/Sediment Samples:

$$\text{Hg Concentration (mg/kg dry weight)} = C \times \frac{1}{W \times S} \times \text{DF} \times 0.1$$

Where,

- C = Instrument value in $\mu\text{g/L}$ from the calibration curve
W = Initial aliquot amount (g)
S = %Solids/100 (see Exhibit D - General Inorganic Analysis, Section 10.1.4)
DF = Dilution Factor

Adjusted MDL/Adjusted CRQL Calculation:

To calculate the adjusted MDL or adjusted CRQL for aqueous/water samples, substitute the value of the MDL ($\mu\text{g/L}$) or CRQL ($\mu\text{g/L}$) into the “C” term in the equation above.

Calculate the adjusted MDL or adjusted CRQL for soil/sediment samples as follows:

$$\text{Adjusted MDL or CRQL (mg/kg)} = C \times \frac{W_m}{W \times S} \times \text{DF}$$

Where,

- C = MDL or CRQL (mg/kg)
 W_m = Method required minimum sample weight (g) (0.50 g)
W = Initial aliquot amount (g)
S = %Solids/100 (see Exhibit D - General Inorganic Analysis, Section 10.1.4)
DF = Dilution Factor

Qualified Sample Result Summaries

#sys_sample_code	lab_sample_id	lab_anl_method _name	chemical_name	result_value	validator qualifiers	result_unit	Revised_V alue
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	TX1005	>C28-C35	33.8 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	4,4'-DDD	2.15 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	4,4'-DDE	0.678 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	4,4'-DDT	5.39 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	Aldrin	0.269 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	0.352 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW6020A	Antimony	19.6 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW6020A	Arsenic	741 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	beta-BHC	0.236 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW6020A	Chromium	35.5 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	cis-Chlordane	0.109 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW7471B	Mercury	4.01 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW6020A	Selenium	57.9 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW6020A	Thallium	1.88 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-4	SW8081B	Toxaphene	12 J		mg/kg	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8270D	1,4-Dioxane		UJ	mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8151A	2,4-D	0.0000846 J		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8081B	4,4'-DDT	0.00000283 J		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		U	mg/l	0.00962
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW6020A	Chromium	0.00324 U		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW6020A	Chromium		U	mg/l	0.002
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8151A	Dalapon	0.000223 J		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8081B	delta-BHC	0.000000575 J		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8081B	Dieldrin	0.00000159 J		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	0.000000556 J		mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8270D	Naphthalene		UJ	mg/l	
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8270D	Phenanthrene		U	mg/l	0.000183
FDVBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-2	SW8081B	trans-Chlordane	0.00000552 J		mg/l	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	TX1005	>C12-C28	59 J-		mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	TX1005	>C28-C35	28.8 J		mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	4,4'-DDD	1.06 J		mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	4,4'-DDT	1.89 J		mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	Aldrin	0.0429 J		mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	0.049 J		mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW6020A	Antimony	8.13 J-		mg/kg	

#sys_sample_code	lab_sample_id	lab_anl_method _name	chemical_name	result_value	validator qualifiers	result_unit	Revised_V alue
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW6020A	Arsenic	1380	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	beta-BHC	0.0595	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW6020A	Chromium	18.3	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	cis-Chlordane	0.0451	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.00272	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW7471B	Mercury	2.24	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW6020A	Selenium	1.23	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW6020A	Thallium	0.249	J	mg/kg	
VBSD3-180713-07132018	180-79800-3	SW8081B	Toxaphene	4.84	J	mg/kg	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	1,4-Dioxane		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	1-Methylnaphthalene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	2-Methylnaphthalene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	4,4'-DDT	0.00000174	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Acenaphthene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Acenaphthylene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	alpha-BHC	0.00000477	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Anthracene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Benzo[a]anthracene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Benzo[a]pyrene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Benzo[b]fluoranthene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Benzo[g,h,i]perylene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Benzo[k]fluoranthene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		UJ	mg/l	0.0104
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Butyl benzyl phthalate		UJ	mg/l	0.00104
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Carbazole		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Chrysene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	delta-BHC	0.000000682	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	Dieldrin	0.00000189	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Dinoseb		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	Endrin ketone	0.000000623	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Fluoranthene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Fluorene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.000000782	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene		UJ	mg/l	

#sys_sample_code	lab_sample_id	lab_anl_method		result_value	validator	result_unit	Revised_V
		_name	chemical_name		qualifiers		alue
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Naphthalene		UJ	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Phenanthrene		UJ	mg/l	0.000198
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8270D	Pyrene	0.0000699	J	mg/l	
VBSW3-180713-07132018	180-79800-1	SW8081B	trans-Chlordane	0.00000542	J	mg/l	

Report Reproduction Authorization Letter

No qualifiers applied, N/A



November 28, 2017

Pamela Moss
Senior Scientist
EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC
7995 E. Prentice Avenue, Suite 206E
Greenwood Village, CO 80111

Re: Authorization to Reproduce Data Validation Checklist

Dear Pam,

Please accept this letter as authorization from Environmental Data Services Ltd. allowing EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC; to use and include our reports in their entirety, including documents with confidential work product statements, in agency submittals.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Diane Waldschmidt", with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

Diane Waldschmidt
Principal Consulting Chemist